

operate and I would be grateful if you would enlighten me in this regard. First of all, with respect to the difference between the Industrial Defense Program and the "less selective" Industrial Security Program, what is the nature of the services performed or products manufactured by facilities in the Industrial Defense Program?

Mr. YEAGLEY. I am not quite sure what you mean by the Industrial Defense Program. Do you mean the Industrial Security Program, the screening program?

Mr. CULVER. You make a distinction, I recall, and the other witnesses between two programs, the Industrial Defense Program and the so-called less selective Industrial Security Program. Is that not correct?

Mr. YEAGLEY. No, I don't recognize the terminology "Industrial Defense."

The CHAIRMAN. State it in your own way if you drew a distinction between any two things.

Mr. YEAGLEY. I am sorry. I don't understand the question, Mr. Chairman. That is my trouble.

The CHAIRMAN. All right.

Mr. CULVER. What is the nature of the services performed or products manufactured, Mr. Yeagley, by facilities in the Industrial Defense Program?

Mr. YEAGLEY. Well, the words "Industrial Defense Program"—

Mr. CULVER. Excuse me. Is this a distinction that is more appropriate and applicable to the program that Mr. Liebling of the Defense Department testified to?

Mr. YEAGLEY. Yes, this seems to be a problem that the Defense Department could answer better than I could.

Mr. CULVER. I understand. How many individuals would you estimate are presently employed in the facilities now currently being screened in the country?

Mr. YEAGLEY. I don't know.

Mr. CULVER. Are all such employees subject to the same screening criteria and procedures?

Mr. YEAGLEY. Yes, sir; if they fall within the Industrial Security Program under Executive Order 10865.

Mr. CULVER. Under a future program assuming that the proposed legislation is enacted in substantially its present form, would that assist you?

Mr. YEAGLEY. Yes, I believe it would. Of course, there are changes proposed in this bill such as extending the screening program to defense facilities as distinguished—

The CHAIRMAN. Would this be the distinction? In this particular bill, the term "defense facility" is defined as distinguished from the one undefined that the Supreme Court found fault with. In other words, the bill is to cure and to satisfy and to comport with the Supreme Court decision.

Mr. CULVER. I wonder, Mr. Chairman, if I might request that maybe Mr. Liebling could also take a place at the table and that might expedite the questioning, because I think some of the questions I have would perhaps be more appropriate for him and he would be the appropriate witness to give the response.

The CHAIRMAN. That would be all right.

Mr. Liebling, you may come forward.