

who are being subjected to the screening procedures administered by your Department. I hope I made myself clear.

Mr. LIEBLING. We have no overall figures of the total amount of people employed. However, as I indicated, 2.2 or 2.3 million have clearances under the Industrial Defense Program. These are subject to screening. I believe, based upon a sampling from Industrial Defense figures, there might be roughly 8 to 11 million employees of industrial security facilities.

Mr. CULVER. What in the other category, the number of employees?

Mr. LIEBLING. I have no idea how many would be in, let's say, those involved in the particular type of dam or electrical facility.

Mr. CULVER. Can you give an estimate?

Mr. LIEBLING. No, sir. This would be the Department of Labor, I presume. They might have that.

Mr. CULVER. How about under a future program? Assuming that the proposed legislation is enacted in substantially its present form, how would this alter your total figure personnelwise?

Mr. LIEBLING. Again I must answer by saying we have not made any estimate of that, as I indicated in my last testimony. Of course, it would depend on the criteria and ground rules that you lay out and the administrative machinery that this bill would provide us with. It may increase slightly from 2.2 million to—as I say I can't give you an X figure as such, but it would not be that great because the administrative machinery would have to be provided where we would designate certain positions under the Industrial Defense Program as critical or sensitive and then we would put that under the category of the clearance requirement.

Mr. CULVER. Under the proposed legislation would the total number of people being screened be increased or decreased?

Mr. LIEBLING. Increased.

Mr. CULVER. How significantly?

Mr. LIEBLING. We have no idea.

Mr. CULVER. I was interested in your estimates of what additional cost might be represented when you have no idea of how many additional people are involved.

Mr. LIEBLING. You remember that last time I indicated that we have no indication of this because we don't know the extent of the administrative machinery or the scope of clearances that you would require by the bill. We know that there are 3,500 facilities involved in the Industrial Defense Program, but we have no idea of what percentage of that we would bring in.

The CHAIRMAN. Let me ask this question. What are you willing to undertake if this bill is passed by Congress?

Mr. LIEBLING. What are we willing to do?

The CHAIRMAN. I assume you would perform your duties and carry out the provisions of this act if it is made law?

Mr. LIEBLING. Oh, yes, undoubtedly.

The CHAIRMAN. I don't quite follow the purpose of these questions myself.

Mr. CULVER. I think, Mr. Chairman, if I am permitted—

The CHAIRMAN. Let me put it this way. I understand that the gentleman is not satisfied with this proposed bill and I understand he is disappointed because these witnesses think it is a good bill.