

Mr. CULVER. Mr. Chairman, I respectfully submit that that is an unfair inference. What I am trying to ascertain is something that I hope will be very useful to this committee as a whole and the Congress of the United States, if I might finish.

The CHAIRMAN. That is a great observation. I appreciate it and I apologize.

Mr. CULVER. I believe it important to try and understand a little bit more about how the administration of this program operates so that we can make an intelligent judgment on the wisdom of this bill and how it might possibly be improved.

The CHAIRMAN. Is the gentleman for the bill?

Mr. CULVER. I have not yet made a determination.

The CHAIRMAN. I hope you will be for it.

Mr. CULVER. I hope we can have a bill consistent with the national security interest and the Constitution.

The CHAIRMAN. Not that we can satisfy you. That is all right.

Mr. CULVER. I think that is a judgment that is rather premature.

The CHAIRMAN. I will apologize if necessary.

Mr. CULVER. Who owns and operates the facilities in the Industrial Defense Program?

Mr. LIEBLING. Who owns and operates them? Private and governmental.

Mr. CULVER. Exclusively.

Mr. LIEBLING. I would prefer Mr. Haas who is a specialist to answer this.

Mr. HAAS. A majority are privately owned and privately operated. There are Government-owned and contractor-operated plants as well.

Mr. CULVER. Could you give me a rough percentage breakdown? I won't hold you to it necessarily.

Mr. HAAS. I would say less than 5 percent are Government owned, contractor operated.

Mr. CULVER. Thank you. Similarly with respect to the approximately 13,000 facilities within the Industrial Security Program, are all individuals in such facilities subjected to the same screening criteria and procedures?

Mr. LIEBLING. No, sir. Only those who would require access to classified information.

Mr. CULVER. As I recall, the recommendation you made to the committee was that we should make an effort to narrow the sensitive categorization.

Mr. LIEBLING. We have it now defined that way.

Mr. CULVER. I know in some of the references to the legislation before us this recommendation has been made.

Mr. LIEBLING. Yes. As I indicated earlier, we would have to designate certain positions as sensitive.

Mr. TUCK. Would the janitor also be subject to examination? I would think that he would be in a better position to find out about defense secrets than anybody else would. The janitor carries out the wastepaper.

Mr. LIEBLING. Yes, under the Industrial Defense Program, Mr. Congressman—

Mr. TUCK. I am in favor of not allowing anybody to get these secrets.

Mr. LIEBLING. In those positions that you just indicated under the Industrial Security Program—