

GREENE v. McELROY.

Opinion of the Court.

Petitioner again presented a number of witnesses who testified that he was loyal, that he had spoken approvingly of the United States and its economic system, that he was a valuable engineer, and that he had made valuable and significant contributions to this country's war efforts during World War II and the Korean War.

Soon after the conclusion of the hearing, the EIPSB notified petitioner that it had affirmed the Secretary's action and that it had decided that the granting of clearance to petitioner for access to classified information was "not clearly consistent with the interests of national security." Petitioner requested that he be furnished with a detailed statement of findings supporting the Board's decision. He was informed, however, that security con-

by petitioner evidently because the Board had confidential information that petitioner's ex-wife was "eccentric."

"Q. Now you were in Bill's home, that red brick house that you're talking about.

"Q. Was there anything unusual about the house itself, the interior of it, was it dirty?

"Q. Were there any beds in their house which had no mattresses on them?

"Q. Did you ever hear it said that Jean slept on a board in order to keep the common touch?

"Q. When you were in Jean's home did she dress conventionally when she received her guests?

"Q. Let me ask you this, conventionally when somebody would invite you for dinner at their home would you expect them, if they were a woman to wear a dress and shoes and stockings and the usual clothing of the evening or would you expect them to appear in overalls?"