## GREENE v. McELROY.

## Opinion of the Court.

formant said without even examining the investigator personally. 22 

We must determine against this background, whether the President or Congress has delegated to the Depart-

constantly finding fault with the American institutions, opposing the American Capitalistic System and never had anything but praise for the Russiane and everything they attempted to do. Did you find that to be the case? . . . . . .

"Q. We have a statement here from another witness with respect to yourself in which he states that you felt that the modern people in this country were too rich and powerful, that the capitalistic system of this country was to the disadvantage of the working people and that the working people were exploited by the rich.

"Q. I have a statement from another one of your associates to the effect that you would at times, present to him a fellow-traveler argument. This man indicated to us that he was pretty well versed on the Communist Party line himself at that time and found you parroting arguments which he assumed that you got from your wife. Do you wish to comment on that?"

Confrontation of the persons who allegedly made these statements would have been of prime importance to petitioner, for cross-examination might have shown that these "witnesses" were hazy in recollecting long-past incidents, or were irrationally motivated by bias or vindictiveness.

27 This is made clear by the following testimony of Jerome D. Fenton, Director, Industrial Personnel Security, Department of Defense, before the Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights of the Senate Judiciary Committee, given on November 23, 1955:

- "[Q.] . . . What other type of evidence is received by the hearing boards besides the evidence of persons under oath?
- "[A.] The reports from the various governmental investigative
- "[Q.] And the reports of the various governmental investigations might, themselves, be hearsay, might they not?
  - "[A.] I think that is a fair statement.
- "[Q.] In fact, they might be, as the Court of Appeals for the Ninth District [sic] said with respect to the port security program, second,