

DCA RECOMMENDATIONS ON PHASE 2

Mr. ROBACK. You referred to phase 2 as the program successor to the initial system of communication. Now the successor, when was it programed?

General KLOCKO. Our plan for the requirement for the advanced—what is now the phase 2—was drawn up in January of 1967.

Mr. COHEN. June of 1966.

General KLOCKO. June of 1966.

Mr. ROBACK. You signed off when, as far as your agency was concerned, on phase 2?

General KLOCKO. I wasn't there but I think somebody did. Our plan was sent forward in June 1966. It was then ADCSP, sir.

Mr. ROBACK. You had signed off on that. That was your recommendation?

General KLOCKO. Yes.

Mr. ROBACK. Then it was not at your level that the reconsideration took place of what ought to be involved—maybe this plan was too ambitious or too costly or whatever the critique—that was not in your department?

General KLOCKO. We have been involved in the process, in the intervening 2 years, yes, sir.

Mr. ROBACK. When did phase 2, in distinction from ADCSP, become identified? When did that first emerge?

General KLOCKO. I would have to ask Jay.

Mr. COHEN. October 1966. In October of 1966 the ADCSP plan was returned with direction to develop a less costly alternative. At that time we went into what we called an evolutionary program which included phase 2 and a later phase 3.

Mr. ROBACK. Phase 2 and later phase 3.

Mr. COHEN. The phase 3 was to be what had been described as the ADCSP.

Mr. ROBACK. So this was in a sense an interim concept that you developed.

Mr. COHEN. It was an evolutionary growth.

Mr. ROBACK. When did phase 2 get passed on from the Defense Communications Agency?

Mr. COHEN. This has been done through a long series of discussions between DCA, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the military departments, and D.D.R. & E. over the last 18 months.

Mr. ROBACK. Could you identify the different sectors of concern, Mr. Cohen?

Mr. COHEN. Satellite technology, requirements, cost, time schedule.

Mr. ROBACK. Presumably in the Secretary's office the cost was predominant, and in D.D.R. & E. technology was the concern, whereas requirements lie within the Joint Chiefs of Staff capability, I suppose.

Mr. COHEN. I think all have been concerned about all the requirements.

Mr. ROBACK. When does it leave D.D.R. & E.? It left your department for approval by somebody else at one time.

Mr. COHEN. It has been going back and forth. Our last—there were recommendations last fall from DCA and since then there have been