

it probably would go to the country for taxes; is that not correct? Unless he has other sources of income.

Mrs. ORTNER. I would have to be like a lawyer, and say, "Well, the answer is yes and no," and then ask to explain. I feel you have two avenues of thought, one is——

Mr. TUNNEY. I certainly don't think that anyone can disagree with your statement that land which is developed and which is income-producing, produces a substantial income, that that person should have to assume his responsibility the same as any other citizen of the country, pay taxes, et cetera, but here we are dealing with land 95 percent of which is undeveloped, and I think you recognize that this does present a special situation, because you indicated that the termination should take place over a long period of time.

Mrs. ORTNER. That's correct.

Mr. TUNNEY. Now, you mentioned also in the statement that as far as the Tunney bill was concerned, on page 3, "I wonder what the Tunney bill is, let alone an amendment to it." Have you had an opportunity to see the Tunney bill?

Mrs. ORTNER. No; I've never been sent a copy of the Tunney bill.

Mr. TUNNEY. Did you ever ask for a copy?

Mrs. ORTNER. I did not ask for a copy because I really never knew anything about the Tunney bill, to be quite frank about it.

Mr. TUNNEY. When did you learn about it for the first time?

Mrs. ORTNER. I called Mr. Simpson's office for an appointment that we had set up. His office called and stated that he could not keep a luncheon date because he was in Washington on the Tunney bill, and I called Mrs. Prieto, who was the chairman, and I says, "What in the world is the Tunney bill," and so she said that she did not see the resolution, that the tribal council had passed regarding the Tunney bill, and said she did not know what was in it. I called Congressman Haley in Washington, D.C., and asked him what the tribal attorney and the tribal members that were there doing, and what was the resolution, and he said that they had not conferred with him in regard to what their business was, other than the fact that they stated they were meeting with the Secretary of the Interior, so I sent him a telegram to the Secretary of the Interior and he, in turn, referred it to someone in the Indian Commission's Office for answer, stating that the tribal council has had an—has acted on the resolution pertaining to the tribal council and not the tribe, but I have not, to this day, do I have the Tunney bill.

Mr. TUNNEY. Did you write to me and ask for a copy of this?

Mrs. ORTNER. No; I have not.

Mr. TUNNEY. I introduced the bill in October of 1967, October 16, 1967, and I have sent a number of copies to various individuals who have written to me asking for it, and I would have been happy, of course, to have sent you one or send your attorney one. I understand your attorney is standing there with you, alongside you, for his interpretation, and will be happy to make a copy available to you now. I would be happy to give you a copy of the original bill, or the second bill, or a copy of the administration's bill.

Mrs. ORTNER. We'd like to say, Mr. Tunney, in all fairness to myself, that had I known about the Tunney bill, I would have been more than delighted to have corresponded with you among other