

with his home and so on, on this lunch period. We will adjourn to reconvene here at 2 o'clock, and the first witness will be Mr. E. W. Hill, and for the information of the other witnesses who are scheduled, and to give them an idea of about what time they can expect to testify, we expect to follow Mr. Hill with Mr. Hollowell, and then to follow Mr. Hollowell with Mr. Cleary. Now, we have no notice, or at least I have been handed no notice of any other witnesses wishing to appear. I have a letter here from the law office of Carrol & Anderson, Mr. John Carroll, and it's my understanding, Mr. Carroll wanted his statement filed for the record. Is Mr. Carroll here?

Mr. CARROLL. I am.

Mr. EDMONDSON. Do you want your statement filed for the record or do you want to appear to testify?

Mr. CARROLL. I want it filed for the record. As I understand, Mr. Cleary's going to cover my position in this, so if he covers it, I don't think it's necessary for me to appear.

Mr. EDMONDSON. So, if there is no objection, your statement will be received and made a part of the record at this time.

(The document referred to follows:)

CARROLL & ANDERSON,
Indio, Calif., May 23, 1968.

Re Department of Interior Agua Caliente Investigation May 31 hearings, Palm Springs.

Mr. LEWIS SIGLER,
Legislative Counsel, Congressional Subcommittee for Indian Affairs,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. SIGLER: The undersigned is one of the attorneys mentioned in the Department's report of March 1968, of its investigation of the guardianship and conservatorship program of the Agua Caliente Indians in Palm Springs. I am mentioned in the report at page 33, and therein supposedly connected by Exhibit 27.

I am enclosing herewith for your perusal a copy of a letter dated April 5, 1968, which I directed to the Editor of the Palm Springs Desert Sun Newspaper, in which I set forth my position in this matter. I would appreciate it if you would accept this as my statement to the Legislative Counsel and that it go into the record of this hearing. I intend to be in attendance at the hearing and would like to testify if it is necessary. I received a telephone call yesterday from Mr. Henry Cleary, who is the attorney for the Conservatorship and Guardianship Association, and he informed me that he had been in contact with you and that he was going to testify at length with respect to the report. It is my further understanding that Mr. Cleary will cover the Supplemental Agreement No. 5 of the Palm Canyon Country Club lease, which agreement is the basis for my being mentioned in the report. If Mr. Cleary adequately covers my position, then I believe that it would be redundant and time consuming for me to cover the same matters, and I will refrain from doing so. However, if for any reason, he does not do so, or if for any reason I feel that he has not covered it adequately, then I would like to be heard briefly in defense of my position.

Very truly yours,

JOHN P. CARROLL.

CARROLL & ANDERSON,
Indio, Calif., April 5, 1968.

Re Udall final report.
Mr. CARL W. SCHOOS,
Editor, The Desert Sun Newspaper,
Palm Springs, Calif.

DEAR MR. SCHOOS: I would appreciate it very much if you would print the substance of this letter in your newspaper.

I emphatically and categorically deny the charge of conflict of interest levelled against me in Secretary Udall's report. Mr. Udall and the three members of the