

had the official court files in their possession for a total of 155 days, and such an oversight must be presumed to have been intentional.

Mr. EDMONDSON. Mr. Hollowell, could I get clarification on that one point?

Mr. HOLLOWELL. Yes, sir.

Mr. EDMONDSON. Looking at exhibits 23 of the task force report, it shows Canyon View Estates payments to James Hollowell. Are you attorney for Canyon View Estates?

Mr. HOLLOWELL. Yes, sir. When I say "Fey," I mean the same thing.

Mr. EDMONDSON. It shows the payments for the years 1963, 1964, 1966, and 1967. It shows that in 1965 none were made. Are you aware that this document appears in exhibit 23?

Mr. HOLLOWELL. Oh, yes; I'm very aware of it and very familiar with the document.

Mr. EDMONDSON. Would you clarify what you mean by saying the failure to show the payments that were made in this exhibit was unpardonable, if I understand you correctly?

Mr. HOLLOWELL. Yes, sir. If the investigators had included the accountings covering the years 1964 and 1965, I stated in those accountings services rendered in regard to Fey and the fact that they were paid for by Fey, by omission they come up with this double-charging thing where I openly disclosed it.

Mr. EDMONDSON. You mean, by failure to detail what these charges were for in 1963 and 1964, that there has been a total omission. Is that the point you're making?

Mr. HOLLOWELL. Yes, sir. If they had included the accountings, I don't see how they could make the charge.

Mr. EDMONDSON. Thank you. Pardon me for interrupting you, but I was looking at that when you testified and I didn't understand quite what you meant by that. Thank you.

Mr. HOLLOWELL. The next exhibit which Mr. Sigler has is a file folder with a detailed statement of the Roy Fey matters, which I am sure your counsel can examine and inform you of the statements. I wouldn't expect to take the committee's time to go through that file now. On the inside of that exhibit on the top is a letter from the superior court showing when the task force had these particular files, so I'm not making a pointed accusation. They had the files for quite a while.

I have thereafter placed all of my accountings in the matter of Ray Patencio, together with all petitions, orders, and exhibits in regard to Mr. Fey in that file. You will find my letter dated February 23, 1964, which was omitted deliberately by Mr. Cox, and my accountings for 1964 and 1966, which puts the lie to this particular accusation. The miscellaneous documents concerning Fey are included in this exhibit so that your counsel can easily ascertain, in addition to the above, what I am about to say now.

Before leaving the double-charging accusation, please note that both the 1964 and 1966 accountings have an affidavit of mailing showing that they were mailed to the local Bureau of Indian Affairs office, which is additional proof that they had full knowledge of the contents of those accountings of my work for Fey.

Now, briefly turning to the "Fey statement" which is included in exhibit 23 of the task force, it is interesting to note in passing that this does not by any means purport to be a verbatim statement and is the