

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Chairman, yes, and we would like to get into that subject when my witnesses refer to the cutback.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Do you have a list of those that failed, the same as Mr. Sprouse and Mr. Armstrong presented, failures of members of their organizations?

Could you tell us offhand about how many have failed?

Mr. MILLER. We have no accurate figures regarding national statistics on this subject.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Could you get this to the committee, a list?

The reason I am getting at it is, if we have more cutbacks and the failures will be the small businessman, who is mortgaged to the hilt, with money tied up in machinery, payments must be made, and if the payments are not made they are going to lose all their equipment and wind up with nothing but a few, eight or 10 big contractors who will raise the prices of our road system in this country.

I would appreciate it if you had a list of failures in your organization, so we can put it in the record.

Mr. MILLER. I regret that no such list is available for the record. However, one of our witnesses will present some specific figures on this subject.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. I want to say, the members of your organization are not represented by lobbyists but are represented by high-class representatives, Mr. Holmes and Mr. Miller, who are doing a wonderful job, and they should be very happy to have representatives like you people here in Washington, looking after their industry.

Mr. FALLON. May I add something to your statement?

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Yes, Mr. Fallon.

Mr. FALLON. I might say that one experience with this highway program, and I have been with it since its inception, the thing is that the lobbyists are the members of the Public Works Committee of the House of Representatives.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Mr. Harsha.

Mr. HARSHA. Mr. Holmes, you heard the testimony of the representatives of AASHO. Are you now aware that they are now of the opinion that there should not be any additional mileage to the Interstate System after the 41,000-mile network is completed?

What is the position of your organization on that point?

Mr. HOLMES. At the present time we are, as I have stated in my testimony, supporting the ABC program, primarily for the reasons that I stated.

We have not identified ourselves with the Interstate program, deliberately, because we have not heard the final report of the American Association of State Highway Officials.

I would say that we would still strongly endorse the urban portions and the primary and rural highway expansion, as I have outlined.

I cannot really comment on whether we have approved or disapproved extension of the Interstate System at this time, because actually I have heard that just for the first time this morning.

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Chairman, may I comment on that?

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Mr. Miller.

Mr. MILLER. Insofar as the Interstate System as a functional system is concerned, we recognize that there is a need for additional mileage—there is no question about it—in our opinion substantially in excess of the 5,000 miles that has been referred to.