

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY FUND OBLIGATIONS FOR CALENDAR YEARS 1967 AND 1968, ASSUMING NEW 1968 FUND OBLIGATIONS TO BE SAME AS ONE-HALF 1968 APPORTIONMENT AND ONE-HALF 1969 APPORTIONMENT

[Thousands of dollars]

State	New funds released in 1967	Funds obligated in 1967, actual	1968 limitation based on 5 percent cutback of 1967 funds obligated	Approximate new funds anticipated in 1968 before cutback	Gain or loss of anticipated 1968 funds (percent)	1968 funds available each State after 10.86 percent cut-back of 1968 anticipated funds
Alabama.....	88,302	80,070	75,544	96,203	-21.47	85,756
Alaska.....	38,400	36,314	34,261	40,378	-15.15	35,993
Arizona.....	61,925	64,205	60,576	67,505	-10.26	60,174
Arkansas.....	38,055	39,366	37,141	41,244	-9.95	36,765
California.....	372,670	380,780	359,258	407,097	-11.75	362,887
Colorado.....	53,482	78,788	74,335	58,109	+21.83	51,799
Connecticut.....	77,477	61,950	58,449	84,986	-31.23	75,757
Delaware.....	9,900	7,455	7,034	10,685	-34.17	9,525
Florida.....	91,723	66,156	62,417	99,799	-37.46	88,261
Georgia.....	68,111	70,214	65,245	73,818	-10.26	65,802
Hawaii.....	28,086	34,608	32,737	35,302	-4.58	31,469
Idaho.....	27,743	35,794	33,686	30,078	+10.71	26,812
Illinois.....	224,060	225,662	212,907	245,996	-13.45	210,381
Indiana.....	92,546	114,040	107,954	100,671	+6.43	89,739
Iowa.....	58,004	53,880	59,837	63,205	-20.32	56,876
Kansas.....	43,053	52,947	49,954	46,413	+7.09	41,373
Kentucky.....	83,117	108,638	102,493	90,598	+11.61	80,760
Louisiana.....	97,207	102,698	96,604	106,055	-8.64	24,538
Maine.....	20,804	17,388	16,405	22,557	-27.27	20,108
Maryland.....	53,399	39,515	37,282	69,284	-46.19	61,760
Massachusetts.....	86,107	120,744	122,411	93,201	+23.29	83,704
Michigan.....	128,471	134,244	26,656	139,671	-9.32	124,503
Minnesota.....	96,794	109,550	103,358	106,704	-3.14	25,116
Mississippi.....	40,624	52,486	42,519	52,223	-8.17	48,067
Missouri.....	103,907	116,852	110,247	112,900	-2.43	190,720
Montana.....	45,803	48,232	45,506	50,765	-10.36	45,252
Nebraska.....	32,685	29,644	27,869	35,244	-20.64	31,417
Nevada.....	28,634	21,937	19,848	31,114	-36.21	27,736
New Hampshire.....	19,824	23,007	21,707	21,587	+0.06	19,243
New Jersey.....	103,549	114,052	107,606	113,027	-4.80	100,753
New Mexico.....	50,930	42,644	40,234	55,416	-27.40	39,308
New York.....	220,019	26,0325	245,611	239,223	+2.60	213,244
North Carolina.....	52,027	58,148	54,861	56,128	-2.26	50,033
North Dakota.....	25,173	25,561	24,116	27,182	-11.28	24,331
Ohio.....	236,883	218,970	206,594	258,572	-20.10	230,402
Oklahoma.....	51,684	51,596	48,680	55,920	-12.95	49,948
Oregon.....	65,598	67,026	63,238	76,519	-17.36	68,210
Pennsylvania.....	197,069	205,460	193,847	214,668	-9.70	191,356
Rhode Island.....	20,284	23,153	21,844	25,965	-12.85	22,343
South Carolina.....	32,605	30,093	28,392	35,493	-20.02	31,643
South Dakota.....	40,172	42,200	39,815	43,601	-8.68	38,866
Tennessee.....	80,619	93,755	88,456	97,570	-9.34	36,554
Texas.....	219,526	215,054	202,899	238,230	-14.83	212,359
Utah.....	58,085	57,090	53,863	63,433	-15.09	56,545
Vermont.....	26,959	36,646	34,575	29,446	+14.83	26,249
Virginia.....	119,064	119,526	112,751	129,989	-13.26	115,865
Washington.....	93,449	110,021	103,803	102,040	+1.70	90,959
West Virginia.....	83,913	75,751	71,470	91,753	-22.11	81,789
Wisconsin.....	48,687	56,288	53,107	52,457	+1.22	46,761
Wyoming.....	40,464	35,577	33,566	44,052	-23.82	39,277
District of Columbia.....	48,338	16,829	15,878	52,880	-69.97	47,138
Puerto Rico.....	6,299	3,596	3,393	6,622	-48.76	5,903
Total.....	4,151,729	4,294,607	4,051,874	4,545,774		4,052,129
Equitable formula.....		(4.368)	(4.115)	(4.715)		(4.115)

Note: 2 States will be permitted to obligate in 1968 more funds than would normally be released to them. As example see Colorado.

The equitable formula will accomplish the same end result of \$4,115,000,000 obligation limitation.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Mr. Miller.

Mr. MILLER. Mr. Chairman, with your permission, sir, I would now like to present Mr. Karl Rothermund, Jr., executive director of the Ohio Contractors Association, of Columbus, Ohio.

Of all the cases I have reviewed, it appears to me that Ohio has or comes closest to a severe hardship case than any State we have reviewed.