NORTH DAKOTA

By letter dated December 7, 1967, the State forwarded a proposed agreement. Administrator Bridwell in his reply of December 15, 1967, pointed out that the unzoned commercial or industrial area definition would not require the existence of any commercial or industrial activity and for that reason would not be acceptable to the Secretary under the policy stated in the Secretary's letter of May 24, 1967, to Chairman Kluczynski. On January 11, 1967, representatives of the Federal Highway Administration met with State officials in Bismarck to further discuss a possible agreement.

OHIO

By letter dated August 7, 1967, Administrator Bridwell wrote to Director Masheter asking the State to develop proposed standards for study and mutual discussion. By letter of January 9, 1968, the State submitted a proposed agreement, which was discussed at a meeting in Columbus on January 16, 1968. By letter dated January 17, 1968, the State submitted two signed copies of an agreement. Administrator Bridwell, in a letter dated January 30, 1968, pointed out to Director Masheter that the agreement was entirely satisfactory, except that certain areas within commercial and industrial zones were excluded from any size, lighting, and spacing controls. Mr. Bridwell noted that he felt that the Administration was without legal authority to agree to such an exclusion. By letter dated March 29, 1968, the State submitted a revised agreement. The State's letter advised that the revision had corrected the exclusion noted in the Administrator's January 30 letter. Certain additional changes had been made as a result of consultations with interested parties both within and outside of Governmental circles. In reply dated May 6, 1968, the Administrator pointed out his objections to several of the new provisions and forwarded to Mr. Masheter an agreement which would encompass most of the provisions of the agreement proposed by the State and at the same time correct those features of the Ohio proposal which had been found objectionable.

OKLAHOMA

On August 7, 1967, Mr. Bridwell wrote to Director Dane asking that the State develop standards which could be used for study and mutual discussion. On August 10, 1967, Secretary Boyd, Assistant Secretary Sweeney, and other Department representatives met with Congressman Edmondson and officials of the Oklahoma State Legislature and the State highway department in Secretary Boyd's office in Washington. Administration representatives explained certain features of the Federal Act and outlined the procedures under which negotiations were being conducted. On November 27, 1967, representatives of the Administration again met with State officials, including those of the Legislature, in Oklahoma City. At this meeting a proposed bill providing for control of outdoor advertising in Oklahoma was discussed in detail and the Administration's objections to certain of its features were explained.

OREGON

On August 10, 1967, Mr. Bridwell wrote to State Highway Engineer Cooper asking the State to develop proposed standards for outdoor advertising control for study and mutual discussion. On April 2, 1968, an Administration representative met with State officials in Salem during which time the preparation of a draft agreement was discussed.

PENNSYLVANIA

On August 9, 1967, the Administrator wrote to Secretary of Highways Bartlett asking that the State develop proposed standards for study and mutual discussion. On September 18, 1967, a representative of the Administration met with State officials in Harrisburg. Negotiations were continued on November 8, 1967, and standards developed by the State were discussed. On February 20, 1968, an additional meeting was held in Harrisburg between State officials and Administration representatives and the proposed agreement was reviewed. The agreement with Pennsylvania was executed on April 19, 1968.