to Governor Hathaway and urged that the State and Federal Governments work together in developing mutually satisfactory control provisions, which could be recommended to the Legislature at its next session. Governor Hathaway responded by letter dated February 23, 1968, welcoming the cooperation of the Administration.

Mr. Cramer. Could I ask a question, how many States are being informed, as is Arizona, that you either enact legislation, you have had the opportunity to do so, or January 1, 1969, we will cut your 10 percent off?

Secretary Boyd. That was in response to a letter from the State of Arizona. We are trying to respond to any request we get for information and opinion.

Mr. Cramer. That is all, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

Mr. Kluczynski. Before recognizing the next gentleman who has some questions, Mr. Cleveland, the Chair wants to state that we are planning to go over until about 2:30. We will recess untill 2:30.

Are there any members who cannot be present this afternoon who would like to ask some questions now? I want to recognize the gentleman from New Hampshire first, because I promised that. Will you gentleman be here later on?

Mr. Clausen. Will the Secretary be back this afternoon?

Secretary Boyd. Yes, sir.

Mr. Kluczynski. Yes, sir. We tried to complete it this morning. It was impossible to complete this morning.

The gentleman from New Hampshire.

Mr. CLEVELAND. Mr. Secretary, in reply to the questions from Mr. Edmondson, who asked you about what would be ideal for safety expenditure, I believe you said it was only 33 percent of the ideal at the present time. Were you referring to authorization or appropriation?

Secretary Boyd. I was referring to authorizations. But I did not mean 33 percent of ideal. What I meant to say, Mr. Cleveland, was 33 percent more.

Mr. Cleveland. 33 percent authorization?

Secretary Boyd. Yes, sir.

Mr. CLEVELAND. So this would be a tremendous amount more that should be appropriated?

Secretary Boyd. Yes, sir.

Mr. CLEVELAND. There is a great deal of misunderstanding in the public mind, I am sure you realize, in the difference between authorizations and appropriations. We authorize plenty of money for safety. If this is announced, there is a great program, a lot of publicity, then when the crunch comes, the administration only recommends spending a mere pittance compared to what has been authorized, after the bill has been signed and the pictures have been taken. There is an area where there is a good deal of misunderstanding and that is why I wanted to nail that point down.

Mr. Bridwell. Mr. Cleveland, if I may respond for a moment, the administration has taken the position that the contract authority as originally written into the legislation by this committee and enacted by Congress, should prevail. In other words, the full authorization

would be available for obligation.

Mr. CLEVELAND. The fact remains appropriations have been substantially under authorization?