

Mr. CRAMER. You use 5 instead of 7?

Mr. HELWER. That is right, but as to a limit as to when the freeway must be ultimately constructed, I do not know of any.

Mr. CRAMER. The bill I propose has that it cannot be constructed in less than 2 years and obviously not permit the money to be spent for immediate and contemplated construction which means present construction, of course, or present allocation.

Mr. HELWER. Two years is probably too short. You should be acquiring normal right-of-way 2 years ahead of construction or you will not have the right-of-way clearance.

Mr. CRAMER. Well, thank you very much. You have been very helpful.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Thank you, gentlemen, as you know we have 34 members on this committee. Every State in the Union we would like to have represented on this, which is one of the most important committees.

In California you are very fortunate to have three members on this committee.

Your presence here today, you did a wonderful job and you were very helpful.

Mr. CRAMER. We might ask one more question, Mr. Chairman.

What is your reaction to this fringe parking program or proposal?

Mr. RHYNER. In our answer to Ashville we stated that we would not oppose it so long as it was permissive, that as long as it was left up to the State what to do on that, we would not oppose it.

However, if it were made mandatory we would oppose it very strongly.

We felt as long as it was within the discretion of the State we would go along with it.

I might add this is a serious constitutional problem as far as California is concerned on the matching money.

Article 26 of our constitution says that gas tax money can only be used for the construction and the acquisition of rights-of-way for highways, and that does not include parking.

As far as California is concerned, there is a constitutional question which undoubtedly precludes it.

Mr. CRAMER. If California would not exercise the discretion that you are suggesting, it might be given to other States.

Mr. RHYNER. Not unless the people amended their constitutions.

Mr. CRAMER. Thank you.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. The last witness today will be Mr. William D. Hagenstein, representing the Industrial Forestry Association of Portland, Oreg.

Mr. Hagenstein, we are very happy to have you before the committee. You may proceed as you wish.

**STATEMENT OF W. D. HAGENSTEIN, EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT,
INDUSTRIAL FORESTRY ASSOCIATION, PORTLAND, OREG.**

Mr. HAGENSTEIN. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is W. D. Hagenstein and I reside in Portland, Oreg. I am a professional forester and a registered professional engineer in the States of Washington and Oregon. I am executive vice president