

16000. It will be very easy for the President to veto that, and by incorporating that bill into the legislation we are talking about right now, I think that will be vetoed and we will have to have further hearings on it.

I assure you that the chairman and many members on the committee know that if you do not build the roads here in the District that money is going to go some place else.

I want it to go right here in the District of Columbia, where it belongs and that is why we are very interested in relocating these people, people who are opposed to this legislation.

Mr. Denney?

Mr. DENNEY. I want to commend Mr. Airis. When he was here last December I was very impressed with his testimony, because I think the model we set here in the District is an example for our United States.

Out in the Midwest they are also asking, especially now, about how Resurrection City is coming along.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Mr. Clark, any comments?

Mr. CLARK. Mr. Airis, it is always a pleasure to have you here before this committee.

Your testimony has always been good for the committee. We know your interest in the highways and how to get things done.

Mr. KLUCZYNSKI. Again, thanks for appearing before the committee and giving us your testimony. It will be very helpful when we write the highway bill of 1968.

The committee is adjourned, and we will meet again tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

(Whereupon, at 4:45 p.m., the subcommittee recessed, to reconvene at 10 a.m., Wednesday, June 5, 1968.)