

I would hope that the Congress will come up with a program that will provide equity to all States and believe that the States should be given more latitude in determining where new facilities are needed. This type of an approach would allow our State to upgrade its total Primary, Urban, Secondary, and Interstate type routes most effectively. I recognize the difficult task which your subcommittee has and its great responsibilities in developing a Federal-aid Highway Program. I want to assure you of North Carolina's interest in this program and its willingness to work with the subcommittee in anyway possible.

Sincerely,

J. M. HUNT, Jr., *Chairman.*

NORTH DAKOTA

MAY 17, 1968.

Hon. JOHN C. KLUCZYNSKI,
Subcommittee on Roads,
House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.:

Regarding North Dakota highway needs, 20-year needs for State highway system is \$406 million plus \$147 million to complete present Interstate System; 20-year needs for State local roads is \$529 million. Additional interstate mileage needed is from Portal N. Dak. to S. Dak. via Minot and Bismark and from Williston to Grand Forks via Minot and Devils Lake—approximately 650 miles at an additional cost of \$125 million. Will not be available to testify during these hearings.

WALTER R. HELLE,
Highway Commission, North Dakota Highway Department.

OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS,
Columbus, Ohio, May 17, 1968.

Re proposed Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1968.

Hon. JOHN C. KLUCZYNSKI,
House Public Works Committee,
House of Representatives,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE KLUCZYNSKI: In response to your telegram of May 15, 1968, we are forwarding the following:

1. Copy of a booklet entitled "Ohio Highway Needs for Tomorrow's Growth, 1975-1985". Ohio's needs are summarized on the third from last page in the booklet. We contemplate no additional interstate mileage. The needs shown are to upgrade the present Interstate System and to expand this system by building freeways to supplement the interstate, however, as part of the primary system.

2. Copy of a letter the Governor of Ohio recently forwarded to Representative William C. Cramer in response to his request for comments on subjects which may be considered for inclusion in highway legislation this year. We consider return of administrative control to the Bureau of Public Roads of prime importance in future legislation.

3. Copy of a letter recently forwarded to Representative George H. Fallon regarding the proposed Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1968. A copy of the same letter was sent to Senator Jennings Randolph.

I appreciate your interest in obtaining statements of the State's needs and views on highways and have taken this opportunity to provide you with a summary of Ohio's comments to other Members of Congress on these matters.

We support the position of AASHO which has been developed from a survey of the determinations of the various states. We specifically support the AASHO testimony on proposed weights and sizes legislation.

Because of this agreement with the AASHO proposed testimony, I do not believe that specific testimony from Ohio at this time would be necessary. However, if we can be of help, please let us know.

Very truly yours,

P. E. MASHETER,
Director.