

out, you would have the authority under this bill to do that; is that correct?

Mr. BILL. We will check.

Senator MOSS. What I wonder is whether "acquire" includes exchange as well as purchase.

Mr. BILL. It provides it for State lands, but I am not certain of the other.

Mr. HARRISON. Mr. Chairman, I believe that lands could be exchanged under the Taylor Grazing Act. I don't believe that the 1964 act, which the present bill amends, provides the general exchange authority.

In addition, I would point out that the recent enactment of S. 1401 contains general exchange authority with respect to lands within a unit of the system. It is possible that something might be worked out under that general authority.

Senator MOSS. If the committee saw fit to add the words "acquire by purchase or exchange," then it would be clear that you might negotiate in that manner.

Mr. HARRISON. That would certainly clarify it, sir.

Senator MOSS. Thank you.

Another question about the boundary down there in the Lavender Canyon in the southeast corner: There was some correspondence earlier about taking the boundary around the rim rather than squaring it off on section lines. Has that been done on the map, Mr. Wilson?

Mr. WILSON. Yes, sir. You will notice that we have actually a very crooked line here, which was done especially to eliminate a grazing area, a calving area, and a cattle driveway leaving the upper plateau for the park.

Senator MOSS. As I recall, this was done on the basis of the statement of the individual who has the cattle permit down there that he had no objection if the boundary were below the rim so that he could continue to use his permit and his cattle passage along the top land. Is that correct?

Mr. WILSON. Yes, sir.

Senator MOSS. With this alteration of the boundary, any objection by that particular person has now been eliminated; is that correct?

Mr. WILSON. Yes, sir.

Senator MOSS. I would like to ask a question about Dead Horse Point State Park. This is one area where there still is considerable discussion and some controversy and you, living right there in Moab, probably know more about this than any of us, Mr. Wilson.

Does the legislation propose to take in all of Dead Horse Point State Park, now owned by the State?

Mr. WILSON. Yes.

Senator MOSS. As I indicated in my opening statement, this originated with a resolution of the State park and recreation board in Utah that this be done. As far as you know, has there been any change in attitude by the State park board on this?

Mr. WILSON. Definitely a change by the State park and recreation commission. They, I feel, want to keep Dead Horse Point as a State park.

Senator MOSS. Now, if the State does not wish to surrender the State park and include it in the national park, would it still be desirable to take that north section along the north edge of the park between Dead Horse and the present park boundary?