CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT	NARRATIVE	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-I&L(SA)699 PAGE 6 OF 6 PAGES
CONTRACTOR'S NAME Eden Missile Company	CONTRACT NUMBER 72 - S142	PERIOD OF REPORT 1 May 65-10 Oct 67

1. TO PROVIDE A SUMMARY VIEW, GIVE YOUR OPINION ON THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

a. HAS THE WORK OF THE CONTRACTOR GENERALLY PROGRESSED AS INTENDED BY THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS CONTRACT? IF NOT, IN WHICH AREA(S) *(e.g., cost, schedule, technical performance, required obligations)* HAS IT DEPARTED?

b. AS INDICATED BY HIS PERFORMANCE ON THIS CONTRACT, WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER TO BE THE CONTRACTOR'S STRONG POINTS? HIS WEAK POINTS? *(Observations should be supported by factual data.)*

c. DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD, WHAT ACTION HAS THE CONTRACTOR TAKEN TO CORRECT ANY WEAKNESSES NOTED IN 1b?

No. The Contractor incurred a $1.2 Million overrun due to an extensive redesign program which netted the Contractor $40,000 additional fee over target. Prior to this, the Contractor requested an increase in the target gross motor weight which was negotiated after presentation of what the Government considered valid arguments for the change. The Contractor incurred a $2.0 Million overrun due to concentrating his overtime on bettering schedule which he did by 60 days. This netted the Contractor $216,000 additional fee above target. While bettering the target schedule on qualification tests, the Contractor slipped a significant number of other important milestones. As of this writing, the Contractor has not submitted the tactical design documents which were due 5 October 1967.

Strong Points: The Contractor was able to meet or better contractual requirements in the technical area.

Weak Points: The Contractor apparently could not meet schedule without incurring cost beyond target. He did not meet his cost target although he earned $200,000 over minimum fee on cost. The management status reports were in general ineffective in controlling the program and informing the Government of potential problems.

No corrective actions by the Contractor were observed during this period.

The Contractor has met his obligations under the "required Clauses".

The contract contains a Value Engineering Incentive clause. No VECP's have been submitted or approved. Government costs have not been reduced as a result of the Contractor's V. E. efforts.

(If additional space is required, continue on reverse side)

DD FORM 1 JUN 66 1446-4 REPLACES EDITION OF 1 AUG 65 WHICH MAY BE USED.