directors should have a small fund available to them for the purpose proposed. However, any "proposal" to other Departments should be made either through, or with the full knowledge of, the appropriate level of authority within the "parent" Department. In my judgment, such flexibility would make possible, and encourage, a more rapid response of the total national Federal laboratory capability to changing national concerns.

Q. 3. How is H.U.D. made aware of the research being conducted by other Federal agencies and the available facilities? Should there be a central clearinghouse? Would it be worth the cost involved? What would you propose?

A. 3. Formal and informal methods are employed. H.U.D. representatives participate in various inter-Agency committees; for example. I am the Department's member in the Federal Council on Science and Technology and members of my Office serve on other committees. In addition, there are direct but more informal discussions amongst representatives of the Departments at various staff levels. The answer to Question 2a above, indicates the more formal and comprehensive approach that we are taking. The Department is now undertaking a detailed study of its "clearinghouse" needs. This study should identify "user needs" as well as sources of information relating to urban problems. Discussions are being held with the "Clearinghouse" under the National Bureau of Standards to explore the role in which it might serve to satisfy H.U.D.'s requirements.

Q. 4. The D.O.D. witness proposed the elimination of manpower controls on cross-agency work in order to achieve flexibility similar to that available to the A.E.C. contract laboratories. What is your opinion of this proposal?

A. 4. The H.U.D. research and technology program is relatively new and very modest in size. Within the limits of our activity, as indicated in the response to Question (2) above, we have not encountered any difficulty of the nature indicated by the D.O.D. witness.

Q. 4a. Have personnel ceilings inhibited other Agencies doing work for H.U.D.? To what extent?

A. 4a. None to my knowledge.

ATTACHMENT 1

Department of Housing and Urban Development Contract No. H–829, (incorporating Suppl #1)

CONTRACT FOR STUDY AND REPORT ON LONG-RANGE PLANNING FOR URBAN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

This negotiated contract entered into as of June 30, 1967, between the United States of America (hereinafter called the Government), acting by and through the Contracting Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410, and the National Academy of Sciences (hereinafter called the Contractor), a Federally chartered nonprofit corporation incorporated under the Act of March 3, 1863, as amended (36 U.S.C. 251–254), having its principal office at 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20418.

Whereas, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, under Title VI of the Housing Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1701d–3), and section 301(a) of the Housing Act of 1948, as amended, and section 502(c) of the Housing Act of 1948, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701c(b) (2)), is authorized to undertake research projects to support urban programs by contract; and

Whereas, the department desires to engage the contractor to make a study and render a report concerning long-range planning for Urban Research and Development; and

Whereas, the contractor is equipped and qualified and desires to conduct the study and render the report; and

Whereas, this contract is entered into without advertising under section 502(c) (2) of the Housing Act of 1948, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701c(b) (2)), and is payable out of Urban Studies and Housing Research funds appropriated under P.L. 89–555.

Now, therefore, the parties agree as follows:

I. STATEMENT OF WORK

A. The contractor shall advise the Department of Housing and Urban Development on certain important elements of its long-range R&D program which is addressed to improving the Department's capability to deal with current and