We recommend that the President instruct each department and agency head, in consultation with the Attorney General, to proceed to develop as much of a code of conduct for individuals and organizations in the research and development field as circumstances now permit.

Finally, we would note that beyond any formal standards, we cannot escape the necessity of relying on the sensitive conscience of officials in the Government and in private organizations to make sure that appropriate standards are continually maintained.

Part 3

PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVING POLICIES AND PRACTICES APPLYING TO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTING

During the course of this review, a number of suggestions arose which we believe to indicate desirable improvements in the Government's policies and practices applying to research and development contracting.

Improving the Government's Competence as a "Sophisticated Buyer"

In order for the contracting system to work effectively, the first requirement is for the Government to be a sophisticated buyer—that is, to know what it wants and how to get it. Mention has already been made of the requirements this places on governmental management

officials. At this point four additional suggestions are made.

1. In the case of many large systems development projects, it has been the practice to invite private corporations to submit proposals to undertake research and development work—relating to a new missile system, for example, or a new aircraft system. Such proposals are often invited before usable and realistic specifications of the system have been worked out in sufficient detail. As a consequence, highly elaborate, independent, and expensive studies are often undertaken by the would-be contractors in the course of submitting their proposals. This is a very costly method of obtaining competitive proposals, and it unnecessarily consumes large amounts of the best creative talent this country possesses, both on the preparation of the proposals and their evaluation. Delivery time pressures may necessitate inviting proposals before specifications are completed, but we believe this practice can and should be substantially curtailed.

This would mean in many instances, improving the Government's ability to accomplish feasibility studies, or letting special contracts for that purpose, before inviting proposals. In either event, it would require the acceptance of a greater degree of responsibility by Government managers for making preliminary decisions prior to inviting private proposals. We believe the gains from such a change would be substantial in the avoidance of unnecessary and wasteful use of scarce scientific and technical personnel as well as heavy costs to the private contractors concerned—costs which in most cases are passed on to the

Government.

2. We believe there is a great deal of work to be done to improve the Government's ability to supervise and to evaluate the conduct of research and development efforts—whether undertaken through public or private facilities. We do not have nearly enough understanding as yet of how to know whether we are getting a good product for our