

Mr. BROOKS. These 1,800 items are 44 percent of the procurement dollar in 1968. We anticipate they will be about the same in 1969.

Mr. LUMAN. So you won't be increasing in this substantially until after March of 1969?

Mr. BROOKS. That would be my anticipation, Mr. Luman.

Mr. LUMAN. And you don't have any firm date as to when you plan to hit your goal?

Mr. BROOKS. We will move out as rapidly as we can thereafter, Mr. Luman. I think as the previous witnesses have testified, the only constraint is the ability of both the oversea depots to communicate and of AMC inventory control points to accept the data.

Mr. LUMAN. Mr. Morris, on your overall DOD supervision of programming and computer systems, what is the first date that a computer purchase will be affected by the workings of this group?

Mr. MORRIS. Let me answer that two ways. Every computer acquisition is now governed by policy set at my level by my office: The ASD (Comptroller) is now assuming responsibility for this. The new joint planning staff is concerned not with hardware so much as with design planning, so I would think that, in a major sense, its impact is more likely to come several years hence, in terms of any mass procurements of computers for whole new systems designs.

Mr. LUMAN. In 1975, say.

Mr. MORRIS. Yes, and perhaps a little earlier. There could, of course, be interim impacts of the work of this planning almost from quarter to quarter from now on as we get into business. It is hard to predict what we are going to find, what the recommendations of the joint staff will be.

Mr. LUMAN. You stated, your office did, in a submission to the Appropriations Committee, that under the present DOD policy "We have seen the * * * standardization of systems * * * at the service level (as evidenced by the systems reviewed by the General Accounting Office)."

Reading the GAO report, I don't know whether they drew that conclusion. They said that the one system they thought was compatible with systems designed alongside was the Marine Corps system and that the Navy 3M system hadn't considered the UADPS system and so forth.

Are you satisfied on the degree of standardization within the services?

Mr. MORRIS. I believe that I am satisfied each service has proceeded in a very intelligent and thorough fashion to develop its intraservice standardization programs at ICP and wholesale depot levels.

Mr. LUMAN. Well, for example I notice in the Army that even under this newest plan the depot systems in the United States are being developed by the Army Materiel Command, whereas the depot programs overseas are under the Combat Developments Command.

Mr. MORRIS. Correct, but there is a single overall overseer who testified before you, I believe it was General Miller, on all of these items.

Mr. LUMAN. You would base the standardization not on the fact that the same program was being developed for depots overseas as depots in the States, but that the separately developed programs were under the supervision of one office.