Table 3.—The role of the propery tax in local government finances, 1965-66 1

	All local Outside 38 governments largest SMSA's		Total 38 SMSA's	38 largest SMSA's ² Areas where welfare primary responsi- bility of—	
				State govern- ment ³	Local govern- ment ³
Property tax revenue as percent of— Total general revenue.	45	43	47	48	46
Locally raised general revenue 4	. 67	69	66	64	67
Property tax revnue: In millions Per capita 5	\$23, 836 123	\$11, 573 101	\$12, 263 154	\$3, 954 121	\$8, 309 177

Even lower percentages can be found in some areas dominated by large central cities which rely very heavily on nonproperty taxes. (The instances cited in the preceding paragraph concern SMSA's in which both central city and suburban governments employ such taxes.) As table 4 shows, the property tax looms much larger in local government finances in the large SMSA's for county governments, school districts, and small municipalities and townships than it does for the central city governments, which on the average obtain barely over half—51 percent—of their locally raised general revenue from the property tax.

Table 4.—Dependence on the property tax by local governments in the 38 largest SMSA's, 1965–66, by type of Government $^{\rm 1}$

	Property tax revenue as percent of—		
Type of government	Total local government general revenue	Locally raised general revenue ²	
All local governments in 38 largest SMSA's	47	66	
Counties	46	75	
Municipalities(34 central cities with 1960 populations of	39	53	
300,000 or more)	(37)	(51)	
(Other municipalities)	(47)	(58)	
Townships	65	80	
School districts	55	88	
Special districts	27	32	
• ;			

Based on U.S. Bureau of the Census, Local Government Finances in Selected Metropolitan Areas in 1965–66 (1967) and City Government Finances in 1965–66 (1967).
 Total general revenue less revenue from other governments.

¹ Based on U.S. Bureau of the Census, Local Government Finances in Selected Metropolitan Areas in 1965–66 (1967 and Governmental Finances in 1965–66 (1967).

² Those with populations over 700,000.

³ The classification is based on statewide ratios of State government direct expenditure for public welfare and of local government direct expenditure for public welfare to total public welfare expenditure. 15 of the areas are in States in which State direct expenditure in 1965–66 was 75 percent or more of the total and another 2 in States with State/State-local ratios of 50–75 percent. 17 are in States with local/State-local ratios of 75 percent or more and another 4 in States with local/State-local ratios of 50–75 percent.

⁴ Total general revenue less revenue from other governments.

⁵ 1965–66 revenue divided by estimated July 1, 1965, population.