retary of the Interior, would review and submit recommendations on plans for the development and administration of the various units. The developments which led to the provisions of H.R. 16252 are

In 1963 President Kennedy directed the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture to conduct a survey of the Federal lands embraced in the North Cascades and to make a report to the Congress as to their future use. A part of the charge given the study team by the Secretaries was that recommendations were to be sought and considered which were expressive of the interest of the people of the area, the State of Washington, the region and the United States, in that order, and to invite from the Governor of the State of Washingon an official recommendation from the people of the State of Washington.

Governor Daniel J. Evans undertook this responsibility conscientiously. To assist him, he formed an advisory committee on the North Cascades. This committee consisted of a representative group of citizens of the State having an expressed interest in the future of the North Cascades and included directors of State departments having official responsibilities for the natural resources involved. The committee consisted of 16 persons. It was purposely developed to represent the diverse interests involved and to bring them to the conference

table for reasoned discussions.

The committee held a number of meetings, and on each occasion the Governor met with them so that he could benefit from their reasoning. It was early evident that while the group included strong proponents and strong opponents of a national park in the North Cascades, a clear majority favored no national park. Thus, if only a majority view had been sought, it could have easily and quickly been achieved and it would have been one of opposition to a third national

park in the State of Washington.

That this was not done is a credit to the farsightedness and reasoning of the committee members. From these deliberations came a growing conviction that a position in opposition to, or in favor of, a North Cascades National Park, left almost totally unanswered the real question: How could this great natural wonderland be preserved and retained intact and in perpetuity, and how could it best be made available, not just for groups of people, but truly for the outdoor recreational interests of all of the people of America?

There began to develop in the minds of the committee a new and different concept of preservation and use, one which had not previously been offered or considered—a plan which eventually captured the interest and support of all of the diverse groups represented on the

committee with but one dissenting vote.

This concept is presented in the legislation I have introduced. While the entire area of approximately 1,891,000 acres is termed a national recreation area, perhaps the terminology is confusing. The committee selected the term not as it is defined by national standards but rather to describe an entire area that should be set aside for primary recreational use and within its outside boundaries be further zoned into high intensity recreational use areas, wilderness areas, and a national park.

The Governor's committee was called back into session just prior to the Senate hearings on the Federal proposal, S. 1321, to review that bill. As a result of that meeting the Governor's committee re-