

years been the Northern Cascades Primitive Area under Forest Service jurisdiction and converting it from a primitive area to a wilderness area under the law would cause no change.

The Eldorado Peaks area is not now in a wilderness or primitive area classification—that part south of the Skagit River. This proposed Pasayten Wilderness is in the eastern part of the Northern Cascades Primitive Area. This Picket Range area and this Eldorado Peaks area become part of a national park [indicating].

My understanding is, within a specific period of time under both H.R. 8970 and S. 1321 the Park Service will be required to study this national park, to ascertain what portion of the national parks should be recommended to the Congress for wilderness areas.

Mr. ASPINALL. But this legislation itself does not establish any wilderness area within the proposed national park area?

Dr. CRAFTS. That is correct; none whatsoever.

Mr. TAYLOR. But it does establish other areas as wilderness areas?

Dr. CRAFTS. It establishes the Pasayten Wilderness Area—it converts this area from a primitive area into a wilderness area and it would make two small additions totaling, I believe, around 10,000 acres to the existing Glacier Park Wilderness Area under the administration of the Forest Service.

Mr. SAYLOR. There are two additions down there. You say they comprise 10,000 acres jointly?

Dr. CRAFTS. That is approximately right; yes, sir.

Mr. SAYLOR. What private-use changes would be made in that area if converted into a park?

Dr. CRAFTS. Do you mean in this area?

Mr. SAYLOR. That area of the North Cascades National Park.

Dr. CRAFTS. The changes that would be made in that area are that hunting would be prohibited. The recreational use would continue; there would be a somewhat different type of development proposed by the Park Service than by the Forest Service for the other recreational uses. Mr. Hartzog has a development plan which he can explain to you. There is no timbering in here. Therefore, there would be no change in the timbering. There is no grazing in this area. There are about a half a dozen sheep allotments which have been inactive, non-grazed for a number of years. There is one cattle allotment running partly here, and partly outside the park, and that has either been inactive or it has a very small number of head. There might be one-half of one cattle allotment that would be affected. There is a permit for the grazing of, I think, about 20 or 30 head of stock in the Stehekin Valley. George would have to tell you what would be handled on that.

Those are the changes as I know them.

Mr. TAYLOR. There would be no timber harvesting, no grazing, no mining, and no hunting as in other national parks?

Dr. CRAFTS. That is correct.

Mr. TAYLOR. However, at present you say there is no timber harvesting in that area?

Dr. CRAFTS. In the national park area.

Mr. TAYLOR. How about mining?

Dr. CRAFTS. There are many mining claims. There are about 9,000 acres, I believe, of mining patents. An ownership status map shows