

Mr. HARTZOG. The Senate-passed bill came down on this point and provided we should study the possibility of joint facilities here because it is a natural access into the Glacier Peak Wilderness Area. The legislation before you in the House bill is silent on this point, but our administrative agreement with the Forest Service is that we would study together where each of us needed facilities, whether in the park or in the recreation areas, or in the national forests, and to the extent possible we would share these.

This is a program Ed Cliff and I embarked on in connection with Mount Rainier, which is practically surrounded by national forests and there we were duplicating facilities and we started a study some 5 years ago toward moving joint facilities to house our people and reduce the cost and this we would do here.

Mr. ASPINALL. Do you have the required justification material for our record as to the number of man-days that you consider to be necessary for all three of these proposed areas: the wilderness area, the national recreation area, and the national park, if any additional help is needed?

Mr. HARTZOG. Yes, sir; we do.

Mr. ASPINALL. What uses are presently permitted in the two primitive areas, that are to be added to the Glacier Peak Wilderness Area that would be prohibited if they are made a part of the wilderness area?

Dr. CRAFTS. I am sorry, Mr. Chairman, could you—

Mr. ASPINALL. What changes would take place with respect to uses presently permitted in the primitive area if they are added to the Glacier Peak Wilderness Area?

Dr. CRAFTS. In the two additions to the Glacier Peak Wilderness that would be prohibited if they are added? Timbering, primarily.

In these two areas there are fine stands of timber. There are active timbering operations very close to these areas and this would provide an additional—you could call it a buffer—but it is an inclusion in the area. There is no grazing in there to my knowledge whatsoever. What would be prohibited here is timbering.

Mr. ASPINALL. And there is no timbering going on at the present time?

Dr. CRAFTS. To my knowledge the timbering at the present time stops short of these two areas, although Ed Cliff is here and he can confirm or correct me on this. I think that is right. This was worked out with the Forest Service. This was the Forest Service recommendation.

Mr. ASPINALL. That is perfectly all right. That doesn't settle the question as far as I am concerned.

Dr. CRAFTS. The answer is, there is no timbering going on in these areas now. Is that correct?

Mr. ASPINALL. How many million board feet of timber would be locked up in the wilderness area that might be harvested under the present law?

Dr. CRAFTS. I have that figure. On the basis of an annual cut—the figure I have in my mind in these two areas together is about 2 million feet a year.

Mr. ASPINALL. For how long?

Dr. CRAFTS. This is on a sustained yield program.