

Mr. HARTZOG. I deeply appreciate the clarification of it because I certainly don't want any confusion on that point. If we have a compatible, private development that is there and we have enough control through scenic easement to see that it continues, we are through with land acquisition.

Mr. McCLURE. Thank you very much.

Mr. SKUBITZ. Will my colleague yield?

Mr. McCLURE. I yield.

Mr. SKUBITZ. I thought that you might like to know that the Governor of the State was not quite as hard nosed about this park as you are. He made the following statement with respect to whether we should or shouldn't have the park in response to a question by our distinguished colleague, the brother of the Secretary:

This last is a tough question. I would ask you—you are no stranger to tough questions—when the House calls the roll. I have to vote yes or no.

Now that is enlightening.

I cannot vote "maybe." I have to vote yes or no.

Mr. UDALL. I object to these editorial comments.

Mr. SKUBITZ. "If it comes down to a question of the Senate-passed bill, a national park of that size or no national park at all, how do you advise me to vote?" The Governor said:

If I were in your place and had to vote I would vote yes.

So that gives us some way to move around at least.

Mr. HARTZOG. Yes, sir.

Mr. SKUBITZ. Thank you.

Mr. McCLURE. I have just one further question. As I understand, these additions to the Glacier Peak wilderness area on the west side, as proposed, are not now in primitive area, they are under general forest service administration?

Dr. CRAFTS. That is correct.

Mr. McCLURE. I reserve the balance of my time, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Secretary, I don't know whether you can answer this question offhand, but I just had somebody get me the original act of 1916 establishing the National Park Service and I thought it might be interesting as a matter of history. Now, I refer to this proviso:

Provided, That not more than \$8,100 annually shall be expended for salaries of experts, assistants and employees within the District of Columbia not herein specifically enumerated, unless previously authorized by law.

This has to do with the services and people who are going to have charge of the National Park Service, outside of the Director and an assistant.

Do you have any idea what the National Park Service spends in Washington, outside of the salaries of the Solicitor and the Director and the Assistant Director?

Secretary UDALL. I will ask the Director to comment.

Mr. SAYLOR. I would like to know how far we have come since 1916.

Mr. HARTZOG. Well, Mr. Saylor, I am sorry, I can't answer the question. I would be happy to provide it for the record.