

Mr. McCLURE. Within the Ross Lake Recreation Area how many private land holdings are there above the waterline not associated with the reservoir itself? A substantial number or just a very few?

Mr. HARTZOG. No; there is no substantial number. There are 1,117 acres of private land in the Ross Lake National Recreation Area. We understand from Mr. John Nelson, Seattle City Light expects to acquire all but 200 acres for the proposed Copper Creek Reservoir site.

Mr. McCLURE. Is that scattered or pretty——

Mr. HARTZOG. Scattered; yes, sir.

Mr. McCLURE. Now, Ross Lake, as I recall it is a reservoir.

Mr. HARTZOG. Yes; three of them.

Mr. McCLURE. Completely?

Mr. HARTZOG. Yes.

Mr. McCLURE. There was no lake there prior to construction of the dam?

Mr. HARTZOG. No.

Mr. McCLURE. So the construction of the dam has provided a water-based recreation that did not exist there before?

Mr. HARTZOG. That is correct.

Mr. McCLURE. Who controls the surface of the lake?

Mr. HARTZOG. I am not sure I understand what you mean.

Mr. McCLURE. What if we get into the problem of floating marinas, and so on, on the surface of the lake, who will have control of that if it is in a national recreation area?

Mr. HARTZOG. Well, these lakes being developed by Seattle City Light, these facilities would have to be coordinated with them.

Mr. McCLURE. If the surface of the lake itself is beyond our control, or perhaps I should put it the other way around, perhaps we have to acquire through easement control of the use of the surface of the lake?

Mr. HARTZOG. That is not necessary in this instance since they already promote a very active recreation program on these lakes now.

Mr. McCLURE. I was thinking in terms of what might be deemed an incompatible use, if that should develop. Do we have the authority to control under the national recreation area the surface use of the water?

Mr. HARTZOG. Well, I think we would have to submit you a view of the Solicitor on that, sir. I wouldn't want to hazard a guess.

Mr. McCLURE. I wonder if you could do that at least for me personally, and perhaps for the committee.

Mr. HARTZOG. I would be pleased to.

Mr. McCLURE. Thank you. I have no further questions.

(Letter of June 28, 1967, from Dr. Crafts to Senator Jackson follows:)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,
BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION,
Washington, D.C., June 28, 1967.

Hon. HENRY M. JACKSON,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR JACKSON: At the May 29 Hearings on S. 1321 (at Wenatchee) a question was raised as to the control of the recreational use of the waters of Lake Chelan.

We have discussed this matter with representatives of our Solicitor's office and have been informed by them that, although they are unaware of any specific