

Mr. KIMBALL. That is the only one, and that was by congressional directive. In all the others the Park Service personnel remove them themselves.

The CHAIRMAN. Do I have any questions on my left?

The gentleman from North Carolina.

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Chairman, I have one question. You recommend that the wildernesses be administered by the National Forest Service?

Mr. KIMBALL. That is correct.

Mr. TAYLOR. You are probably familiar with the fact that the bill which is before us does provide that the two wilderness areas be administered by the National Forest Service?

Mr. KIMBALL. Yes.

Mr. TAYLOR. That is all, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. If my colleague would yield, your position is that the wilderness area is limited within its operation because it includes more than the alpine area?

Mr. KIMBALL. It includes this particular area where there has been at least in the mind of the Washington State sportsman some considerable quality hunting. This will eventually pose a problem of scientific wildlife management. If the annual harvest is not made, eventually we will have some overpopulations there, and damage to the vegetation, and so forth, that is really similar to what has happened over most of our larger parks.

The CHAIRMAN. The only overpopulation that could be considered as damaging to the environment would be the deer and perhaps the bear.

Mr. KIMBALL. That is right.

The CHAIRMAN. You do not have an overpopulation of grouse?

Mr. KIMBALL. Nor of mountain goat, that is correct. It would be primarily a deer problem.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there any questions to my right? If not, are there any additional questions to my left?

Mr. McCLURE. Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Idaho.

Mr. McCLURE. I wanted to ask just this question: Does the comparison between the restrictions on mining activity have any bearing on the decision of your organization?

Mr. KIMBALL. No, it is our view that whatever restrictions on mining need to be made Congress could make in the legislation, and it could be administered by either of the Federal agencies.

Mr. McCLURE. The restrictions and differences in restrictions between the Wilderness Act and the park status are not a conclusive or substantial factor.

Mr. KIMBALL. No, sir.

Mr. McCLURE. Thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Kimball, counsel has given me a tear sheet showing a statement by Director Hartzog. I would like to read for insertion at this place and also for your information:

We have, however, adopted one new procedure to which I would like to call the committee's attention. We have provided you information on this before in connection with our general report. That is that in the Grand Teton legislation of 1950 the Congress provided that where it was necessary to carry out control programs, they would be carried out by the Secretary in cooperation with the State and that the Secretary would use, when he needed additional help other than the permanent ranger staff that we have, deputized park