to 1965—the one contract with Chromcraft you paid for data on two

of the launchers, but we do not see it on the other types.

Captain McMorries. If I may, I would like to ask Mr. Morgan to address the changes, and you mentioned several launcher models and varying degrees of data.

Mr. Morgan, can you address that? Mr. Morgan. I think there was an error in some of the information you have there that indicates that Radiant manufactured the 32A/A. They only manufactured the 32/A.

Mr. LUMAN. All right.

Mr. Roback. What does the Navy own for the money they paid for

reproducible drawings and other data?

Mr. Luman. You paid Chromcraft for the 32A/A for drawings in your contract, however, in October 1963, according to the information

Mr. Roback. What do you have to show for those payments?

Captain McMorries. I think the question is where do we stand in

general in the status of data as of this moment?

Mr. Roback. In the light of the fact that there is a potential negotiation or at least a procurement request for data which is subject now to some differences of opinion between the contractor and the Navy.

Captain McMorries. Yes, sir. Mr. Roback. And the sums involved, in view of the fact that value engineering is tied up, it was testified I believe that \$2.5 million are

Captain McMorries. It could run as much as that, yes, sir.

Mr. Roback. So the question I am asking, what do you own out of this in the way of money paid, I mean resulting from money paid for

Captain McMorries. Addressing Navy launcher configuration or data acquired? design, Navy-Marine, I would like to ask Mr. Morgan to state if he can for the record at this time the status these particular launchers and the data we hold.

Could you address that?

Mr. Morgan. The only data that I know of that we have is on the 32A/A for the Navy.

We also held it on the 32/A that Radiant manufactured.

Mr. Roback. Why did you pay on contract 0313-f? You paid for reproducible drawings. Is that data?

Mr. Morgan. Yes; that would be data.

Mr. Roback. There is \$13,000 for item 1. This is on the 3A/A, \$12,265

Mr. Bothwell. We received on 64-0313 125 microfilm frames depictfor item 2. ing the 3A/A, and we also have on that contract 131 microfilm frames

depicting the 32A/A.

Mr. LUMAN. Looking at your 1965 contract, this is the one now that you let Portsmouth bid on, Portsmouth bid on the 32A/A and the LAU-3A, so you must have had the data on those to let these fellows bid. Is that a correct assumption?

Mr. Tassin. That was what Portsmouth quoted on in the 1967 con-

Mr. Luman. Yes; I am sorry, the 1967 contract, so you must have tract. data on the 32A/A and the LAU-3/A.