Representative Griffiths. I see. Who is doing the screening?

Mr. LAMPMAN. The Mathematica Corp. is the operating agency.

They have a subcontract with Opinion Research Corp.

Representative Griffiths. I understand that there are no aged and I have been given an answer why there are no aged in the experiment. Would you explain to me why there are no women in the experiment?

Mr. LAMPMAN. That is women-headed families?

Representative Griffiths. Yes.

Mr. LAMPMAN. Well, we wanted to get at the situation of more or less a purely new program. Many of the women-headed families in New Jersey are eligible for AFDC. Most of the poor women-headed families in New Jersey, we think, probably are already on AFDC. It would be very difficult for us to take them from the program on AFDC and put them on a different program where the benefits are perhaps less.

Representative Griffiths. I see.

Mr. LAMPMAN. So we are restricted in trying to deal with that particular category of the poor in the State of New Jersey, and that

would be true in many States.

Representative Griffiths. And would it not be possible simply to substitute the amount of money for a woman-headed family that they draw on the AFDC, or define women who are working and give them that much money to see what they did, whether they worked or not. Mr. Lampman. Yes, but it would be hard for us to get any control

of women-headed families.

Representative Griffiths. Why would it?

Mr. Lampman. Statistical control—that is, in getting some women who are low income and who are held with a very low benefit level, to compare with those with a very high benefit level.

Representative Griffiths. I agree. There are very few women with

Mr. Lampman. Well, I mean in this case, high benefit levels are already represented in New Jersey by AFDC guarantees.

Representative Griffiths. I see.

Mr. Levine. Madam Chairman, might I supplement this?

Representative Griffiths. Yes.

Mr. Levine. One thing that I tried to make clear about this experiment is that it is not a demonstration of how well or even how a negative income tax would work. It is a research program to get certain information. The basic piece of information is on the work incentive. In the political eye and in general, this information is most needed about the man. It is the man one pictures sitting on his porch and fishing because he is getting \$2,000 a year from the Government. It is this question, how much time is spent sitting on the porch and fishing, which I think it is concentrated on the man. Somehow we think less frequently of the woman loafing because she is getting a payment.

Mrs. RIVLIN. Of course, she is not really loafing, Bob.

Representative Griffiths. I would like to say I am probably the only woman in Congress who disagrees with this picture. I do disagree completely. I think any intelligent analysis of the welfare picture will show you that most of the recipients of welfare are women and they themselves are the creators of other welfare recipients, so that generation after generation of children are being reared who have never seen anyone work. If work is the ideal, and I am inclined to believe