Suppose we go along with the negative income tax and the guaranteed income and people's incomes are higher and they have the option. I am not sure if I had the option of an income or working in a garbage collector's job or something of that kind, I would go out and do it. I hope I would. I am not sure I would.

Monsignor Corcoran. I had a relative who was a garbage collector

and he used to speak to me very proudly of it.

Senator PROXMIRE. I think with good reason. I think that is a job in which you can take pride. I think all jobs are. But as I say, we all are human and we must recognize that.

My time is up.

Representative Griffiths. Mr. Rumsfeld?

Representative Rumsfeld. Mr. Hayes, just to pursue this line, you said to Senator Proxmire we have to prepare for the eventuality that not all people will be able to work. I take it that that is an underlying basis for your position.

Mr. Hayes. It is certainly one premise on which we base our state-

ment and I was using work in the sense that I thought he was using

it, more in the sense of labor.

In other words, there will be a necessity to define work as the contribution to society, not necessarily the toil type of work that we think of. In the paper, I refer to jobs such as teachers, which are still not, you know, in some ways, escaping from the work-ethic concept. Representative Rumsfeld. You do not call that work?

Mr. HAYES. Well, by the fact that our society reflects on their salaries comparable to industrial workers, for example, by in many ways paying industrial workers even more. I think that is part of the result of that attitude.

But I think there are a lot of work contributions that people will be able to make to society which will be productive for society and which will be economically rewarding.

Representative Rumsfeld. But you do not call them work?

Mr. Hayes. He was not calling it work, I do not think.

Representative Rumsfeld. Do you?

Mr. HAYES. I would in the definition I just gave; yes.

Monsignor Corcoran. Could I amplify that a little bit, reflecting on

the puzzled look on your face?

Representative Rumsfeld. Please do. Because it seems to me this world is so far from perfection that I have a great deal of difficulty believing that one of the premises that underlies the testimony that has been given is a valid one. I just do not believe that the technological changes and the automation that is taking place is going to lead to a situation where a great many people will not be able to participate productively in society. I think, conversely, that the changes that are taking place will create more and more jobs, more and more needs, and that well, as a world and as a country and as a people here in this part of the world, continue to find differing ways, to be sure, but every bit

Mr. HAYES. That is what I am agreeing with. I just did not agree with using the word "work" in the sense of labor that would not in-

clude nonphysical work.

Representative RUMSFELD. I do not think anybody is going to talk about work meaning physical. If you define it that way, I suppose you