

of these functions, such as perhaps the Fire Department, and the Department of Highways and Traffic, and provide those services and know that they are being provided, then we can be relieved of the responsibility of Federal financing of other general operations of the District of Columbia.

I suspect this would ultimately cut back on the Federal payment and eventually might even eliminate the necessity of a Federal payment for the operation of the District of Columbia.

The CHAIRMAN. I would suggest to the gentleman that the annual Federal payment to the District of Columbia was \$70 million.

Mr. HARSHA. Would you object to making this Commission that the bill provides for, a bipartisan Commission?

Mr. BROYHILL. No. The fact of the matter is, as the gentleman knows—I think the gentleman is a co-sponsor of the bill—

Mr. HARSHA. No.

Mr. BROYHILL. I did not expect it to be perfect in its original draft. I knew, as the result of our hearings, that we would certainly need to make improvements to the bill. I see no objection to that, as far as I am concerned.

Mr. HARSHA. Does the gentleman know of any other problem in the present set-up, other than what he has alluded to in his statement? Are we having other problems or have there been other criticisms that you are aware of, indicating the necessity of a change such as this legislation proposes?

Mr. BROYHILL. We shall hear from the D.C. Police Association, and also from the White House Police. There are numerous instances of lowering morale in the Police Department, making it difficult to get new recruits, if this problem continues, it can destroy the efficiency and effectiveness of the Police Department. I think we will hear testimony to that effect.

Mr. HARSHA. That is all I have.

Mr. BROYHILL. I request that a splendid article pointing up the problems resulting from nine separate police forces in Washington be printed in the record.

The CHAIRMAN. Without objection, it will be included.

(The article referred to follows:)

TOO MANY CHIEFS—NINE INDEPENDENT POLICE FORCES DO NOT NECESSARILY GIVE US MORE PROTECTION

(By R. D. Heintz Jr., Reprint from *The Washingtonian*, June 1966, Vol. 1, No. 9)

Need a policeman? Whom should you call? Most likely you'd think of the Metropolitan Police ① (444-1111). But perhaps you're in Rock Creek Park—that would be Park Police ② (DU 1-7411). However, if you're at the Zoo, even though deep in Rock Creek, you'd phone the Zoo Police ③ (CO 5-0743). If a soldier were involved, your best bet might be the Armed Forces Police ④ (OX 8-3277); if on the Capitol grounds, the Capitol Police ⑤ (CA 5-5151)—but watch out: you could need the Supreme Court Police ⑥ (EX 3-1640); their jurisdiction starts just across 1st Street, N.E., where the Capitol Police's stops. A White House matter would involve the White House Police ⑦ (GL 6-1414). At National Airport you'd ring the Airport Police ⑧ (RE 7-6131) and should you be at McMillan Reservoir or Dalecarlia or Georgetown Reservoir, you'd call the Engineer Corps Aqueduct Police ⑨.

If variety is any standard, Washington may well be the world's best-policed city. Those badge-wearing men in blue all over town actually are members of at least a dozen separate forces.