

House appoint this Commissioner. I assume, unlike the Rayburn Building, this Congress will be sufficiently cognizant of the problem to pick out the best man in the United States they can find to be the Commissioner.

Mr. JACOBS. Are you saying a kind word about Mr. Stewart, by implication?

Mr. MARGOLIUS. No. The design of a building is a matter of opinion.

Mr. JACOBS. He is one individual.

Mr. MARGOLIUS. This involves law enforcement. Once that Commissioner is picked out and appointed—

Mr. JACOBS. He can be removed at will.

Mr. MARGOLIUS. No. His is a 4-year appointment.

Mr. JACOBS. As I read this bill, he could be removed at any time.

Mr. MARGOLIUS. That I would object to.

Mr. BROYHILL. Will the gentleman yield? I think the gentleman from Indiana has brought up a very good point. As I stated earlier, the bill was drafted to accomplish the main thrust of consolidating the departments and bringing them under the jurisdiction of Congress. There are several co-sponsors, and I am sure they all feel some reservations on some details. They are not endorsing every bit of the language in the bill. It is all subject to change and improvement, which is the purpose of the hearings.

The gentleman brought up the point about the White House Police. Actually, as I stated before the gentleman arrived, there is recruitment from the Metropolitan Police Department. They are dependent upon the Metropolitan Police Department for training and recruitment.

There is no intention in the bill that we take over the White House Police, but merely to coordinate their training and recruitment. We have some coordinated supervision in the event of an emergency, to provide additional police for the White House in the event of emergency as well as to assign additional off-duty White House Policemen for emergency service.

If there is objection to that, I, as one of the sponsors of the bill, am certainly willing to consider any alternative proposal.

There is precedent for bringing these things under the control of Congress. I mentioned the Scotland Yard situation before the gentleman arrived. The Chairman of the House District Committee and the Senate District Committee now appoint the D.C. Armory Board, and there might be a question as to whether that is within the constitutional prerogative of Congress.

I mentioned the Capitol Police, who are under our complete jurisdiction, and they certainly have a feeling of much more support behind them than the Metropolitan Police have. Yet, we do not have the harassment that you hear so much about, or the low morale, and we haven't had any charges of police brutality against these members.

Mr. JACOBS. I might point out to my colleague, whose sincerity I do not question in the least, that the Capitol Police do not deal with the same problems that the Metropolitan Police do. It is a little like the difference between the highway police and city police.

Mr. BROYHILL. That is one of the main reasons for the bill. There is presently a great deal of overlapping and duplication of jurisdiction. We have members of the Metropolitan Police Department in the House Gallery right now, in plain clothes, because it is felt we do not