

that we could provide that this Police Commissioner be appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate, and have the Advisory Commission appointed by Congress as is provided in the bill. The organization would still be a part of the Legislative Branch of the Congress, the same as the General Accounting Office, the Government Printing Office, the Library of Congress, the Botanical Garden; even the Architect of the Capitol is appointed by the President, but yet he serves with the Congress and for the Congress and actually has no other official relationship with the Executive whatsoever.

So there are many things we can do to help give everyone a part of the action, so to speak, and to protect the interests of all concerned. But the main thrust of the bill is to coordinate the separate and presently uncoordinated activities of the separate police departments and to bring them under the general guidance and supervision of the Congress of the United States.

So I make that statement for the record at this time, Mr. Sullivan, because I feel that there are a lot of things we can do in perfecting or improving this bill so as to overcome some valid objections and yet not destroy the main intent of the legislation, which is to improve the effectiveness of law enforcement here in the nation's capital.

MR. SULLIVAN. Mr. Broyhill, I think the White House would be the safest place in the country under this bill. Certainly, if there are some amendments to be made, I am not the one to judge. It is this committee. I see nothing changing anything except that we are coordinating the efforts of law enforcement. I see this causing no hardship on budgets or anything else. In fact, it may be that it would come to a lesser cost, taking everything for granted, with the economy being what it is; there might be additional costs in payrolls in the future, or retirement benefits, or whatever it might be. Certainly, you are right, nothing is perfect when it is first drawn. There might be some things that need changes but I, for one, could not see where this would be detrimental to the protection of the President of the United States. Let us say now that the—the bill does not say that you are going to take anything out of the White House Police Force but it could very easily be that we move quite a few more up there if we needed them. This is the type of coordination that I am speaking about. I am thinking that this bill keeps the individuality of each department and just puts a man at the head of it, and the Commissioner to guide him, so that he can best, through the knowledge and learning, apply the police forces to the welfare of the people of our nation's capital.

Now, certainly if it does cause some slight changes, this, of course, is within the wisdom of this committee. I, for one, cannot see it.

THE CHAIRMAN. Mr. Sisk.

MR. SISK. I will try to be very brief.

EFFECT OF D.C. REORGANIZATION

Inspector Sullivan, I think just in order to kind of lay this thing out, as I understand it, actually what we are doing or what I would interpret your position to be—and I am not being critical of your position because I think you know my strong feelings about the need for a well-supported police department here—but what in essence this bill