

Tomorrow it is going to be just like it was yesterday in terms of the basic central city crisis.

Inspector SULLIVAN. I think it will change it somewhat. You will have one person coordinating all the law enforcement. I have stayed away from one part of the bill because I do not think it is within my wisdom to pass upon it, but somebody has to say when you are going to bring additional help in like the National Guard. Somebody will have to say when you bring in other additional help, like the armed forces. Of course this lies with the Mayor. When the Mayor in May declared a curfew and he used proper tools, it was quelled and he was dealing with the inner city. I realize in the inner city we have many problems, social welfare and so forth, and these are problems that must be dealt with. But when we are having burnings and lootings and insurrection, and anarchy, or whatever you want to call it, we cannot stand idly by just because this situation exists.

Mr. ADAMS. Nobody mentioned that, and I have not suggested that, and that is why I said we can reach substantial agreement on major areas. I am talking about the fact that people are blaming problems of an entirely different new exploding crisis in a city on a system. I just think when you start trying to say if we put over Chief Layton a man with a different name, the problems he is going to face today and he faced yesterday in the city are not going to change. Therefore it is very questionable as to whether or not, whatever type of name you call it, a change at the top is going to solve the problem. I do not think it should be presented to the people of the city as a solution.

The CHAIRMAN. I dislike to disturb the gentleman, but we are now having a roll call on the floor of the House. We will continue these hearings at a later date. At this time I ask the reporter to insert certain communications in the record.

(The materials referred to follow:)

[Press Release, July 9, 1968]

POLICEMEN'S ASSOCIATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WASHINGTON, D.C.

The members of the Policemen's Association of the District of Columbia have demanded that we issue a statement of our position in answer to the outrageous and ill-tempered resolution adopted by the Black United Front calling the execution of Officer Stephen Williams justifiable homicide. Our members are particularly incensed by the fact that the Vice Chairman of the City Council of the District of Columbia, Walter E. Fauntroy, a member of the Black United Front, has not repudiated the resolution.

The resolution was adopted by 150 militants and reached the front page of every newspaper in the City of Washington. It was not only a condemnation of the policeman who lost his life and of the other man who lies critically wounded and paralyzed in the hospital, but it was an effort to condemn the police department and all of its members. No decent law abiding citizen of any ethnic background can condone the execution of a policeman in cold blood while performing his duty as justifiable homicide. Therefore, the least that we can expect is to have a full and forthright repudiation, not only of the resolution but of its intendment. This Reverend Fauntroy has refused to do.

As a matter of fact, Reverend Fauntroy in his statement from the pulpit and to the press in condemning all killings has been critical of the police when they have found it necessary to use their guns in protection of their lives and the lives of others. For the death of Private Stephen Williams, three persons face criminal charges. A resolution such as adopted by the Black United Front and