

Colonel SEIDEL. 2.2.

Mr. HARSHA. Did you say 2.2?

Colonel SEIDEL. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. Now, as I understood it you use a thermal steamplant as an alternative for the peaking, Ohio hydroturbine plant.

Colonel SEIDEL. That is correct, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. Did you figure the alternative with the use of a combustion turbine generation?

Mr. THRALL. Sir, in the alternative values furnished by the Federal Power Commission, the combustion alternative was not figured.

Mr. HARSHA. Well, the combustion turbine generation is usually less expensive than the thermal.

Mr. THRALL. In first costs.

Mr. HARSHA. In first costs?

Mr. THRALL. Yes, sir; but much higher in cost of operation.

Mr. HARSHA. How much less in first cost?

Mr. THRALL. I do not have the figures readily available.

Mr. HARSHA. How much higher in operation?

Mr. THRALL. We would have to furnish both figures for the record. (The information follows:)

The initial first costs of internal combustion power generation would amount to \$90 per kilowatt. Operations cost would amount to 6.95 mills per kilowatt-hour with load factors through 6% and 3.5 mills per kilowatt-hour with load factors over 6%. The initial first costs for fossil fuel thermal power generation would amount to \$125 per kilowatt. However, the operating costs would remain constant at only 2.5 mills per kilowatt-hour.

Mr. HARSHA. That is all I have, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. JONES. Congressman Lloyd Scott represents this district and has been an advocate of the project and discussed this with members of the committee and has been very dedicated in his support.

We are very pleased to have you.

#### STATEMENT OF HON. WILLIAM L. SCOTT, A REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to appear before your subcommittee today with various citizens of the 8th District of Virginia in support of the Salem Church Dam and Reservoir project. Certainly I would hope that this project will be included in the omnibus civil works bill now under consideration rather than the individual bill, H.R. 6397 I introduced last year. Mr. Chairman, with the committee's consent I would like to make this statement and then to introduce spokesmen for several counties and the city of Fredericksburg who will have short statements and to submit copies of resolutions and other statements for the record.

The Salem Church project is not new to this subcommittee, having been authorized by the Congress in 1946 with a dam at a level of 220 feet and amended by the House in 1955 to remove the 220-foot limitation. However, the Senate referred the matter to the Corps of Engineers for further study and we now have its report and recommendation for a 240-foot dam.

Citizens of the area have been waiting a long time for this project and I would hope that the subcommittee can now approve the dam as recommended by the Department of the Army.