

If he did send out 130,000 and got back 13,000 of which 8,300 or 80 percent approved the dam, he has a very small percentage of his constituency approving it.

He said he had 83 percent, but that comes down to about 8.3 percent that he has.

I would also like to make the statement that \$60 for woodland is incorrect, that woodland is nearer to \$300 to \$600 and that farmland is about \$1,000 an acre.

Thank you very much.

Mr. JONES. Thank you very much for your fine statement.

Next we will hear from Mrs. Panalee Ikari, of Rockville, Md., representing the Canoe Cruiser's Association of Greater Washington, D.C.

**STATEMENT OF MRS. PANALEE IKARI, THE CANOE CRUISER'S
ASSOCIATION OF GREATER WASHINGTON, D.C.**

Mrs. IKARI. Mr. Chairman, I am speaking today not only in behalf of the Canoe Cruiser's Association of Greater Washington, D.C., but as a spokesman for the Coastal Canoeists of Virginia, the West Virginia Highlands Conservancy, and many private citizens who have personally contacted me on this matter.

We want to express our appreciation to you for providing me the opportunity to speak again in opposition to the construction of the Salem Church Dam as contemplated. We want to reaffirm our position taken last September when I spoke before the Senate Public Works Committee, Subcommittee on Flood Control, Rivers and Harbors, and respectfully request that those views be included in this record.

We felt the committee would be interested in a report on the trip on the Rappahannock to which we had invited the Senators, their staffs, and other interested individuals when I last testified. We have scheduled another trip on June 30 and we would be delighted to have you join us. We were gratified and surprised at the response to our earlier invitation.

First, more than 25 boats participated in the trip down the river—and there have been a number of subsequent trips. Second, was the response to the newspaper article in the Washington Post reporting on that trip last October. This publicity provided opportunity for a number of private citizens to contact me concerning this matter. I am sorry that during that time I did not document all of the names of people or their numbers; more than 50 telephoned on this matter after that notice appeared in the paper. I was amazed at their number and interest.

The point is that not only are organizations, such as those recreational groups which I represent today, actively canoeing on the river and enjoying the natural beauty of this part of the country, but there are many private individuals who are not affiliated with any organization who visit the area and appreciate its wilderness and historic qualities, now extant, which would be lost with the construction of the dam.

As small voices, we hope you will seriously consider our views.

Thank you for your kindness.

Mr. JONES. Thank you, very much.

Next we have Mr. Arthur T. Wright, conservation consultant representing the Wilderness Society of Washington, D.C.