

Mr. BLATNIK. Similar type of project, smaller one?

Colonel ANDERSON. Small, identical.

Mr. BLATNIK. I do not think there will be any questions. Next is Wilson Harbor, N.Y.

WILSON HARBOR, N.Y.

Colonel ANDERSON. Sir, this report concerns modification of the existing project at Wilson Harbor, N.Y., on the southwestern shore of Lake Ontario, in the interest of recreational navigation. It is responsive to a resolution by the House Committee on Public Works.

The existing project provides an 8-foot channel extending from the lake into the mouth of Tuscarora Bay at the village of Wilson, with two parallel jetties about 800 feet long. Because of shallow depths in Tuscarora Bay, the harbor has not been able to accommodate the number and type of recreational boats desiring to base or call at Wilson Harbor.

The plan of improvement calls for extending the existing project channel through Tuscarora Bay. The extension will be mainly to a depth of 6 feet and width of 100 feet, although a short reach of 8-foot depth will also be provided. Total cost is estimated at \$401,000, of which \$198,000 would be Federal, and the benefit-cost ratio is 1.8. The usual items of local cooperation for recreational navigation projects are required and local interests have indicated their willingness and ability to meet such requirements. All interested Federal and State agencies favor the project and the Bureau of the Budget has no objection to the submission of the report to Congress.

Mr. Chairman, this completes my statement.

Mr. BLATNIK. No further questions on this project? Next is the Detroit River, Trenton Channel, Mich.

DETROIT RIVER, TRENTON CHANNEL, MICH.

Colonel ANDERSON. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, this report concerns improvements of Detroit River, Trenton Channel, Mich., in the interest of navigation. It is in response to a resolution by the House Public Works Committee.

Local interests desire extension of the deepwater channel from the end of the present 28-foot depth channel to deep water in Lake Erie in order to provide for fully loaded Great Lakes vessels. The recommended project provides for a channel 28 feet deep and 300 feet wide, a distance of about 20,500 feet, from the existing 28-foot channel to Gibraltar, a turning basin 28 feet deep, about 1,500 feet long and 830 feet wide at Gibraltar, and necessary compensating dikes to maintain Great Lakes water levels at preproject elevations. Spoil materials would also be used to construct dikes for erosion control, containment of future maintenance spoil, and to create wave-sheltered boating, fishing, and waterfowl resting areas. Total estimated cost is \$31,540,000 of which \$31,300,000 would be Federal. The benefit-cost ratio is 1.9. Local interests are willing to provide all required items.

The Bureau of the Budget has no objection to the submission of this report; however, it recommends that local interests pay 50 percent of the annual charges for the project unless and until multiple use occurs. It also recommends local assurances that facilities adequate