McLouth Steel and Detroit Edison which dot the improved waterfront. These industries represent the major anchor of support for the growing communities in the lower reaches of the Detroit River area.

Mr. Chairman, as you and the members of the subcommittee know, I am a conservationist who has devoted much of my time and energy to the preservation of our natural resources and to the control and abatement of air and water pollution. Feeling as I do on these matters, I also carefully scrutinized the pending project to assure myself that it will not have an adverse impact in these areas.

Dredge spoil from the channel deepening and widening will be utilized to dike the shore areas of the Pointe Mouillee State game area. This will serve to prevent further erosion of the marsh area. Additionally, the fill will provide a park area at the mouth of the Huron

Also, industries moving into the area will be required to meet stringent regulations relating to air and water pollutants which have been laid down under the terms of the water and air pollution legislation

adopted by the Congress in recent years.

Mr. Chairman, I respectfully urge that the Trenton Channel project be approved and included in this year's omnibus public works authorization bill. In urging that this be done, I would like to point out that approval of the project will have only a minimal impact upon Federal outlays during the next 3 fiscal years. I am advised that preconstruction planning will take 3 years and that during this time outlays will amount to a total of some \$700,000—about \$75,000 in fiscal year 1969 and \$300,000 or so in each of the 2 ensuing fiscal years. I am firmly convinced that present Federal budgetary problems will have been substantially eased by the time the project has moved into the construction phase.

Mr. Blatnik. Thank you, Mr. Dingell. Mr. Harsha.

Mr. Harsha. Colonel Anderson, what do you mean by "annual cost sharing to be undertaken"?

Colonel Anderson. Let me read the Bureau of the Budget's state-

ment, sir.

If it is found that the project would initially benefit only a single user; namely, the McLouth Steel Co., some provision for cost sharing should be required. A reasonable basis of such sharing would be payment by local interest annually until such time as multiple use of the channel actually occurs of 50 percent of the annual charges for interest and amortization of the Federal cost of the project.

That is a quote from the Bureau of the Budget's letter, sir.

Mr. Harsha. That is in the report on this?

Colonel Anderson. It is not in the Chief of Engineers' report. It is in the Bureau's report to the Congress.

Mr. Harsha. Where can I get a copy of that? Do we have a report

like this? Would it be in a report such as this (indicating)?

Colonel Anderson. I do not believe that has been printed. The Bureau's comments were dated June 18, and so the Government Printing Office has not had a chance to print up a House document yet. When printed it will be House Document No. 338, 90th Congress, second session.

(Mr. Gray assumed the chair.)

Mr. Harsha. Who is going to use that?