

Colonel HALL. Yes, sir.

Mr. BLATNIK. Use the small map.

Mr. HARSHA. And that is \$148 million.

Colonel HALL. That is correct.

Mr. HARSHA. In round figures, that is.

Then you have from Shreveport to Daingerfield, more navigation, \$130 million, roughly?

Colonel HALL. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. What do you propose to do first at Shreveport to improve the navigation from the mouth to Shreveport?

Colonel HALL. It would be a series of locks and dams, sir—five locks and dams to get navigation to Shreveport with associated channel improvement. And then from Shreveport to Daingerfield would be four more locks and dams to get the required lift to Daingerfield, Tex.

Mr. HARSHA. All right.

Then the bank stabilization part of it is from Cypress—from Shreveport, is it?

Mr. BLATNIK. Yes.

Mr. HARSHA. Up to Denison Dam?

Colonel HALL. Shreveport to Index, and Index to Denison. Stabilization would not be associated with navigation in these reaches but the reach from Shreveport to Index is associated with flood control features. There is stabilization in the reach directly associated with navigation features from the mouth of the Mississippi to Shreveport. Stabilization is not recommended in the authorization from Shreveport to Daingerfield.

Mr. HARSHA. Now, is there any local participation in the bank stabilization for the mouth of the Mississippi to Shreveport?

Colonel HALL. Stabilization from Mississippi River to Shreveport, on bank stabilization, non-Federal cost is shown on page 2, sir, \$7,712,000.

Mr. HARSHA. That is solely for bank stabilization participation?

Colonel HALL. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. You have got \$7.8 million of the \$148 million? Maybe that is not right. Is \$148 million all navigation?

Colonel HALL. Federal cost for navigation from Shreveport to the Mississippi River to Shreveport, non-Federal cost in addition to that previously quoted would be another \$7.8 million in round figures.

Mr. HARSHA. That is for navigation participation?

Colonel HALL. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. What is the local participation for bank stabilization on that same reach?

Colonel HALL. In the top portion under bank stabilization, \$7.7 million; so the total for that reach would be roughly \$15.5 million, the sum of the two.

Mr. HARSHA. And the Federal portion for bank stabilization is \$86 million?

Colonel HALL. Yes, sir.

Mr. HARSHA. Now, what is the general formula for local participation in solely bank stabilization matters?

Colonel HALL. The bank stabilization from the Mississippi River

to—
Mr. HARSHA. Shreveport.