

effect or prescribed by the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration" which "are hereby made a condition of this permit."

You are a much better lawyer than I am on this point. I do not know whether it is covered or it is not covered. But I believe it is covered.

Mr. JONES. All of us lawyers are going to be out of court if you do not hurry up. We are going to have to answer a quorum.

Mr. INDRITZ. Is that sewer owned by the city of Alexandria?

Mr. HARTZOG. Yes, sir; that is my information.

Mr. INDRITZ. Is there anything in the condition of the permit which would place an obligation upon the city to extend that sewer?

Mr. HARTZOG. I have a note, and this is all I have, based on telephone information, that the city of Alexandria conditioned their approval among other things on, one, that an adequate outfall channel is maintained for South Royal Street sewer; and two, that the city retains their rights to the extension of streets to the water's edge.

Mr. INDRITZ. Who would pay for such an extension of the sewer?

Mr. HARTZOG. I do not know, sir.

Mr. INDRITZ. Is it possible that if the Federal Government wanted such a sewer extended in order to channel the outfall beyond the fill area, the Federal Government might have to pay for it?

Mr. HARTZOG. I would not want to speculate on it, sir. I think more appropriately it falls within the jurisdiction of the Corps of Engineers who issued the permit.

Mr. INDRITZ. Would you, as Director of the Park Service, be satisfied that condition (k) would enable the Federal Government to have that sewer, which is owned by the city of Alexandria, extended and channeled through a fill area, which is owned by the permittee, without cost to the United States?

Mr. HARTZOG. I am of that opinion; yes, sir.

(Subsequently the following correspondence was exchanged:)

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
NATURAL RESOURCES AND POWER SUBCOMMITTEE
OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS,
Washington, D.C., June 26, 1968.

Mr. GEORGE B. HARTZOG, Jr.,
Director, National Park Service,
Department of the Interior,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. HARTZOG: You testified before this subcommittee on June 24 concerning the permit issued by the Corps of Engineers on May 29 to Howard P. Hoffman Associates, Inc., to bulkhead and fill in a part of Hunting Creek, Va. You stated that one of your objections to the permit would be "taken care of" if the combined sewer and storm drain which empties into Hunting Creek from South Royal Street "is ultimately extended through this fill, whether it is made as the fill is made or whether it is made subsequent to the fill . . ." (transcript, p. 69). The subcommittee counsel asked you several questions endeavoring to ascertain whether the permit of May 29 adequately assures that the sewer would be extended through the fill area without cost to the United States. The questions and your answers are set forth on the attached sheet.

We would appreciate it if you and your legal advisers would review those questions and answers and advise us whether you believe that the terms of the permit fully protect the Government's interests in having the sewer outfall extended beyond the fill, including assurance that (a) the extension will be con-