

Dr. CAIN. I do not know.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. I am asking you.

Dr. CAIN. I do not know.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. He just told you, "I am getting lots of telephone calls," and he did not say who?

Dr. CAIN. His staff people told me they were getting telephone calls also; yes, sir.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. And they did not mention at all the direction that those calls were coming from?

Dr. CAIN. I do not know who the calls came from.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Black is here and will testify.

Dr. CAIN. As far as I know, they could have been either pro or con the permit. This is a thing that I did not inquire into.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. Do you believe that the directors of the bureaus who have testified and who took the positions in 1964 and in October and in April and in May, and take it now—that filling in this area would adversely affect the conservation and recreational values—do you believe that they based their judgment on the facts that they gathered in their examinations of the area?

Dr. CAIN. I have reason to believe that the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife people had also been having some pressure put on them one way or another with respect to this. I think it is quite clear, as it has been said in laudatory comment about Director Gottschalk and Director Hartzog also, that they stood by their guns, or whatever the expression was.

I think I should say that in my personal opinion the basis for the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife opinions about the value of these few acres could scarcely be described as being scientific. They are general conclusions, based upon general information. It is not scientific, as I understand it.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. May I ask you this, Dr. Cain: Would it be closer to a scientific opinion than your opinion which you have described to us as a general feeling?

Dr. CAIN. Some, but not too much.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. Some?

Dr. CAIN. Some, but not too much; yes, sir. Because they deal with this kind of thing much more often than I do.

Mr. VANDER JAGT. Yesterday General Woodbury told us that he relied on Black's letter when he made the decision to grant the permit, and had he known that there remained strong objections to the filling in of this area, as far as the Department of Interior was concerned, he would not have recommended the granting of the permit. Do you think it was accurate to convey to General Woodbury the impression that the Department of Interior had really no objections to the filling in of this area? Can that reflect the opinion of the Department?

Dr. CAIN. I believe I have already stated that it was careless of me—and this in a memorandum I wrote—to use, "we," because it was ambiguous. There was nothing in the record anywhere to show that the two bureaus had changed. And I can see now that General Woodbury would assume from my memorandum that "we" included a shift of position of the bureaus, whereas it included a shift of position taken by me. I have already admitted that this was an ambiguous use of the expression "we."