

was not an "unresolved substantive difference of views" between the corps or the Department of the Army and the Department of the Interior, or the constituent agencies of the Department of the Interior. The matter had been resolved, and you had just informed the general that it had been resolved, there was unanimity now. And you concurred—

Dr. CAIN. I had gone back to the Bureau's decision; yes, sir.

Mr. Moss. Correct. And so to prove it then from that position, which was at that point very clear and unequivocal, the general said, "let us take it to Under Secretary Black." But take what?

Dr. CAIN. I cannot explain to you what may or may not have been in General Woodbury's mind. But I do not think we can neglect to recollect the history of this, which is confusing. I confused it.

Mr. Moss. Sir, I could not agree more.

Dr. CAIN. And, sir, I think he had every reason to want to move it up to the next step in order to be sure of what Interior's position is. I am saying this in defense of General Woodbury at detriment to myself. You do understand this, do you not?

Mr. Moss. After 20 years as a legislator when I get agreement I move in close. I do not go seeking another way of creating further confusion.

Dr. CAIN. I am sorry, I cannot explain General Woodbury's position for you.

Mr. Moss. It also required your concurrence with the Under Secretary, did it not? He did not insist on taking it to the Under Secretary?

Dr. CAIN. General Woodbury said he would.

Mr. Moss. He asked you if you would like him to, did he not?

Dr. CAIN. I do not know.

Mr. Moss. That is certainly the connotation I would place upon your statement to Mr. Indritz.

Dr. CAIN. He very well could have. I think my statement that this kept me "off the hook," which was not true, probably implies that I would like to see it moved up to the next level. But as to the exact words, I cannot tell you.

Mr. Moss. I have no further questions. Mr. Vander Jagt, any questions?

Mr. VANDER JAGT. No questions.

Mr. Moss. Mr. Indritz?

Mr. INDRITZ. Dr. Cain, as the Assistant Secretary within whose program area the National Park Service comes in, were you familiar with the 10-year development program for the Park System of the District of Columbia?

Dr. CAIN. Not in any detail. I had never at that time seen tentative plans for Jones Point, for example. I did see them later. I believe Mr. Horne brought them over to me. I saw them later.

Mr. INDRITZ. At the time you wrote your letter of October 10, 1967, had you been aware that the Regional Director of the National Capital Region of the National Park Service had publicly stated that—and I will quote from a letter dated January 11, 1966, signed by the then Regional Director, T. Sutton Jett, addressed to Mr. A. Z. Shows, of Alexandria, Va., in which Mr. Jett stated:

* * * it appears that our only course of action, if we are to control the filling operations in Hunting Creek, is to seek specific authority and appropriations of