Mr. Black. I did not focus in any fine detail on that question, Mr. Indritz. I think it was the feeling in the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration that this would adequately protect their interests. But, in answer to your question, I did not devote a great deal of specific attention in regard to that provision in arriving at any decision.

Mr. Indritz. You were aware though, were you not, that the construction of the fill in the area west of the eastern boundary of South Royal Street extended would result in diverting the sewer flow along

the shores of Jones Point Park?

Mr. Black. I do not think I would necessarily subscribe to that. If there is additional fill in this area, I can foresee some problems if the outfall is not taken out to the edge. I am not a hydrologist, but I spoke with Director Hartzog about this particular feature at some length, and with Mr. Horne, and it was our feeling that so far as the instant application is concerned, that really does not present a problem at

The Park Service people seemed to be satisfied that should this other piece be filled in there, arrangements should be made in advance to get the sewer outfall out further into the water. I have discussed that point with the Park Service. I do not have the impression that they are concerned about that at this point. It would be something we would want

to watch.

Mr. Indritz. Your letter referred to applications for bulkhead and fill permit affecting 19 acres of land.

Mr. Black. Are you referring to my testimony?

Mr. Indritz. No, your letter of April 26 refers to 19 acres.

Mr. Black. Well, if I could at this point, to the extent that you are going to copy my statement into the record, state there are typographical errors in two places, and this is just the result of our office arithmetic. It should be 9 acres, and we copied that out of the letter, I think, to General Woodbury.

On page 4, 19 appears in the bottom full paragraph and it should be 9. I am referring to my prepared statement now. And the same is true over on page 5-9 should appear at the bottom of the last full

paragraph instead of 18.

Mr. Indritz. I was referring to the first paragraph of your letter

Mr. Black. This is also an error.

Mr. Indritz. I see.

Mr. Black. That was just perpetuated in my statement. We just

copied that again.

Mr. Indritz. Mr. Horne testified the other day that even if only the fill area applied for by Hoffman Associates were filled, there would be backflow and eddying which would result in sufficient hydrological change so that the flow from the sewer outlet would be along Jones Point.

My question, therefore, is, with that kind of concern on the part of the Park Service, ought not the Department, in apprising the Corps of Engineers, indicate a greater concern with respect to the adequacy of

condition (k) in protecting that interest of the Government?

Mr. Black. It may be that we should have. It was my feeling that the Park Service concern was taken care of, so far as this construction