

Senator HATFIELD. You have now in your revised proposal, as I understand, removed that from the plan?

Mr. BLACK. We have indicated that we can accommodate the purposes of this site without that. The Senate bill actually does include that property.

Senator HATFIELD. Yes, that is what I know.

Well, putting it very directly to you, is it your feeling that maybe a fight should be made on the Senate side to include that?

Mr. BLACK. No, sir.

I believe that our park planners, the Park Service, are satisfied that the substance and value of this property can be maintained without that property.

Senator HATFIELD. I see.

The second question I have is related to the statement in Mr. Udall's letter which you read to us, page 2 of that letter, where he refers to the amount that it is expected to take to develop this area as \$1,020,000, which I think would be a bit less now with your reduced plan. What is included now in terms of that amount? I read your brochure, and it does not appear that you are going to be developing any major building; or, at the most, it will be rest stations, pasture, wooded trails that will be included in that amount?

Mr. BLACK. The development costs under the revised plan, eliminating this property, would, of course, be reduced to \$950,200. I have a schedule of the development of this property which we can insert in the record or I can try to recite it to you now.

Senator HATFIELD. I think, Mr. Chairman, you touched on that just a moment ago. When we act upon the bill and our chairman is presenting a bill, there will be those who would want to see a breakdown of the various items included in that figure.

Mr. BLACK. Essentially, it is \$290,000 for roads and trails, \$661,700 for buildings and utilities. That, plus—

Senator HATFIELD. \$600,000 for buildings?

Mr. BLACK. \$661,700 spread over a 5-year period.

Senator HATFIELD. My point is, What are the buildings? I don't see a list here of what kind of buildings are going to be built, other than rest stops and a tour station, or a guide station.

Mr. BLACK. I understand that the lion's share of this figure will be required to rehabilitate and strengthen the main buildings so that they can accommodate a large number of tourists and sightseers. It is in need of some reworking, and this is where a good piece of this money will go. It is going to have to accommodate thousands of people, and will take quite a beating, I imagine, over the years.

Senator HATFIELD. I would suggest, Mr. Chairman—

Mr. BLACK. The building is about 100 years old now.

Senator Moss. Yes, I would ask that you supply a breakdown of the expenditures and what you estimate they will be.

Mr. BLACK. Yes, sir; we will be happy to do that.
(The data referred to follow:)