

SPECIAL USE PERMITS—TAOS INDIAN PUEBLO
(Permit as Proposed by Taos Indian Council for 1963)

Mr. _____ and a party of _____ with _____ horses is hereby authorized to enter the Special Use Area situated in the Carson National Forest within the following dates: _____

Camping is limited to one day within 1 mile of Blue Lake (not to exceed one twenty-four hour period within 1 mile of Blue Lake).

This permit is issued in accordance with an agreement between the Pueblo of Taos and the Secretary of Agriculture and is subject to the following rules:

1. Camping within 300 yards of Blue Lake is prohibited; camping may be at designated areas only.

2. Fishing in Blue Lake is forbidden to everyone including the Taos Pueblo Indians.

3. Bathing and washing of laundry is strictly forbidden in Blue Lake.

4. Horses shall not be ridden by anyone within 300 yards of Blue Lake and shall be grazed only at designated places selected by the Forest Service.

5. Permittee shall put all cans and refuse in pits provided for them.

6. Permittee shall extinguish all campfires, using both water and dirt, and shall take every precaution to PREVENT FOREST FIRES.

7. Leave a clean camp and a clean record. You may want to come back.

8. Permittee shall furnish this permit for examination upon request by Forest Service Officials and Taos Indian Patrolmen. (Permittee may ask such men for proper identification).

9. Applications must be submitted one week ahead of time. No applications will be taken by telephone or telegraph. Applicants usually must come in person to the Ranger's Office to sign application.

Best wishes are extended to you to have an enjoyable trip into the Carson National Forest.

I have read this Entry Permit and agree to observe the rules set forth above.

Senator ANDERSON. The Indians ought to name the man who received a permit over a veto. You can't find him.

Senator METCALF. Name the man who received a permit over the veto of the tribe. Name one.

STATEMENT OF WILLIAM C. SCHAAB—Resumed

Mr. SCHAAB. I can't do that, Mr. Chairman, but I have pointed out the fact that the Forest Service has asked the Pueblo to qualify what the Pueblo conceives to be its right to veto access by stating its reasons and also why the Indians did not wish to qualify their right in that manner.

Senator METCALF. Will you excuse me just a minute while I step out? The hearing will go on, but I will be right back.

Mr. SCHAAB. Yes. I think this about concludes my statement. The final portion of it was directed to the precedent issue and in summary I think there is no precedent created by H.R. 3306 because no other Indian tribe in the United States has the 1928 act and the 1933 act in its history in which Congress has recognized its interest in a piece of sacred land.

Senator ANDERSON (presiding). When you say no other, no one has the same right or problem, the fact is that we have asked again and again for one example of where you say the Forest Service was trying to override the Indians and issue permits against their will.

Mr. Greeley made the charge. What did you do? You said—this is your own language—"with respect to the Forest Service's claim that only it can protect the resources of the watershed, the Pueblo concurs with Representative Saylor's statements * * *"—not with experience, not with practice, but with Representative Saylor's statement.