

M. *Injunction Authority*

Experience with laws has indicated that authority to enjoin the activities of a psychologist or other person who is in violation of the law can be an important factor in protecting the public. Fortunately, it is not an authority that needs to be used often, but it is good to have it in the law. The Committee recommends, therefore, that:

"27. Psychology laws contain injunction authority."

An example of such a provision, taken from the proposed licensing act for the District of Columbia and drafted by APA's legal counsel, is as follows:

"Sec. 19. The unlawful practice of psychology as defined in this Act may be enjoined by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on petition by the Board or by the Commissioners of the District of Columbia. In any such proceeding it shall not be necessary to show that any person is individually injured by the actions complained of. If the respondent is found guilty of the unlawful practice of psychology, the court shall enjoin him from so practicing unless and until he has been duly licensed. Procedure in such cases shall be the same as in any other injunction suit. The remedy by injunction hereby given is in addition to criminal prosecution and punishment."

N. *Review of Proposed Legislation.*

The Committee's last recommendation makes a matter of official policy what was "strongly urged" in 1958:

"28. Because all psychologists have an interest in the public image of psychology, and because state legislation which may define the practice and qualifications of psychologists inevitably shapes this public image, state associations contemplating new legislation or revisions of existing legislation should consult with the national committee concerned with legislative principles, to the end that the impact and effect of alternative forms and provisions in state laws may be clearly understood at the state level."

Mr. SISK. The Committee now will be glad to hear from Dr. Zimmerman.

We would appreciate it if you will please identify yourself and give your complete and correct address for the benefit of the Committee?

You may proceed to read your statement or to summarize it; but, in any event, your entire statement will be made a part of the record at the appropriate place.

STATEMENT OF DR. ISAIAH M. ZIMMERMAN, WASHINGTON, D.C.

Dr. ZIMMERMAN. Mr. Chairman and members of the Subcommittee. I am Dr. Isaiah M. Zimmerman, and I live at 5323 Potomac Avenue, Washington, D.C.

I would just like to comment rather than read the statement which I have.

I would like to say, in my own career I have had occasion to train and supervise both psychologists, social workers, and psychologists in the areas of group and individual psychotherapy, and that the relations between them, in my experience, has been very creative, productive, and that the comments that have been made, if one were to look in a one-way mirror, which is often used in training, and observed the treatments going on using psychotherapy without identifying the participants, it would be very hard to tell whether a social worker, a psychiatrist, or a psychologist was conducting the treatment.

I also feel that the field is moving quite rapidly to the employment of a dialogue between two people or a group of people to promote the individual personality change, to promote community change, and as this trend proceeds, we will find it more and more difficult to