

ties within the practice of psychology. So specific reference to counseling and psychotherapy is not required in the wording of our bill.

Mr. SISK. You are referring to this proposed additional language which they suggest as section 7 (B) ; is that correct?

Dr. CUMMINGS. That is right.

Mr. SISK. Dr. Legault, would you want to make a brief comment on that?

Dr. LEGAULT. I think we have already covered that.

Mr. SISK. I notice there are some additional proposed changes in section 7, the use of the word "accredited" at two places. There is no objection to the use of "accredited", or does this again lead to a problem, educationally speaking?

Dr. CUMMINGS. There is no problem there at all, sir.

Mr. SISK. What does "accredited" mean in this connection? Why was that particular term used? Is this in order to specify the institutions from which these degrees are to be obtained? Is there a problem in this area at all?

Dr. LEGAULT. No, there is no problem.

Mr. SISK. All right. We will move on.

Now, new language is proposed here on page 7, under—Section 7 rather, Dr. Legault, will you quickly make a comment as to the importance your group attaches to that in view of the comments by Dr. Cummings?

Dr. LEGAULT. I think I have already made the comments. Additionally, Virginia is the only State that has this particular requirement; however, other States have similar requirements. South Carolina, for instance, has a requirement spelling out who shall practice psychology under what specific heading; that is, this shall be a published list. There are other legal means whereby the specification of a practice shall be enumerated. Also, California, the licensing of psychologists is entirely carried out by the State Board of Medical Examiners. That is, the Psychologist Examination Board goes through that body, and therefore offers the opportunity for that purpose.

Mr. SISK. Dr. Meltzer, I notice you shaking your head.

Dr. MELTZER. I would like Mrs. Hildreth to make a comment.

Mrs. HILDRETH. I think we should not take the time of the subcommittee describing the California law. Briefly, the Psychology Examining Committee is administratively under the California Medical Board. The California Medical Board must, in both the old law and in the recent 1967 law, issue a license to a person who has been found eligible by the psychology examining committee. If the subcommittee wishes, I can provide them with chapter and verse on the California law. It is true that technically the California Board of Medical Examiners' name is on the license the person gets, that is true.

Mr. SISK. You agree with that Dr. Legault?

Dr. LEGAULT. I agree.

Mr. SISK. Let's move along quickly. We now have a quorum call just starting.

SECTION 8. LICENSING WITHOUT EXAMINATION

On page 7, Section 8, again we have some insertion of language on line 12. For example, in (B) it would read "a master's degree in psychology from an accredited college or university, or comparable train-