get started, and Bob Lilley will take the chair as

the practice of having all the witnesses sworm.

problems as the AKING J. SILLS

called as a witness, duly sworm, testified as follows:

1965 Me By Mr. Jaffer

general comments from you as to your understanding of the pre-riot problems in Newark and the gradual militancy that was occurring, and to the best of your recollection the information that the state authorities had prior to the riot in July, case Police.

when we were asked to prepare security for the Democratic National Convention, and at that time there was a strong civil rights movement in this state. There was also the evolving of what we thought was a militant spirit on the part of blacks, and at that time we had the State Police and I also had the Division on Civil Rights become more alert and make more contact with civil rights leaders and public officials in the larger cities and Atlantic City to determine whether or not we were going to be faced with

Sills .

demonstrations either in Atlantic City or throughout the state at that particular time.

we had certain observations. We found a pretty strong undercurrent at that time for militancy in the civil rights movement. As you know, we had some problems -- not great problems -- at the convention. We were able to contain the problems fairly well.

Over that winter there didn't seem to be much, and in 1965 we had some of the same and I had general reports again coming from the State Police and the Division on Civil Rights. I believe it was in 1965, and I am not quite sure whether it was 1965 or 1966 when we broke out with some disturbances in Elizabeth and in Jersey City. By this time we had devised — and I forget the exact date now — a Human Rights section in the State Police.

Q By whom was that headed?

A It is not exactly headed by anyone. There were two troopers assigned to it. They may be sergeants now. One was Holton and one was Black. I am not quite sure how many are in there now and how large it may be, but if it is larger, it is maybe three or four men instead of two.

When we had perhaps something on the horizon, we would supply other men to go with them. But generally it was Holton and Black who established contact with Mrs. Irene Smith and other members of the civil rights movement.

1 101

113/16

a d

Si11s 5

In Elizabeth and Jersey City we did not send in any uniformed men, but we had observers there and we were alerted, but we were never called. As a matter of fact, in Jersey City Mayor Whelan was expressing his desire that we not be called at the time he had his disturbance. The only difficulty was he had just appointed Joe Smith as the chief of police down there, and the very first night they had their rioting the chief was out in the front lines, and liaison between him and the other men in the field was very poor. they couldn't get instructions from him. By our sending some State Policemen down there we corrected that, so we set up a line of communication. Of course, ultimately Mayor Whelan got together with Smitty and they ironed out their differences. More strongly toward what sver their roal section

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had some problems in Elizabeth, but we didn't send in uniformed men either.

Going into this spring we were getting reports that there was still this undercover militancy. I wouldn't say undercover really because everyone started to note that the black people in the Newark area were becoming more militant. I started getting reports, and if I had to pick up the key things, one would be the medical school and the second one would be the Callahan incident, and the third the setting of the Black Power Conference.

Q When you say key things, you mean these were the

issues which the militants had?

A Right, although if these had not been present, in my opinion they perhaps would have had some other things to seize upon. It just seemed to me that in the medical college issue they had a good issue, in my personal opinion, as to the amount of acres that had to be taken and they themselves not knowing just what was going to be done. Of course, those who were militant were making good use of that. And the other with respect to Callahan, at least as you read it in the paper and what I knew from my reports, not only were the black people concerned about it, but a great majority of the white people were, too. So these issues gave the militants, who I think would have acted anyhow, the opportunity of moving more strongly toward whatever their goal seemed

Their goal seemed to be the taking of as much power as they could. Whether by force or not it is hard to say.

to be. av in 1964, 1965 and 1966 we also thought there was a

Q General, would you have an opinion of how many militants were involved in the Newark community?

A No, I wouldn't. The same names seem to be recurring all the time as to those who were mostly concerned, and they are the names that you heard almost everyday and read about in the newspaper everyday.

Q Would you know whether or not there was any great degree or small degree of outside agitation? Were there

outsiders coming in from different parts of the country?

A We were of the opinion -- it was never truly

documented -- that, of course, this Colonel Hassan, to the extent he was an outsider, came in from the outside.

We also heard there were people who would come in from time to time from other areas of the country who would be in Newark for short periods of time. We never had a full documentation on this. A great deal of this came from the local police as I recall.

Q Would you say that based on the kind of information that was present in Newark prior to July of 1967 that there was a fairly good chance that a riot could occur in the summer? What would be your approximation?

A It is very easy to say looking backwards. I would just say in 1964, 1965 and 1966 we also thought there was a fairly good chance. So I would have to say there still remained that good chance in 1967.

Q Could you tell me how the relationship between the

State Police and the local administration was worked out?

A Colonel Kelly is in a much better position to do that than I. I only know I was asked permission and gave permission -- in fact, as I say, we set up this human relations unit. We also set up an organization whereby Colonel Kelly and Major Olaff and others necessary would go in and speak to the officials of the various cities where we

thought there might be problems. In doing that, we talked over the question of communications on the question of control and arrests and things of that nature. I was not part of these conferences, but I knew about them. I knew the Colonel had some in Newark, some in Jersey City. I think there was one in Elizabeth, and I would say maybe two or three weeks before the rioting broke out in Newark that we were very much concerned, but we were keyed in on this week of this Black Power Conference where we thought if there was going to be some kind of demonstration that would be the time for it.

I would say that in my own mind at no time did I think in terms of what actually happened. I would think in terms of demonstration and disorder, but not to the extent which we did have it.

Q You were present, General, in the June 1965 meeting with the Governor and the Mayor of Newark at the Newarker Restaurant where there was a general discussion as to the procedure to be employed for the calling in of state aid?

A As I said, we had this concern that early and we were fairly apprised of the fact that the National Guard had little or no experience in this area and also could not mobilize itself very quickly. There was general reluctance on my part throughout this whole period of time to use the State Police, very frankly, in local situations. I didn't

think this was a primary function of State Police, and I felt that if the State Police were going to be used that -well, exactly what has happened has happened; that is, you get both the good and the bad along with it. People complain,
talk about State Police force and gestapo methods and things of that nature, and, as I said before, you can't stop a rioting by glancing at people. I just didn't like the state to get the idea we were going to use State Police in numbers and in forces to keep civil order in given municipalities.

Notwithstanding all that, because of the fact that the National Guard could not set up for it, we had little choice but to establish a procedure whereby we advised the various mayors present that if they needed assistance, they were to call the Governor and the Governor would call me and I would alert the State Police. If the State Police thought it was too large for them to handle, they needed more bodies, we would call the Governor and then the State Police would set up communications and run theirs along with the National Guard.

Q Has that procedure ever been formalized by an act of the legislature, by administrative order or executive order of the Governor?

A I don't think it would have to be.

Q This is an internal method of operation?

A The Governor has the power under the law to send

the State Police into a municipality as I view the statutes. He can do it with or without the consent of local people.

If local people want it, they can ask him for it. Also he has the power to activate the National Guard either under martial law or without martial law.

Q Would the Governor of the State of New Jersey have the power to send the State Police, for example, into a city like Newark if not requested by local authorities?

A I believe so. I believe he can do it under the act which we activated, the Civil Defense Disaster Act, and we do it on gambling raids, of course.

The State Police have a police power. Then originally formed their primary function was to patrol and police the rural communities. They do have primary police powers, and they go into any area of the State of New Jersey and enforce the criminal laws.

Q You feel this would also apply to a general breakdown civil disorder type of thing?

A Yes. Also if you activate the Civil Defense Disaster Act.

You think this procedure you have outlined to us should be formalized, or do you think it is the type of thing that should reside generally in the discretion of the Governor?

A I think it should reside in the discretion of the

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

19

20

18

21 22

23

24

25

Governor. I think if you try to formalize it, you tend to become too rigid and you start worrying about what the words are in the particular statute rather than what you have to do in a given circumstance. At least that would be my opinion Q You just mentioned before your personal reluctance to have the State Police called in to contain a civil disorder. I wonder if you might want to contrast the personnel of the State Police number-wise as to personnel in the Newark Police Department and give us an opinion as to what you think the State Police would have to be like in terms of an overall strength to effectively take on the

A In the State Police we have about twelve to thirteen hundred officers and men at the present time. On patrol we generally have about seven hundred men, and in the investigative force we generally have somewhere between two and three hundred. The others are more in a staff capacity.

primary role in a riot situation.

I think the City of Newark's full force is around sixteen hundred, and they have close to fifteen hundred right now. Jersey City, for example, has 877. They are up in full force right now. Elizabeth, 263; Camden. 226: Atlantic City, 192; Trenton, 269, and they are short about 20 men.

As I say, we have 1,225 with an authorized strength of 1,266, and broken down in the way in which I indicated.

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

25

24

We had 484 men on duty in Plainfield, and they spent 31,000 duty hours there. In Newark we had 627 men, and they spent over 40,000 hours of duty.

Q Would you say that 600 figure is about the outside limit that the State Police could be limited to at the present time?

A It is over the limit. When you realize, as I indicated, that we have 700 men for highway patrol throughout the entire state and these men are, you might say, being cut in half because they don't work twelve-hour shifts. For the purposes of our understanding we could say at a given time half of them are on duty and half off duty. The other 200 men are involved in narcotics, auto theft, in organized crime, gambling raids and run the gamut.

You have got to say our sending these men left the rest of the state without any kind of a State Police force.

Q General, in view of that and in view of the fact that Colonel Kelly testified that when he came into Newark that Friday it was very clear to him that he needed National Guardsmen, that the State Police could not effectively contain it, do you think it is realistic, then, to have the State Police as the primary role to play, to have the primary responsibility in containing these civil disorders?

A I think I said before I was not in favor of that. but I had no choice because as between everything, an

less bodies as against an organization which did not have any kind of training and did not have a plan of action, although they have a lot of bodies, we had to choose the former. We had little or no choice in my opinion but to adopt that method.

If we are talking in terms of permanency, I would go back to my original thinking. I don't think this should be a duty of the State Police. I think we need to double our State Police force just to take care of our highway patrol problems and our state criminal problems without giving them the problems of doing the work for city police.

Also philosophically I don't like the idea of building up a tremendous State Police force to go in and superimpose themselves over local police forces, not as a permanent thing.

Q I wonder if you have an opinion, in view of the training problem with the National Guard, that you think it might be more advisable to call up the regular army if a civil disorder came to the point where they were needed.

A I don't think I am expert enough to be able to

answer that. I don't know. I know the National Guard didn't

have this kind of training. It seems to me the National

Guard should have had this kind of training, and I think

the National Guard can have this kind of training. Then I

would have to leave it to the generals in charge of the

National Guard and in charge of the regular army to determine

who would best be suited to handle a situation of this kind.

In Detroit they called in the regular army, but I couldn't answer that. I can only say that from my experience State Police should not be called in for this function, and I don't think they ought to be called upon for this function.

Q You briefly alluded to the fact that there is a distinction between --

A Since this is a matter of public concern, I don't want it to be felt that I am saying that the State Police did not do a good job here. I think under the conditions they did a superlative job, but I am talking now in terms of not what happened but what should be.

Q I think you also mentioned before the General
Disaster Act and the martial law concept. I wonder if you
might briefly describe for us the two different kinds of
situations in which the Governor can act.

A The National Guard can be called in by the Governor in two ways: He can activate them and send them in without martial law, and he can declare martial law. I think it should be obvious to everyone when you declare martial law, you are admitting a total breakdown in the civilian authority, and this did not seem to be necessary or advisable under the circumstances. So that in this case

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all that was done was to activate the National Guard to work in conjunction with the State Police.

With respect to the general power of the Governor to act here, we did that under Appendix A:9-30 et seq. where in the National Defense Act there were very, very broad powers which the Governor had and which we felt he could use under circumstances of this kind.

The Act has as a prelude the following: "The purpose of this Act is to provide for the health, safety and welfare of the people of the State of New Jersey and to aid in the prevention of damages and the destruction of property during any emergency as herein defined by prescribing a course of conduct for the civilian population of this state during such emergency and by centralizing control of all civilian activities having to do with such emergency under the Governor, and for that purpose to give to the Governor control over such resources of the state government and each and every political subdivision thereof as may be necessary to cope with any condition that shall arise out of such emergency, and to invest the Governor with all power convenient or necessary to effectuate such purpose."

You can see that's very, very broad.

Q General, do you feel that there is legislation now on the books in this area of the Governor's responsibility and is the Governor's authority clear enough? Is there

need for any type of legislation here?

A I would think which the powers which the Governor has right now are broad enough to suffice under an emergency of this kind.

Q Just to get a bit specific for a few minutes, I wonder if you might tell us, getting back to the Newark riot this summer, when you were first alerted, your reactions, what you did and how you did it.

A There had been arrangements made between myself and the State Police whereby no matter where I was during that two-week period prior to the Black Power conference meeting that we would be in touch with each other and they would let me know what they were doing generally speaking. Things started to look as though they were warming up pretty well, and we didn't know exactly what was going to happen a couple of days before the riot took place.

On the particular Thursday, July 12th or 13th, whichever it was, I went to see a ligitimate show in New York, the ending of which I never saw.

MR. MEYNER: July 12th was the cab driver incident and then Thursday was the night it got so rough that you had been called in in the morning.

THE WITNESS: We felt there might be trouble, and Thursday when I went to New York to the show I left instructions as to where I would be and could

be contacted. I had a driver with me, of course.

At a quarter to nine I got a slip of paper just

before the show was to start that "trouble is

brewing." Those are the very words on the note.

MR. MEYNER: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE WITNESS: About quarter after ten the usherette came down and said, "Your driver says you had better leave," I got up and I walked out. As I got out, the sergeant who was with me indicated to me that things were looking very bad and they started to get some trouble up in Newark.

From the theatre I called Major Olaff and spoke with him, and he told me he was in contact with the Newark police and they felt they could handle matters, but we would be standing by and we told them we were ready anytime they would be calling us.

After my conversation with him, about a half hour, I left there and I arrived home at about eleven-thirty and contacted Major Olaff again, and he told me one of the deputy chiefs, Redden I think, had called Troop B and said he needed help.

Then when Major Olaff tried to get in touch with Acting Chief Foley, I think the chief

15.

Sills

1 2

.

was not available and he was told by the acting chief to disregard Redden's message to Troop B.

I called the Governor then about midnight to let him know what was transpiring, and he said that he would sit by and if something developed, of course, to let him know. I kept in communication with Major Olaff until I guess about one-thirty, quarter to two in the morning, and just about two-thirty I got a call from the Governor and he said, "I have just received a call from Mayor Addonizio. He needs help."

MR. MEYNER: This was now the morning of the fourteenth?

THE WITNESS: This was now the morning of the fourteenth. I said, "I will call Dave Kelly," which I did, and I told Kelly to get in touch with General Cantwell, and then I called the Governor back and told him that I had made these arrangements but that I thought he should call Cantwell himself and advise Cantwell that he was activating the National Guard. Kelly told me that he would be leaving at about quarter to three or ten to three for Newark and the Governor then asked me whether or not I thought he ought to go to Newark, and it was my opinion at that time that he should

not. But about an hour later he called me and said he was going. I directed him to go to the headquarters on the turnpike so that he could be escorted in from there because I was told that things were riotous.

He then met up with Dave Kelly at the armory. I kept in touch, and I assumed it was about quarter to five or five o'clock in the morning that they got together at the armory in Newark.

That same day I came to Trenton to take a look at the statutes and to see what it was necessary for the Governor to do statute-wise and also what my advice might be. After going through the statutes, it was my advice that we make a proclomation under the National Defense Act, A:9.30 which we did, and I also sat down and I wrote out what I deemed to be appropriate rules and regulations under the proclomation.

Of course, during this period of time I was either talking with the Governor or members of his staff or with Colonel Kelly to the extent possible, or men underneath him, to determine questions like should we have a curfew and if so, should we have a different curfew for automobiles

Sills

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Sund as well as for pedestrians, and whether it was hotter inside or hotter outside, to keep people in or keep them out, and things of that nature.

we had the problems, of course, with Governor respect to traffic as to where and how to circulate is some the traffic because we have some highways that cut responsibly through Newark as well. So I spent that day, as I say, in Trenton getting these papers out and Relly an adjusted.

On Saturday I went into Newark and I was in Newark Saturday, Sunday and Monday, generally speaking, from nine or ten in the morning until about seven, eight or nine at night, something like that. I generally tried to get out of there before dusk fell. I did whatever I was asked to do up there, general matters. I sat in on various conferences and gave whatever advice I was asked to give at the time, helped to man the telephone and Sunday T things of that nature. By Mr. Jaffe:

Q General, once the Governor had alerted the State Police and the State Police had gone up and you had spent some time up there, would you say that the basic overall responsibility for running it was a state responsibility and was it administered by the state on Friday, Saturday

and Sunday?

A I don't understand what you mean by responsibility.

I could answer that by saying that the state took the forward position. The mantle just naturally was cloaked about the Governor, and whether it was or was not his responsibility is someone else's determination. He undertook the responsibility.

Almost all police action was left in the hands of Dave Kelly and almost all policy matters gravitated toward the Governor.

Q That is the import of my question.

A Everything gravitated toward him. When you asked where did the responsibility fall, as a practical matter everything came to the Governor and to Dave Kelly.

Q When the decision was made to withdraw, was that done independently by the Governor, or was it done at the request of the local authorities?

Sunday I was then at home, and I was speaking on the phone with Major Olaff and we were discussing this question of the sniper fire and the question of whether or not by our continuing roving patrols within the area we were not stimulating the sniper fire and whether it might not be best if we withdrew to checkpoints and not have any roving patrols. He was going to take that up with Dave Kelly.

Si11s 22

Olaff, he told me we need not concern ourselves with that point because we were going to move out that afternoon. So how or when that decision was made I am not in a position to say.

Q There were certain allegations made of State Police misconduct and shooting up of various windows during the riot containment phase. I wonder if you could just briefly describe for us the kinds of investigations that are being made, whether they are in your shop or whether they are within the State Police.

A Within the State Police. You know my office has within it an investigative staff, but not of the kind which would or could handle things of this kind. It has been my feeling that you have here (1) If these things are true and they must be investigated, the question of the Division taking action against any of its own men if they did these things improperly, and (2) the prosecutors or the federal government coming into the picture and doing what they had to do under the criminal laws of the state and the federal government. That is exactly what has transpired.

The State Police have made investigation, as I understand it, with respect to all people who complained to them. The FBI has made certain investigations of which I am aware, and all of this either has been or is being turned over to

16

19

18

21

20

23

22

25

24

Brendan Byrne for whatever disposition he thinks ought to be made. If the facts warrant him presenting it to the Grand Jury, I am sure he will present them. If they don't, as I say, that's his determination to make.

MR. GIBBONS: The Newark Legal Services project turned over to the Attorney General's Office the affidavits they collected with respect to destruction or excessive use of force, do they not?

Hoffman in my office would know whether or not

THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they have or not. I received a letter from an Albert Black of the Human Relations Commission, I believe it is, the Newark Human Rights Commission, and Joe

Newark Legal Services turned anything over. If they did, it wasn't through me. It might have been through my office. I am not aware that they turned anything over.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q General, do you know whether it is contemplated that the State Police investigation report will be sent to your office for review, too, or will it just be directed to the prosecutors?

A No, it is not generally sent to me for review. It is there and available for the Colonel to suggest whether

or not action should be taken against any trooper who did something wrong or for the prosecutor to come in and take a look or take whatever action he thinks should be taken.

Q This is based on my reading of the newspapers, but
I understand that the Governor also directed General Cantwell
to cause an investigation of alleged misconduct by National
Guardsmen and my reading also indicates that General Cantwell
appointed his Inspector General to make such a report.

We had some people come in who were complaining. Most of those who came in were talking about hearsay. They had been told this and they had been told that, and they thought it ought to be investigated. The minute that conference was over, the Governor, as I recollect, turned to Dave Kelly and said, "I want you to put someone in charge of this and have these things investigated," and turned to Cantwell and said the same thing to him. That was the genesis of the investigating staffs.

Q Would you personally know, General, what has happened to the Cantwell report?

A I don't know anything about the Cantwell report, but I know as far as the State Police are concerned they have made investigations and they have reports on these investigations.

Q General, I wonder if we could briefly discuss also

with you the Plainfield situation as you recall it and your participation.

A Well, on Monday as these things --

Q What date was that?

A The seventeenth. I better start a little earlier.

The previous day on Sunday I was sitting in one of the rooms in the armory, and I think it was called the Essex Room, and there was a trooper in a corner servicing a telephone. He seemed to be having some difficulties, with someone on the other wire. He asked me if I would take it. I took it, and it was either the mayor or the chief of police of Plainfield. I am not sure which was on first. I spoke to both.

They told me they were having problems in Plainfield and they needed State Police aid. I remember speaking to the chief and I asked him what men he had. He said eighty.

I said, "How many are on duty?" He said, "forty." I said, "Are you calling up the balance of the men?" He said, "Yes."

The mayor got on and said, "This town is going to blow apart. You better send the State Police in."

Q Was that Mayor Hetfield?

A Mayor Hetfield. I tried to get the Governor. I was unable to. I transmitted that message to our command post so that they could seek out the Governor and give him the message. I learned later that the message had gotten to him and, as a matter of fact, the first contingent of

4 5

State Police went into Plainfield at eight o'clock that night. I understand that Dave Kelly went there that night, and I believe Larry Bilder went there that night. I did not.

MR. MEYNER: What day was that?

THE WITNESS: On a Sunday, the sixteenth. On the next day, the seventh day, as I say, I went back into Newark. Things were winding up, and we

were turning our attention to Plainfield. Of
course, we then knew about this death of this police
officer Gleeson, and we also knew about the stealing
of the 46 semi-automatic rifles, carbines.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q When did that occur?

A That occurred on Sunday night. In Trenton I drew a proclomation, and it was decided between the Governor and myself that it would not be published unless it was necessary, but I would hold it and have it in abeyance.

Also we drew rules and regulations again and those, too, would not be activated unless necessary.

I took those papers with me and I left with a couple of men and another car with some other State Policemen followed us and we went into Plainfield.

We left Newark at about five, five-thirty, something like that and got to Plainfield at six, quarter after six, and went to the Plainfield police station where we had set

up a command post. At this time I was on the first floor. It was later moved to the basement.

I met with Colonel Kelly there. I saw Commissioner

Ylvisaker for a few minutes, and there was a fellow by the

name of McDonald who was introduced to us by Commissioner

Ylvisaker as having been a former policeman of the Los Angeles

Police Department and who had experience in the WATTS riots.

Q Was he an employee?

A He was an employee of Commissioner Ylvisaker's department. Mr. McDonald said he had been in the neighborhood already and that he had spoken to a group; he didn't know whether they were a representative group or not, but they were a group and there were certain things which he thought could be done in order to keep things quiet.

He pointed out that the community did not like the idea of having roving patrols whether the policemen involved were negro or white. As a matter of fact, from what I stated before about what my feeling had been in Newark, I tended to agree. In Plainfield it was a simple kind of situation because the area involved formed pretty much of a rectangle. It was much easier than in Newark to set up a checkpoint so you could keep people in or out of the area as you desired.

As we were in the police headquarters and this inside area had been sectored off as it had been in Newark and we

had patrols in each one of these areas, immediately Colonel
Kelly said, "All right, we will cut out these roving patrols."
There was some question where it raised with respect to
people who had been arrested and what was going to happen
with them.

A It had already taken place, as I understand it, on Saturday or Sunday or Friday. I am not quite sure, but it had already taken place. Mc Donald then went back into the area. He came out and said that some of the people in the community wanted to have a meeting with us and they would be down to the city hall at seven-thirty.

At seven-thirty I went over to city hall. Mayor

Hetfield was there and the Colonel and Commissioner Ylvisaker,

Jack Gleson of the Commissioner's department, this fellow

Mc Donald, and I am sure there must have been some others.

There was also a gentleman from, I guess, the Attorney

General's office in Washington known as the Community

Services Officer, Jim Norton. He was there.

We went there and the conversation pretty much followed what Mc Donald had said before. They didn't want white people coming in and out of the area. They also wanted people let out of jail, and an argument ensued for about fifteen or twenty minutes as to where the checkpoint should be. They wanted us to move back a couple of streets from

where we were. We were told later, and I don't know how true it was, that the purpose in doing that was so that they would have control over one particular building where the guns were supposed to have been cached. I cannot verify that kind of information, and there was other information which would lead me to believe that might have been, if true, only partially true because we knew that the guns had been at a certain store on Sunday night and had been passed out to various negro youths. As a matter of fact, these youths were picked in Piscataway with one of the guns in their possession. They had given us the story of the guns being passed out at this store.

Q This was based on informants you had in the area?

A Yes.

MR. GIBBONS: Where were the guns picked up?

THE WITNESS: This one gun was picked up in a car. I believe it was in Piscataway. There were three negro youths in the car. One of the youths said he didn't want it, but they gave it to him.

He had been in Plainfield at the time.

He had been in Plainfield at the time. By Mr. Jaffe:

Q There was a store in Plainfield where he said he received the gun?

A He was outside.

MR. LEUCHTER: No, the store.

THE WITNESS: I am not quite sure whether the store was inside or outside.

MR. LEUCHTER: Did you accede to that request?

THE WITNESS: We did at that time. We had no idea of this one particular building where they said the guns were. This was later, and I can't be sure that we were right or wrong. There was quite a jealousy, very frankly, that was being built up because the local police just wanted to go into this area and rip everything apart to find these guns or see if they were still there or to teach people a lesson, or whatever the story was. They resented bitterly the fact that we were not going to do that and that we had moved the line back just as they resented bitterly what I will tell you occurred if you will let me progress in that fashion.

committee said, "They are waiting for you back in the community," and we learned at that point that they said that they were really not representatives in the sense that they could talk for the people and that they had come down to city hall to bring us, the big shots, back to the negro community, and they specifically wanted me and Commissioner Ylvisaker and the mayor. The three of us with

At that point certain numbers of the

1 2 3

Colonel Kelly went down to Plainfield and Fourth,

which was right in the area, and we drove in through.

That is when I saw this extensive looting and

broken bottles on the streets strewn all over, two
burned out motorcycles.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Was there any looting occurring at that time?

A No. We got into this area and when we got there, we got out of our cars and first Commissioner Ylvisaker jumped up on a truck and was speaking to them over a bullhorn. I couldn't hear it. I walked to the side of the street, up on the steps of a negro family home. I asked for their permission to come up there, and one woman said to me, "Yes, if there ain't no shooting." I said, "I don't want any shooting either, so we are both thinking the same way."

They let me stay there.

After Commissioner Ylvisaker spoke for a while, and I couldn't hear what he was saying, and they had the mayor speak and I couldn't hear him, I just stood there. Then the Colonelwas standing with me. Then we started to walk back to my car; in fact, I think I got in my car. He moved a little forward as though we were going to go out of the car when they all came surging around the car and wanted me to talk. They got me out of the car and some pulled me one way and some pulled me the other way literally, and finally

two negro fellows kind of formed around me and gave me the bullhorn and with certain expletives to the others around there said, "You brought the man down here to speak; now let him speak."

They threw questions at me, and they asked me about sealing off the area and not letting white people in and keeping roving patrols out. We told them we were going to do that. Then they asked me to let everybody out of jail.

I said I didn't have the power to do that; I didn't even know what the people had been charged with, but one thing I would do, and that is the moment I got back to city hall I would call the public defender and I would ask him to be in Elizabeth to see what he could do about setting up a system of bail as had been set up in Newark.

There were all kinds of comments from the crowd, and, of course, we had noted during this period of time that there was a bottle or two that was passing around amongst the crowd and some were getting out of hand, and there were two or three women we noticed who seemed to be egging on their fellow men there to make remarks.

Q What would you estimate the size of the crowd at?

A It is very, very difficult because it might have
been -- first of all, I am short, and I was completely
surrounded. It was hard to say how many there were. As I
stood on the side it seemed to me there were fifty or

one hundred people around the truck where Commissioner
Ylvisaker was, but all up and down the street. You had
groups of four or five and a lot of people out on the stairs
of their homes. There could have been three hundred, there
could have been four hundred people there altogether.

MR. MEYNER: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE WITNESS: Then Dave Kelly said, "We better get out of here." At this point the mayor came into our car with the Colonel and myself, and we started to drive out. Then we noted the others following us out. We got back to city hall, and there were a bunch of newspapermen there, and I suggested that we go into a side room and sit down and prepare something for the press. This was about at that time maybe between quarter to nine and nine o'clock.

A few minutes thereafter, and I was told it was about ten after or a quarter after nine, there was a knock on the door, two negro fellows, one by the name of Lee later I found out, whose last name I still don't know and who has disappeared from the community; another fellow by the name of Lennie Cathcart, who appears quite often in the picture thereafter, and he appeared before, too,

but not to my knowledge at that point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The mayor went over to the door and I just heard the mayor say, "They helped cool things off last night. Let them in." I had left orders for no one to be allowed in because what was happening was all of the councilmen and all of the civil rights leaders and all of the commission members wanted to come piling into this room in the back. Having seen something of what occurred in Newark and knowing that it was impossible to get something done with everybody putting his two cents in, I had asked the mayor to come in by himself and if he felt that anything we were doing was not to his liking, he could tell us there or if he liked it or whatever he wanted, he could go out and speak to his councilmen and come back in later and we would adjust our thinking accordingly with respect to the newspapers. But it never got to that point because these fellows came in.

The first thing Cathcart said was, "Unless you let everybody out of jail by ten o'clock, we are coming out shooting. We got the guns; we got a bazooka; we have got grenades, and we are going to blow this town apart. We have died for nothing in the past; we might as well die for something now."

I am summing up just about what he said to us. Commissioner Ylvisaker sat down and started to talk with him and this fellow Lee. I went over and conversed a bit with Dave Kelly.

I might as well tell you who was in the room at the time. Dave Kelly was in the room; Leo Kaplowitz and one of his assistants, Kozlowski, or something like that. I will have to get you the spelling. It is a Polish name. This fellow Jim Norton, Mc Donald, Commissioner Ylvisaker, Jack Gleson and Mayor Hetfield and myself, as I recall.

turned to this Cathcart and I said to him, after or about ten or fifteen minutes of argument going back and forth, "Suppose I were to say to you that unless the guns are handed over within three minutes the State Police and National Guard would open fire in this area," and he said, "Man, you can't do that. You would kill a lot of people. You know we can't get you the guns in three minutes." I said, "I know. We don't intend to get them, but I am trying to tell you we can't get everybody out of jail even if we wanted to by ten o'clock."

We started to talk and time started to

1 2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

go by and word was sent back into the community
that we were talking about these things. The idea,
I thought, was to keep talking to prevent any
further rioting or shooting. Meanwhile we were
getting sporadic reports of sniper fire, mostly
around the police station. We were down the block
from the police station at city hall.

I called the Chief Justice. I explained to him what was happening. I called the Governor, and I explained to him what was happening. I called the assignment judge, Judge Weidenbrunner, and explained to him what was happening. After everything the consensus was that we should try to release some people to send them back into the community to ease the tensions of the community where these people had been arrested for disorderly persons offenses, not for crimes; for things that we felt under other circumstances they might not even have gone to trial for but would have been let out on their own recognizance. By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Who set the original bail?

A I don't know.

Q Was that a decision by the local authorities or the state authorities?

A It must have been local. We had nothing to do with that. When I came in, these people were already in jail. Some had been in jail for two days. We made known to them after all these conversations that, number one, this was not releasing charges: this was only permitting them to go home on their own personal recognizance, and that they would still have to stand trial; that the further condition of the bail was there would be no further looting or rioting. Then, as we were talking, of course, we were always concerned with the guns. While it wasn't exactly a condition, there was no quid pro quo with respect to this. This Cathcart kept saying -- of course, he had indicated they were coming out with guns shooting, and he kept saying well, maybe he could bring these guns to us, but he couldn't do it right away. He didn't know exactly where they were, but he might be able to help us out by bringing in the guns We kept harping on that while he kept harping on people being let out of jail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Were you or Colonel Kelly convinced that he had the capability of delivering that type of a promise?

A I don't know that we came to a conclusion that we were convinced or only partly convinced. We knew or felt from things which have been told to us and that we had visualized that they had the guns. We were told by the Plainfield police that the kind of fire they heard indicated

they were at least semi-automatics. We knew with a little kit you could completely automate these guns. We knew they held clips of thirty. We knew they had used them already because we have the bullets which they had stolen which had markings around them, so they had been identified as having been stolen from the Plainfield Machine Shop.

So we knew they had this potential. Whether they were convinced they would blow the town apart, we were convinced they could cause trouble.

MR. LEUCHTER: Those guns were used?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We had the evidence of that. We had the evidence of the bullets which were found had been used on the firehouse on Sunday night. They were picked up outside the firehouse during the daytime. Also this fellow Mc Donald, for whatever his information was, seems to know or be convinced that they had these guns and were going to use them.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Was he also convinced that Cathcart had the ability to produce them from the community?

A I don't know he was actually asked that. I would say this was the impression I gathered from the conversation. But even if I had not been convinced, knowing what I knew and knowing that it would be very simple for someone to

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

23

22

24 25

sneak out of this area no matter what patrols we had there, I knew they could go down into other sections of the town and do a lot of damage. While it seemed as though Cathcart had them awaiting his word, while they were awaiting his word they didn't seem to be moving in any particular direction. We had nothing to lose by taking Cathcart at his word at that particular point either.

> CHAIRMAN LIELEY: General, to get clarified, Mc Donald's name comes up quite often as being a very unusual and effective police officer. He came from WATTS I guess. Do you share this feeling? Is he as good as I have heard several people say uniformly?

THE WITNESS: I don't know. First of all, I never saw him act with the negro community because he was down there acting on his own. He would come back and report to us, so I can't tell how effective he was with the community. I don't know what would have happened were he not there. I just don't know. I believe our reports since then indicate that in fact he was not a Los Angeles police officer. He was introduced to us as having / formerly with the Los Angeles Police Department.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: That is the way I always heard him described.

1 2

THE WITNESS: I believe our reports indicate he was not connected with the Los Angeles Police Department, and I don't know exactly what his function was at WATTS except I know in some way he was involved.

MR. GIBBONS: What is his function in Mr. Ylvisaker's department?

THE WITNESS: I don't know. I only know from hear say he had some job over there, and when this broke out the commissioner found out he had some experience in WATTS and, therefor, took him off his desk job and put him out in the field. I am not here to say he didn't do an effective job; I just don't know.

MR. MEYNER: How did he come into the picture in the first place, from Ylvisaker?

THE WITNESS: When I came into Plainfield he was there. He came up and was introduced to me by Commissioner Ylvisaker, and he told me what he was doing with the community. I accepted him as he was given to us by the commissioner.

MR. MEYNER: Is he on the state payroll?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. MEYNER: How long had he been on the payroll prior to this?

Sills

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: Probably two and a half

months?

THE WITNESS: Certainly not longer than that.

MR. LEUCHTER: At this point you are in the city talking with Cathcart and Mc Donald, stalling.

THE WITNESS: Not just Mc Donald. Commissioner Ylvisaker was doing quite a bit of talking with Cathcart and Lee. At one period of time both of them left to go back to the community. This fellow Lee came running back within moments, and we asked him why didn't he go back to the community and tell them what is going on. He said words to this effect: "I can get killed out there. I walked to police headquarters and there were bullets flying around. Man, I don't want to get killed. I ran back."

This Cathcart didn't come back but later.

I understood Mc Donald and he went back into the community to let them know we were trying to work out something. As I indicated, we ultimately then called Weidenbruner and I was in contact with Pete Murray, and I saw to it that Pete Murray was available. He came down to the Elizabeth area.

I left about two-thirty in the morning, and at that

point it had already been arranged that we were going to present to the judge ten or twelve names.

I didn't know who the names were. They were picked out by Prosecutor Kaplowitz after going over the clerk's records in Plainfield to see what these people had been arrested for. To this day I don't know one of the names. I understood that ultimately they were released at about four-thirty or five-thirty in the morning and they walked home.

There was no further disturbance that night. But the tensions were still rather high and remained high.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Would you know how many were released?

A Twelve. There was a story to the effect that there was supposed to be thirteen. This became hearsay, and the story was circulated that they couldn't find the thirteenth because he had been beaten to death. This circulated throughout the community, but it had no foundation.

Q What was the situation the following morning?

A Things were rather tense. They were tense that

Tuesday, tense Wednesday. There was very little shooting
thereafter. There was no more looting, no more rioting,

to my knowledge. There were various incidents of gunfire,
but I think if you will look at the record you can find out

that possibly the gunfiring was done by police rather than by snipers. To my personal knowledge the only sniping was that Monday night as we were in city hall, and there was shooting at the police station.

MR. LEUCHTER: What would the police have been firing on Tuesday and Wednesday?

THE WITNESS: I can give you two instances of which I was aware. The police were given certain stations to man, and one of the local police, so I understand, came to this corner and he saw this light. He wasn't going to stand under any light and be picked off by a sniper, so he shot the light out. A policeman standing one block away thought they were shooting at him, and he fired back in the general direction. This was one instance we had on record.

Another one we had heard firing was

down at the railroad station. When it was mapped
out, it appeared two local policemen at opposite
ends might have exchanged some gunfire. Again
this had to be pieced together on the basis of
reports that came in and who was in the general
area. It might have been a sniper, but if it was,
it couldn't be pinned down by any corroborative

I am conceevidence. Took wast propie to get killed. It have

. 7

By Mr. Jaffe:
Q General, when in time se

Q General, when in time sequence did the search of the houses in the negro community take place, the general search?

A You can't call it a general search, but the search took place on Wednesday.

Q The twelve people had already been released, is that right?

A Yes. I didn't finish my story, but that particular night as Commissioner Ylvisaker was talking about Cathcart, and he could possibly tell this better than I, there was some general consensus that Cathcart would bring the guns by noon Wednesday. Remember now, we left there early Tuesday morning. So he felt he needed Tuesday and part of Wednesday to get these guns in. He kept saying well, he didn't know who has the guns, but he thinks he can get them. He contradicted himself during the entire evening. As a matter of fact, at one point he said to me, "I don't care what happens. If you don't want to let these people out and everybody wants to get killed, it is okay be me. I am going home and put my head down on the pillow and go to sleep."

I said to him, "I can't go home and put my head down and go to sleep. I have to worry about people getting killed. You don't seem to worry about it." He then would say, "Yes,

I am concerned. I don't want people to get killed. I have

got children, too. I don't want them killed."

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

This would go back and forth and you would get these contradictory statements from him as the evening wore on. He seemed to be enjoying himself in playing somewhat of a game. Again, as I say, we felt we had to keep listening to him. As long as we were listening and they were not coming out from the community, we felt that something was being accomplished.

Tuesday tensions were still rather high. The police were having general discussions about what was going to be done in case the guns were not brought in. On Tuesday we spoke with Mc Donald as a matter of fact, and he seemed to be sure that they were going to bring the guns in, or a substantial portion of them. At this point I would like to go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

There was still general talk amongst the police as to whether or not there was going to be a search and, if so. what kind of a search it was going to be. As I understand it, the Plainfield police were insistent that there be a general search and that we just go right through that community into every nook and cranny to get these guns.

Q Was this the higher echelon of the Plainfield Police Department in your conversations?

A Well, I guess it would have to be because the ones

that we dealt with mostly were Captain Campbell and Lieutenant
Hennessey. I didn't talk with them generally; I would get
this from Colonel Kelly or Major Olaff or one of our own
men would be talking with them.

I told you once before they were resentful about something. They were resentful about the fact that some of these people had been released. In fact, the story was circulated and eminating from them, I am quite sure, that some of these people we released might be, or at least they suspect them of being involved in the killing of a policeman Gleeson. I don't know whether that is true or not. Certainly at that point the Prosecutor was there and he had been investigating the case. Nobody knew this to be a fact or that it was even close to being a fact. But they did resent that quite bitterly.

The moral among the Plainfield Police was horrible. I

don't think they would have stayed on the job except for the fact that Colonel Kelly was there and they had a great deal of respect for him and they were taking orders from him.

I got a call from Prosecutor Kaplowitz who told me he was going down to city hall and he said I had been invited, but I didn't understand by whom or how. He told me he would give me a report as to the meeting, and he called me and told me later that it was a meeting of the Human Rights

Commission and the mayor was there. Some people, white and black, had made the statements that we had no right to make an ultimatum that these people bring in the guns by Wednesday noon; that this was ridiculous. Some of the people said that these people to hold on to their guns because they had to protect themselves after the State Police left because they were going to be at the mercy of the Plainfield police at that point and the whites in the community who had been armed.

Let me say when I came in on Monday there had been reports of young white kids circulating through Plainfield, and some of them had been these motorcycle fanatics, some who wear jackets with swastikas on them. As a matter of fact, while I was in Plainfield a car with five kids in it — I thought they were three boys and two girls, but they were five boys — was stopped by the police in front of me. The car was searched. We asked if we could open the trunk. They said yes, and we did. We asked them what they were doing in town. One kid said, "Well, my uncle has got a store in town and we came in to take a look at the store."

These were the kids that were circulating in the town, and they were looking for trouble and we had reports they had driven through the negro area and had taunted some of the people in this area.

2 3 4

Kaplowitz reported to me that Tuesday night that he didn't know whether under these circumstances the guns were going to be brought in at all. I conveyed that information to Colonel Kelly, and we were going to wait to see what the morning was going to bring.

It was early in the morning Colonel Kelly called me at home, eight o'clock or somewhere around that time, and said the police had made up their minds that they were going to have a general search because they were sure the guns were not going to come in and there was no element of surprise involved anymore because some of the stations, one station in particular had said the night before, one o'clock in the morning, that there was going to be a general search. This could be true speculation. The Colonel told me he was going to circulate this paper that had been drawn up —

MR. LEUCHTER: By station you mean a radio station?

THE WITNESS: Radio station. And that he was going to circulate this paper to let the community know so they wouldn't be greatly surprised. I left my home and came down to Trenton and had a conference here with the Governor and other members of the staff.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Just to interrupt that narrative, on the evening

right before that morning was there any rioting going on at all in Plainfield?

A No, not to my knowledge.

Q Then the situation that morning was also relatively calm, I mean calm in the sense that there was no rioting?

The fact that there was no rioting does not permit you to use the choice of words "relatively calm" because it was not relatively calm on Tuesday and it was not relatively calm on Wednesday. The feelings were high. The community was very tense, both the black and the white. Whatever conversations you had with anyone was at a high-pitched voice. All you can say is that there was very little left in that area to loot. The liquor was all gone; the cigarettes were all gone. The stores had been broken into. The State Police and the National Guard were pretty well containing these people in a given area. That had a lot to do with the fact there was no looting and rioting. But there was no relative calm because feelings were very, very high on both sides. The transfer the property was the same and th

Q I just wanted to have a picture on record as to whether or not there was any actual physical rioting or sniping.

A There had been sporadic incidents which you will find on the record, but in the general sense there was no looting or rioting. I came down here to Trenton to discuss

6

7

5

1

2

3

8

10

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

24

25

this matter of the search, and after a conference down here it was decided that there would be a search of selected areas. These areas had been pinpointed on information given by the police. There were various other things which were done which I don't think I ought to put on the record at this moment because, again, we are now starting to talk about something which is the subject matter of litigation, and there is a question of whether we were justified or unjustified at that point in moving without search warrants. Q If I could just ask some questions and if you feel they are of a privileged nature, please don't answer them. Was a decision made that morning to conduct a search? A The actual decision was made down here between eleven and twelve o'clock to make a search of certain areas where we had information from the local police to the effect that the guns might be cached. MR. LEUCHTER: Wednesday morning? THE WITNESS: Wednesday morning. As a matter of fact, the proclomation was activated that morning, and the rules and regulations were put on file with the Secretary of State, you will find. somewhere between eleven-thirty and eleven-thirty

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Did the decision encompass a number of areas to be

forward, five. west thing I have the bound the to three

1 2

searched? Did you talk in terms of dwelling houses or particular areas?

A Some were dwelling houses and some were outside areas. I think in all there were about twenty-six locations. There is a map in existence, and the State Police have that map with names and points on it as to the areas to be searched, and this is where we had received the information.

Q Who had the responsibility for directing the search out in the field?

what happened. I don't know who had the responsibility.

I left Trenton about one or ten after one and got to

Plainfield about quarter to two, and when I got there I met
the Colonel. He told me they were getting ready to move

and search the area. He received a call from the Governor,

and he knew we were going in on a selective search. He
moved out. I stayed in police headquarters, and the next
thing I knew a call came over the radio that everybody
should stop and they were stopped for about fifteen minutes.

You will have to find out what happened there from Colonel

Kelly and from Commissioner Ylvisaker.

The next thing I knew, about two o'clock they moved forward. The next thing I knew was about ten to three Colonel Kelly came back into police headquarters and I said, "Is it over?" He said, "Yes, it is over." Then he related

to me they had gone into some of the areas, not all the areas, and I would get a report later as to the guns and other things which had been picked up.

Q Did the National Guard join with the State Police in that search?

A Yes. The National Guard and, as I understood it because I wasn't there when arrangements were made, certain people in the community also went along with the State Police and National Guard teams going into the various homes and trying to get the people to understand why we were doing this.

Q Were local police members of these teams, too?

A I don't know. I don't think so. I think that there was very high feeling between the local police and the people in this community. I think, if I recall correctly, we had been asked by the negro members of the community not to have local police as part of the teams.

Q The next question -- I don't know whether it is more properly directed to you or Colonel Kelly or whether it is privileged information -- but could you tell us what the results of the search were in terms of weapons? How many weapons did you find?

A I think you had better get that from the State

Police. Sufficed to say we did not get a substantial

number of the rifles. In all I think we recovered only

about seven or eight rifles, and I think you had better get that information from the State Police.

MR. LEUCHTER: Were some of the stolen rifles found in that search?

THE WITNESS: I believe so. I think there

Colonel can best give you that information. I know one was recovered some days later, as a matter of fact. In fact, one of the areas searched was an incinerator. There was nothing in it.

Later on one of our men got the idea things had been picked up from the incinerator before we got there, so they went to the garbage people. We went there and found one burned rifle. The serial

number was still legible, and we traced it back as being one of the stolen guns. We knew this one gun had been thrown into the incinerator, as a result of our search.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q While you were in Plainfield were there any specific complaints directed to you during the period of the search? I mean people complaining whose homes were being searched.

A No, but I was in police headquarters when this James Bowie called, and I heard the Plainfield sergeant

at the desk speak with him over the phone.

Q He was calling?

A He was calling and complaining his home had been searched and somebody had taken his shotgun.

Q Could you describe just very briefly for us what happened after the search was called off and the general reaction in the community and then what happened?

My observation seemed to be that it was like a bottle of champagne. It was explosive when the cork popped, but then as it stayed open and it started to fizz out things kind of went flat. Everybody was waiting for something like this to occur, and the black community was mad that it had occurred, was irate, but then after it was over everybody seemed to be calmer and not as tense as they were before. I guess they were afraid of what the results of it were going to be, and I guess whether someone was going to shoot back or not and whether it would be a great conflagration. When it wasn't, it was a question of some people being annoyed because of what they claimed was harassment or their apartments being placed in disorderly fashion. When they got over that, it was just now a matter of conversation. Things did seem to be much easier at that point except that right after the search the Plainfield police en masse "resigned."

MR. LEUCHTER: Why?

2

1

4

5

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

21

22

23

24 25

THE WITNESS: As I got the story, No. 1, they were very much concerned that they had been left out of things; that the State Police had not been allowed by state officials to go rampaging through the negro community and teach them a lesson. They were afraid that the State Police were now going to move out and leave them at the mercy of the negro community with the forty-six rifles, or whatever it was left of them. They were tired. They had worked for a long period of time. They felt they had not been treated properly and all of this led them to say they were all going to quit. understand Commissioner Ylvisaker went up to talk to them, but they weren't buying anything from him. Finally Colonel Kelly went up there and, as I say, they had a great deal of respect for him. He was able to bring some assemblance of order in. and they did not in fact resign. But they were still rather bitter at the actions of the state officials. As a matter of fact, the State Police had agreed to stay on, and I think we stayed in there and gave them a measure of cooperation for, I guess the record will show, at least maybe ten days to two weeks after that particular time.

MR. LEUCHTER: Why was the search called off

after approximately forty-five minutes?

THE WITNESS: I honestly don't know. When the Colonel came in and I asked him that, he kind of shrugged his shoulders and went like that, putting his arms into the air sort of indicating there was no sense in it anymore. We weren't going to get anything and weren't getting anything. Some of the tempers were getting pretty high out on the streets, and the TV cameras were running all over the place. This was the first time we had allowed newspaper men in the riot area. We had kept them out up to that point.

As a matter of fact, I got a three-or fourpage telegram from CBS complaining about the
violation of the First Amendment.

MR. MEYNER: Who actually called it off?

THE WITNESS: I honestly don't know. I thought that the Colonel did. I don't know. I really don't know.

MR. MEYNER: Didn't you think it your duty to find out? Didn't you think it was your responsibility to find out?

the responsibility. I had thought that I had the responsibility when I was there. I did not go out

in the field. I sent Colonel Kelly to go out and conduct the search on orders of the Governor.

Sills

own ideas and he took away some of the responsibility
from the Colonel, saying he was doing so, and taking

from the Colonel, saying he was doing so, and taking it upon himself as a cabinet member.

MR. GIBBONS: Do you know the circumstances under which he came to Plainfield?

THE WITNESS: He was there when I got there.

I assumed that the Governor had sent him there to do what he could with the people in the community.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q I just have a few other minor matters I would like to get your views on. What can be done on the state level to require local police to have riot training? What mechanisms presently exist for that?

A We have through the Police Training Commission mandatory basic training, and now in-service training which was passed this year. When we make this a requirement of the various academies established in the state, it will then give the police this training.

Q Do we statewide require local municipalities to have pre-riot plans, plans that they have to develop to meet a riot situation?

A You will have to repeat that.

1 2 3

Q Is there any mechanism by which the state can require a municipality to have a riot plan, in other words, a plan to contain a riot once it occurs in terms of communications facilities, in terms of the best method in handling it, in terms of overseeing their requirements?

A Not that I am aware of. No more so than for the state to tell a municipality without legislation they must have gas masks or tear gas. The cities have their home rule and they have their own organizations and their own responsibilities. All we have required at the state level is training.

As I say, we can require that they have the training.

For example, even recently when we tried to legislate that each municipality should have a drunkometer so they could test for drunken drivers, that legislation didn't pass because some thought it violated the home rule precept.

Q Would it be possible to legislate specific requirements for riot control?

A I think so. I think that it is part of the State

Police power, and I think it can be done reasonably, but

whether you can get it through or not I don't know. I don't

know it is practical. Again you are going to have the old

bugaboo of: Who is going to pay for it?

MR. LEUCHTER: General, how effective is the police human relations course? I think the State

Police have done this. Have many local police participated in these courses? Have they been effective other than on the book?

THE WITNESS: I don't know how effective they have been, but I know all of their recruits since 1962 have gotten this course in the training schools. It is hard to say how effective. I know that the unit that we have in the State Police has been very effective because I know many of their incidents not connected with rioting in Newark and Plainfield where they have been in contact with civil rights leaders and others and have contained certain situations and have gotten to reason with people and explained to them. We could have had a very explosive situation in Bridgeton last year when a negro boy died in prison, and it was alleged he had been beaten to death by State Troopers. Of course, we had a Grand Jury investigation on that. and it turned out he died in jail as a result of adhesions which he had gotten from a knife wound which occurred in a fight some years ago. But, of course, the problem there was that he complained of the pain, and they called the doctor while he was in jail and the doctor prescribed over the telephone instead of coming down and taking a look at the boy.

1

2

4

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sills 60

MR. LEUCHTER: A county physician?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and he strangled inside and had nothing to do with being beaten or anything of that kind. But there was a lot of hullabaloo about it, and I think that our human rights unit in the State Police had a lot to do with keeping the NAACP there on an even keel, for example. They were quite hot about it, but they were effective there. They were also effective down in that area when we had a possible confrontation with the Klu Klux Klan and the NAACP, and there have been other things throughout the state where our unit has been effective.

Of course, giving the course is not the sole answer and does not come close to being the sole answer. To my way of thinking what we sorely lack here in New Jersey, and probably in the United States, is the professionalization of our police at the local level.

MR. GIBBONS: Do you think you can effectively professionalize them with the present home rule set up?

THE WITNESS: I would have to say yes and no.

I think there would be some limitation, but I think
you could go an awful long way before you start

worrying about the limitation. What you need most of all is the upgrading of the educational requirements and, frankly, the paying of professional salaries. You have got to. You are asking a policeman today to be a social worker, a lawyer, a member of the clergy as well as to do his ordinary police functions. You are asking him to know how to deal with minority elements when we have people in our society with college degrees who don't know how to deal with minority elements.

MR. GIBBONS: In a city like Newark with a declining revenue base and an increasing welfare load, is it really at all feasible to think in terms of their finding the money to professionalize their police department?

THE WITNESS: You look at it from one end of
the spectrum but not from the other. I wonder
whether if they spent the money they would have the
greater expense which comes about by virtue of
having the jails filled and the numbers of probation
officers which they need and the amount of money
which is lost in property damage by virtue of all
the crime which you have.

MR. GIBBONS: The probation function is in a different segment of government.

THE WITNESS: But you might not need as much of that if you don't have the crime at the initial stage. Probation comes in at the end.

Sills

MR. GIBBONS: Doesn't this point in the direction of the police function being other than the local government function?

THE WITNESS: I can't answer that by saying yes, because I feel again if you are going to have the police operate from too large a government structure, like from the state, that you might in the long run get away from what you need a great deal of, and that is the contact of the police with the local community. If you are talking about being financed by the state, that is one thing.

If you are talking about the state coming in and providing State Policemen to take care of municipal police functions, than I respectfully disagree.

MR. GIBBONS: Would you care to comment on the Bergen County freeholders' proposal?

THE WITNESS: A comment to this extent: On a matter of balance when you have what, 70 communities all fragmented, you don't get the best possible police services. This doesn't necessarily mean there should be a county police department and that everything should eminate from the county

1 2

Sills

police department. It does mean there has to be some kind of coordination and some kind of regionalization, but the regionalization doesn't necessarily have to be the county structure.

MR. MEYNER: Hasn't the state undertaken the role in endeavoring to professionalize the local police in that they conduct the Civil Service examinations, in that they make contributions toward the retirement fund, in that they set up the requirements with respect to police training? Isn't it but just another step to add more professionalization?

THE WITNESS: The answer, of course, to a degree is yes, but it is a little different I thought from the approach taken with respect to:

Isn't it a state function to police the municipality, or shouldn't it be?

MR. MEYNER: I think the question was professionalization of local police.

THE WITNESS: You get state standards. You have to have standards. You have to have standardization, but that's different from saying that the chain of command should be from the state all the way down to the local precinct where, let's say, the superintendent of the State Police is in

1)

Sills 64

charge of all the police in the State of New Jersey and that he has the power to take forces from one place and put them in another place. This might give you total efficiency, but it will take away, in my opinion, from the humanistic elements which are just as important.

I think it is very important that you have a local base for local police.

Governor Meyner talks about, for example, Civil Service. With that, you see, what you have today is setting of salaries where local police -well, in one particular section they can get up to \$7,200, and that's it. This day and age that is the top of their range as a patrolman. In this day and age you can't expect a man to read Miranda and Escobedo and Mapp against Ohio for \$7,200 a year. and what he does when he gets through with his day's work, he goes down to the next block and goes to Joe and he and Joe have a business where they put roofs on or they paint houses or do landscaping. This, to my mind, takes away from the professionalization of the State Police or any police.

MR. MEYNER: Well maybe they look the other way on occasion.

THE WITNESS: They will look the other way in

24

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

65 Sills

16 17

19 20

18

22

23

24

21

25

problems certain situations. It helps to bring up conflicts of interest. There is no question about that. point is that you have got to pay these men and you have got to increase the standards of education and give them more money as they become more educated. Por the moment I am rather taken with the concept put out by the President's Crime Commission where you have the community service officer, the police officer and the police agent; where the community service officer can be a fellow just graduating high school coming in at 18 years of age. He is given limited police functions and not allowed to carry a gua. He continues his education if he desires, and when he reaches a certain point and at the age of 21 he is able to move into the police officer category. If he wants to go on to his degree, he can move into the police agent category, and with each step, of course, he goes up in pay.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Also you can get the professional people coming in at a higher level.

You come in laterally, which can't be done today, and you have the problems there of welfare payments and retirement funds and so forth, especially if you went from Within the state it still has certain state to state.

problems.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: You mentioned the local police have personal contact. I guess the question is if we haven't become so efficient with respect to local police that they are just in cars and don't have contact, and I don't like to bring in my own business, but I have learned something that has amazed me in that the telephone business is such that we spend a lot of money on public relations. Our operators are told how to handle callers and service reps. but our best image comes from men who are not trained for this who go on the customers' premises. They meet them face to face. Should we in police work put the policeman

on the beat?

THE WITNESS: In certain areas I believe so. Certainly in the highly urban areas I believe so.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: He would encounter the public not only in crime situations but in other situations.

THE WITNESS: This would be a function under the President's Crime Commission concept of the community service officer to a great extent.

MR. MEYNER: Then don't you on occasion get people to say that is performing the role of the

1 2

6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

judiciary?

THE WITNESS: The police do perform the role of the judiciary when they decide to give a ticket or not for going one mile over the speed limit.

MR. GIBBONS: Colonel Kelly pointed out to us there were no common radio frequencies between the state and the local police, although there is a teletype system.

THE WITNESS: This is one area where I believe that we should be able to legislate to make all police be on the same communication frequency, and we have suggested that and we have a plan which we have put in statewide to assist in this area today. This is one of the areas where I believe in regionalization. It works two ways, one to communicate to them, secondly for them to send back to us reports which go into our uniform crime reporting system, which in turn goes back to them. By Mr. Jaffe:

Q I don't recall whether or not I told you, but when I was down to the State Police Headquarters on Wednesday I asked the officer in charge of communications to prepare for the Commission a paper setting forth their communication needs and the problems in this area. They said they would send it to the Commission for our consideration.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

22

20

23

24

25

MR. MEYNER: Mr. Attorney General, so far as trying to provide for the future, do you think it might be possible to work out a system by which in Newark they could borrow some people from Jersey City or Jersey City could borrow some people from Newark or maybe some community could borrow some in order to work out --

THE WITNESS: There is an assistance act. MR. MEYNER: Has it ever been thought out in terms of implementation?

THE WITNESS: Plainfield used it.

MR. MEYNER: Whom did they call?

THE WITNESS: From neighboring communities in

MR. GIBBONS: Englewood also.

THE WITNESS: Englewood, too. You get in a lot of problems there. You don't know what the local system is and you don't have available cars. You don't have the same frequency radios in the cars that you bring in. One of the big problems in Newark the night of the riot was they had something like 200 police in headquarters and no cars to send them out with.

MR. MEYNER: This calls for more planning than there was, doesn't it? You have the fabric?

Sills 69

THE WITNESS: That, and I guess again the question of money. You need to spend money to have more cars available.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: General, we have been draining your energies pretty much. We appreciate your coming.

(Witness excused.)

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: Gentlemen, the Governor today has to leave at five o'clock, and I mean to respect that, but he has also said he will be glad to come back. We will take you up on that, Governor. We have commissioners here who would very much like to hear you.

JUDGE WACHENFELD: I would suggest instead of asking questions that the Governor tell us in his own way and in his own manner as to how he thinks he can be helpful to us and what he can tell us will be helpful to us, and then you can go on with your questions later.

CHAIRMAN LILLEY: We do agree you can make any statement you like.

MR. MEYNER: You can do it on the record or off.

interrogated by Precision: Johnson on the telephone

Hughes

Whereupon,

RICHARD J. HUGHES

called as a witness, duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: I will go on the record.

As the Judge said, I think it is rather important to recall that state government, as far as I know it, has been interested in the possibility of outbreaks for a long time. I recall talking to Mayor Whelan in Jersey City one time, and I think it was 1964 if I am not mistaken -- I don't know when the riot was -- but I know I had called Tom Whelan and repeatedly offered the assistance of the State Police. I had heard and read there had been some truck drivers, bus drivers pulled a man out of his vehicle and beat him, and I don't recall if there were any deaths, but there was certainly the imminence of violent death there.

Mayor Whelan told me at that time that the situation was completely within the control of the local police; he would prefer not to have any showing of a state trooper or any armor or anything of that kind. I respected his opinion. It turned out to be correct, and at that time I was interrogated by President Johnson on the telephone