CHAIRMAN LILLEY: Mr. Curvin, we are going to 1 start. Apparently the fog has delayed some of our 2 commissioners, but they will be along. This is 3 for the record, so your words will be read by 4 them. I would like to say before we start I 5 appreciate your coming and look forward to what 6 7 8

you have to say. I think perhaps Mr. Jaffe told you our procedure. Among other things, we swear

our witnesses, and I would like you to take the

oath if you would.

Whereupon,

social agencies in the ROBERT CURVIN

called as a witness, sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION ...

Marie of By Mr. Jaffe: They are a small that the their

Q Mr. Curvin, could you give us your name and address, please? and programing, and an associate di

A My name is Robert Curvin, and I live at 106 Huntington Terrace, Newark, New Jersey.

Could you tell us where you are presently employed?

A 1 am presently employed as the director of the

Rutgers Community Action Intern Program.

Q Where is that located?

A This is located in New Brunswick, New Jersey.

Q How long have you been so employed?

12 13

9

10

11

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

21

20

22

23

24

25

A Since June 5, 1966.

Q And could you describe for us very briefly what your duties are?

financed anti-poverty training program that is sponsored by Rutgers University Labor Education Center, and the purpose of the program is to recruit persons who have demonstrated leadership potential or interest in the areas of community leadership, especially in the civil rights and labor organizations in the State, and church groups, and to train them to fill jobs in the anti-poverty program or in various other social agencies in the community.

I have been director since July 15th of this year. My major responsibilities are the administrative responsibilities of the program. I have a staff that includes an educational director, who is responsible for curriculum development and programming, and an associate director, who is primarily responsible for job development for the interns and counseling.

Q Prior to your employment at Rutgers could you tell us what your employment was?

A Well, let me go back and perhaps talk about my education and then employment, and maybe we can cover it that way. I was born in Newark and I attended public schools in Belleville, New Jersey. Following that I entered the

United States Army and served for four and a half years in the Army. I attended the Officers' Candidate Training School while I was in the Service and received a commission in the Artillery and served for three years as an Airborne officer in this country and also in Japan for a while.

Following my termination of service, I entered Rutgers
University in Newark in 1957 and was graduated in 1960 with
a Liberal Arts degree. I then began employment at the Essex
County Welfare Board as a caseworker and worked two years as
a caseworker at the Welfare Board and then worked for three
years as a supervisor of casework.

I left the Essex County Welfare Board in September of 1965 to take employment as director of a leadership training program in Harlem that was financed by the Stern Foundation and sponsored by the League for Industrial Democracy with headquarters in New York.

Ouring that period of time I was attending Rutgers
University Graduate School of Social Work on a part-time
basis, and during my beginning year of employment at Rutgers
in June of 1965 I also completed my final year toward my
Master's degree in Social Work at Rutgers.

I stayed at the training institute in Harlem for one year as I had planned and then went to Rutgers to finish up my degree and to take the post at the training institute there.

I have a Master's degree in Social Work with a specialty in community organization, and that brings me up to date.

Q Have you lived in Newark basically outside of that period of time that you were in the Service?

A Right.

Q Let me zero in on the riot that occurred in July of this year. Could you tell us when you first were aware of the facts and aware of the incidents that led to the riot and what you did?

A On Wednesday, I think it was July 12th, I was at home. In fact, it was one of the nights that I thought I was going to remain at home. I received a telephone call from a woman in the project area, from Hayes Project, who said she was calling CORE and asked that someone come over right away.

- Q Are you affiliated with CORE?
- A Yes, I am. here to be a second and the second and
- Q What is your affiliation with that organization?

A I am a member of the local chapter. I was formerly chairman of the local chapter, treasurer of the local
chapter. At the present time I am an active member. I have
also served on the national board of CORE and also served
for two years as national vice-chairman of CORE for the
Northeastern region.

I went over to the area, and I talked to several people there, and there was already a crowd there when I got there.

Q When you say went up to the area, what area?

A The area around the Fourth Precinct across the street from the Hayes Project.

Q what was the essence of the phone call?

just been dragged from a car and beaten and dragged into the precinct and could we have somebody from CORE come over and help us. It was kind of a hysterical, anxious call that unfortunately is frequently received by me and members of our organization in the City of Newark on many occasions.

Precinct?

A Yes.

Q About what time did you get there?

A I think I received a call around nine-fifteen.

When I got there, there already was assembled a number of people, but it wasn't a large crowd at that time. I talked to several people. There were people there already that I knew, and we talked to several people who said they were witnesses to the incident.

I would say there might have been twelve to fourteen people standing around all making an effort to describe what they had seen.

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

I then talked to several people, and we tried to map out some kind of strategy as to what we would do.

- Q What was the size of the crowd at that point? At that point -- well, when I got there I don't think there were more than thirty-five or forty people there, but it was growing very rapidly. People were coming from across the street from the project area and quite frankly I don't have any real idea as to numbers, but I didn't get the impression that there was a large crowd when I got there. But the crowd seemed to be picking up very quickly. People were even walking by and saying what had happened, and somebody would say what had happened and they would stop and they would stand there.
- Q Was the crowd right in front of the Fourth Precinct or the Hayes Homes?

A At his time the crowd was standing on the western corner by the gas station there. We talked about what should be done. In fact, one of the first things we thought should be done was to call a lawyer. A call was in fact put in to Legal Services, to Joe Barry, and we asked that he come over.

It was also thought that we should not go into the precinct. The hammanage I shiple to be a second

Q when you say, "we," could you tell us the name of the people whom you were discussing this with?

A Jim Walker was there. Derek Winans was there at

that time.

Q Is Jim Walker a member of CORE?

at the time. I think there was some assumption on the basis of, I guess, our experience in the community that CORE was most likely able to figure out what ought to be done in the situation, and many people were asking questions of me as to what should be done.

It was suggested that we call some of the people in the community. In fact, some one put a call in for Tim Still to come to the area, and I think he was at a meeting and he and Don Wendell came over. Oliver Lofton came over. In fact, as the crowd got larger I began to get a sense of the mood that was being created there, particularly when the policemen had parked their car in the driveway of the gas station across the street from their garage and they left the door open. Later one of the patrolmen who had been involved in the incident came out to move the car and close the door and get something out of the car.

When he came out the entire crowd then moved over to the car and confronted him. People were saying, "What did you do? What happened?" I think it was Jim Walker who said, "Wait a minute." He asked the patrolman very calmly what had happened. The patrolman just said, "He punched me in the mouth," or something to that effect. Everyone looked at

1 2

21 22

24

23

25

him very carefully and saw no indication of any bruise or anything like that. There was kind of an outburst of disgust and people were saying, "Oh, bull" and "You are crazy" and stuff like that.

It was very obvious that the temperament was beginning to boil.

Q Prior to the patrolman coming out there, there were thirty to forty people milling around. What was the kind of things that the crowd was saying? What did it believe had happened to Smith and what were they saying?

A They were saying things like, "We are tired of this shit. It happens all the time."

There were two girls who said they had followed the patrolman up the steps of the precinct, and they said that they had seen him hit additionally when they got him into the precinct. We was in Louch with Spine?" He ways, "I

MR. DRISCOLL: How old were these girls? THE WITNESS: I don't know,

MR. DRISCOLL: Well, approximately.

"Lasts go to THE WITNESS: I would say they might have been fifteen or sixteen. There were all kinds of statements indicating that the mood was very tense. By Mr. Jaffe:

Did anybody at this time believe that Smith had been killed? a that about an hour? It this around ten ofclock?

A No. In my mind the whole question of that rumor had been terribly distorted and used out of context.

Q But at this time --

A Definitely not. There was no statement or nothing that I heard at least in moving around.

One other thing. I said I was beginning to feel a sense of real steam building up. I myself went over to that pay phone that stands right there in the gas station and called police headquarters down town. I spoke to Lieutenant Brent.

Q Lieutenant who?

Negro police officers in the Newark Rolice Department. I said, "Brent, this is Bob Curvin from CORE. I am up at the Fourth Precinct, and we have got a very bad situation up here. Can you get me in touch with Spina?" He says, "I don't know where he is, but we will try to get in touch with him. We will try to get somebody up there right away."

It was shortly thereafter that the crowd began saying, "Let's go in the precinct," and we were still waiting for a lawyer to come. At just about this time a woman came up who is in the project, Mrs. Esther Williams.

- Q was this before or after the patrolman came out?
- A After.
- Q Was that about an hour? Is this around ten o'clock?

7

14

16

18

21

23

I would say maybe it is approaching ten o'clock. Everybody is now talking about going into the precinct, and it was my feeling we should wait, but Esther Williams came UD. 0 Would you describe her background?

A She is very active in the Hayes Project Tenant League and very active in the community, very highly respected as a community leader.

O How old a woman is she?

A I don't know. Maybe she is thirty-two, thirtythree. But in any event, she says, "Don't wait to go in now. My husband was beaten in that precinct about two years ago." or some time ago. "If we had gone in when they took him in, it never would have happened. Go in there now,"

There was nobody that could have held them back from going in after that. The group went in together, and I went in front of the group.

Q How many people walked into the precinct station?

A Everybody wanted to go in. As we got to the door a number of policemen said everybody can't go in, and I think about twelve people went in altogether.

- Q How many were outside at this time?
 - I would say maybe seventy, seventy-five people.
- Q It had grown pretty much?
- A Right.

- Q were there any rocks thrown or any violence?
- drov A No.
- Q Old Mrs. Esther Williams go in with you?
- A Yes.
 - Q And you went in?
- A Yes.
 - Q Do you know the other people who went in?
- A Donald Tucker, Jim Walker.
- Q If these people are in any way related to official organizations in the community, could you give us those?

A Jim Walker was working for the UCC at the time.

So was Donald Tucker. I remember them very clearly because they were part of the group that went back to the cell, which I will describe later, to see Smith.

In any event, just as we were walking into the door we got to the desk. Inspector Melchior came in through the back. He identified himself as the commanding officer for that night and asked what had happened. Everybody was trying to say at the same time what they had heard or what people were talking about in the street.

He immediately asked to see the arrest report, and then he looked at the arrest report.

Q Was it your opinion that this was the first time that Inspector Melchior was cognizant of the fact that the mob had been outside because of the Smith arrest?

A I don't know how he came in. I don't know if he drove by the front. I don't know if anybody informed him as to what was happening outside. I don't know if he realized there was a crowd outside.

He looked at the arrest record, and he called the two patrolmen who had arrested Smith over to him.

One thing that was very interesting to me was that he said to one of the patrolmen, "Your pants aren't ripped."

He looked down and he says, "Yes, I know." "It says your pants are ripped on here." He says, "Well" and he took a pencil and he made a pencil mark on the arrest record. Then we began talking to him and we said --

- Q Would you know the name of that patrolman?
- A I know what the two of them look like. I don't know whether it was DeSimone or the other one, but I could recognize him. If I had to take a guess, I think it was the taller of the two. The other one is quite short.
- Q Do you know the names of the two arresting officers, by the way?

A I think it is DeSimone and DeAngelis, or something. I know one of them is DeSimone. I don't recall the other one right now.

- Q I didn't mean to interrupt your narrative.
- A We requested that we be allowed to go back and inspect the prisoner, to see him.

Q You requested this of Inspector Heichior?

A Yes. At first he seemed to be opposed to the idea, but he later said, "Okay, I will allow four people to go."

Jim Walker was the one who was kind of negotiating with him as to who could go and whether or not we could go. He designated the people that would go. I don't remember all of them. I know that Donald Tucker was there because he took notes of our conversation with Smith. I was there. I went, and I think that Mrs. Williams, Mrs. Esther Williams went. I am not certain. There was a fourth person whom I don't recall.

But we went back to the cell block, and when we got back there the bench in the cell is on the left-hand side of the cell. Smith was lying on the bench with his eyes closed and his feet up.

- Q Did you know Smith previous to this?
- A No. I had never seen him before in my life.
- Q who identified him as Smith, one of the patrolmen?

A Right. We asked if he would get up. He jumped up.

I first asked him what had happened. The first thing he
said was, "I was just working; I was just trying to get

around. I am not looking for any trouble. I was just trying

to make some money."

We said, "What happened to you?" He says, "They hit me on the head." I said, "For what?" He says, "I don't

know. They hit me on the head." I says, "Well, are you hurt?"

When we walked in he really looked like he was hurt.

He was moaning in fact. I said, "What hurt you?" He said,

"My side hurts me. I have a wound here on my head," and I

said, "Well, have you seen a doctor?" He says, "No, I

haven't."

So after this kind of conversation, and I don't remember precisely everything that was said, we then left and we went back.

Q Just before you left, could you tell us were there physical signs on his body of having been beaten, any contusions or any evidences of trauma?

A We didn't look at him that closely. The only thing that he indicated to us was that he had a bruise on his head. Frankly I didn't inspect it. But he did appear to me to be in pain.

I just want to add in my professional experience as a social worker I frequently have interviewed people and come in contact with people who are in physical pain, and I think I have some notion of whether or not a person is feigning. It certainly appeared to me that Smith was wounded. The way he had his body postured at the time indicated to me --

Q was he all by himself in the cell?

A He was all by himself in the cell. The way he had

	Carvin
1	his body postured it appeared that he did have pains in this
2	area: Lofton ches in with the Saski and the second of
3	Q Do you know the time that Smith was arrested?
4	A No, I don't.
5	Q But the time at which you saw him was approximatel
6	ten or ten-fifteen?
7	come At and Right. to improve the improve when when the they have
8	You were the first group of people to see him from
9	the outside after his arrest?
10	A I don't know of any other group that saw him. We
11	went out and asked Inspector Melchior why this man had not
12	been seen by a doctor. So he said, "Just a minute." He
13	called over a Lieutenant from the desk. He said, "Has Smith
14	been seen by a doctor?" The Lieutenant said, "He hasn't
15	asked for one."
16	At that again the people that were in the precinct said
17	"He hasn't asked for one? A prisoner has to ask for a
18	doctor in order to be examined by a doctor if he is injured?

By this time I want to add a number of people were still in the precinct who had not gone back to see Smith. They were walking around.

Of this was part of the original group of twelve? A Yes. It appeared to me there might have been a few more that had come in. Shortly after this conversation took place and Melchior says, "Get a doctor for him," or

something to that effect, we then went back to the room.

Oliver Lofton came in with Tim Still and Don Wendell, and we then had a conversation with Inspector Melchior about what would happen now, what would be done.

So it was thought that the most sensible thing to do would be to have the people who had witnessed the incident come in and speak to Inspector Melchior about what they had seen. So someone went outside and asked a group of people who had witnessed the incident --

Q What was Inspector Helchior's attitude to this request?

A He agreed to it.

Q Had a doctor been summoned yet?

A Yes. Right after we finished our conversation, in fact a patrol car took Smith to the hospital, and a number of people who were part of the group from outside went over to the hospital, followed the patrol car over to the hospital, because frankly no one trusted the police enough to take someone to the hospital even in a situation like that.

Q When Smith was taken to the hospital by the patrol car, did he go under his own power or was he carried when he left the cell?

A I don't know. I didn't see him taken out. He was taken out the back through the garage. Just prior to meeting with Inspector Melchior when the man was taken out.

I think just about everyone went back outside as the car was driving off because the crowd had gathered around the car, and there was an effort to move them back. Tim still went out and we all went out together and we went back to meet with Inspector Meichior.

In any event, a number of people who said they witnessed the incident were brought in to speak to Inspector Meichior. The first person who began to state what had happened was a young girl. I don't recall if it was the young girl who said she had followed him up the steps, but she began describing the way that she said she had seen Smith dragged across the street and kicked.

At this she was interrupted by a woman who I say might have been about forty years old who said, "Wait. We don't just want to talk about Smith; we want to talk about what we see here happening every day time and time again."

So at this point someone from the group said, "Well, we can't talk about that right now, but that is important."

She said, "If we are not going to do anything about what we can see from our windows here happening in this neighborhood every day, what the hell good is it?"

are not talking about, at least in my mind, an isolated incident; we are talking about a pattern that has been witnessed by the community for years, and Smith in my mind

represents just a part of that pattern.

Q How many people would you say were in the precinct at that time?

A I would say maybe twenty, twenty-five people.

Q About what time was this? Ten-thirty? We sort of have you going in around ten, ten-fifteen.

A I really don't know. I don't have a watch. Things were happening so fast in a situation like that you are hardly aware of time sequence.

Q Would you have an idea as to what the size of the crowd outside the Fourth Precinct was like at this time?

A It was very obvious that the crowd was getting quite large at that time. In fact, people were beginning to jump and trying to look into the window to see what was going on. At one point I walked over to the window and looked at the size of the crowd outside. I would think that it was well over 150 people.

Q Was any effort being made to inform the crowd outside that Smith had been taken to a hospital?

A well, most of the people who were there I think at that time or just prior to that had seen the car leave with him. This is another reason why the rumor of his death I think has been terribly and dishonestly used.

Q I don't want to interrupt your narrative. You are back at the point where you are talking to Inspector

5

10

8

12

16

23

25

Melchior and this woman is discussing her feelings.

A Several people then related what they had seen, and their stories to me were not in any way contradictory or conflicting. There seemed to be an agreement that all of them had witnessed this man harshly treated by these two patrolmen when he was brought into the precinct.

After that we talked further with Melchior, and it was decided among ourselves we would encourage the crowd outside --

When you say among yourselves --

MR. DRISCOLL: Would you let him answer that question?

MR. JAFFE: Which question?

MR. DRISCOLL: He has not finished his answer. "It was decided among ourselves that we hack the would -- he does to they that I and demand a meeting

THE WITNESS: We would encourage the crowd of people to go home and return down town the next morning to have a meeting at City Hall and a demonstration. In fact, I thought that was a very bad idea at that point because my sense was that the crowd wasn't prepared to go home and that there needed to be more concern about doing something with them that was constructive and allow them to express their dissatisfaction with what had happened.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q I just wanted to find out the group you talked about when you said, "among ourselves." Who were those people?

A Oliver Lofton, a guy by the name of Coxon from Legal Services, Tim Still, Don Wendell, Jim Walker and several other people, some of whom I don't know.

Q Was Inspector Melchior in on this conversation?

A No. He was standing off. In fact, it was kind of like a little caucus off to the side.

So it was then decided we were going outside and tell the group to go home. I was asked by Tim Still to speak to the group first, and then Oliver was going to speak and then Tim was going to speak and encourage them to go home and come back the next morning down to City Hall and demand a meeting with the Mayor and have a protest demonstration.

I got up on the car.

Q What car? Will get any impression that the many

A There was a car right on the corner of -- what is the side street?

Q Right next to the Fourth Precinct?

A Right by the gas station again on the west corner.

And I said that everybody knows what had happened, and that
at this point we are going to do something about it and if
we get together, we can do something about it and we are

asking that people come down town tomorrow morning and show how many people are interested in this and we can meet with the Mayor and so on.

I don't know exactly what else I said. After I got done Tim Still, I believe, was speaking. He didn't get up on the car. I think he stood on the bumper of the car. As he was talking in fact from the west side of the crowd, a number of Molitov cocktails were thrown against the west precinct wall.

Q Was this the first sign of any violence?

A This was the first sign of any violence at this point.

Q How many people were in the crowd at this point approximately?

A I would say maybe two hundred.

Q What was the mood of the crowd prior to the throwing of the Molitov cocktail when you spoke?

A Well, I didn't get any impression that the mood of the crowd was any more volatile than it had been when I called Spina much earlier in the evening or when I called the police headquarters and asked to speak to Spina much earlier in the evening. It seemed to me that they weren't anxious to go home. That was very obvious. There was no effort to challenge anybody that was speaking. I wasn't challenged at that point at all by anyone in the crowd.

which I expected to happen, but it didn't happen.

way, which I think is also something that might be significant. But at this point when the cocktails were thrown everybody then dispersed and began running.

Q Just to stop you there, could you estimate for us what the breakdown of the crowd was, for example, women, children, age, just your approximation. What was it mostly composed of?

A I would say there were a good number of children there, young children. I am talking about eight, ten, eleven. There were many adults there and a good number of teen-agers in the crowd.

- Q Was there a sprinkling of women in the crowd, too?

 A Many women in the crowd.
- Q There was no predominate age group? Is that your best recollection? I don't want to put the words in your mouth.

A If I had to guess, I think the predominate age group were younger people because of the teen-agers and the kids, but there were a significant number of adults there.

Q When you spoke to the crowd, did you tell the crowd that Smith had gone to the hospital, to reaffirm that even though you knew they had seen him leave?

A I don't think I did. I don't recall.

Q Let's get back to your narrative. The Molitov cocktail was thrown.

being killed, or a rumor that he was killed never came to my attention until the following day when I saw newspaper accounts that alleged the violence had started because many people thought that Smith was killed. I don't think that there were many people, if any, out there that evening, at least on Wednesday evening, who had any notion that Smith had been killed.

After the Molitov cocktails were thrown, the crowd ran mostly toward the Hayes Project, into that area there where the parking lot is right across the street from the precinct. Just about at the same time suddenly a group of helmeted policemen came charging out of the precinct and then moved in both directions in kind of like circles at the precinct.

Inspector Melchior came out and Lofton and Wendell and myself and Tim Still all went over to talk to Inspector Melchior.

Q How many policemen came out? You said a group came out. Do you have an approximation?

A I don't remember, but I would say somewhere maybe twenty-five, twenty-eight, thirty.

Q What did they have, billy clubs and helmets?

A Yes.

Q were there any rifles or shotguns?

A No. I didn't see any rifles or shotguns.

a time period between the throwing of the Molitov cocktail and the police coming out?

A I would say it was not an instantaneous reaction.

There might have been a time lag of a couple of minutes. I think it was interpreted by us in response to the Molitov cocktails.

Q Just on the rumor of Smith's death, do you know whether or not that rumor originated over the cab radios?

Do you know if that is the place where it originated?

A I was going to get to that. Much later when the cabs were lined up on Belmont Avenue I spoke to several of the cab drivers, and I told them that I had been in the precinct and had seen Smith, and none of them indicated to me that they thought Smith was dead. I certainly knew he was not dead, and I still hadn't heard anything like that at that point.

approximately who came out of the precinct with Inspector Melchior. At this point was there any contact between the patrolmen and the crowds, or was it just a physical lining up?

Curvin

one rock thrown, and that I don't think hit anybody. It almost hit me, but that is how I know it was thrown. But in talking to Melchior we thought that there was still a possibility of getting the crowd together and creating something constructive out of it, something peaceful.

We asked Melchior to allow us another opportunity to try to handle the group. At this time though there was some name calling going on between the police and some of the group around the precinct. There was another incident that I don't know exactly the timing on. I think it was right about this time. An elderly white couple came walking by the precinct with a very large dog and one of the policemen I was standing very close to him when this happened, so I heard it with my own ears -- yelled to the couple, "why don't you take that dog across the street with the rest of the dogs?" Several people heard this and began shouting epithets back at this policeman. In fact, there was one lady who walked across the street and I led her back across to the other side of the street because she was very emotional about it and started cursing at the policeman and so on. and dispersorable to the state of the intent the secretary

But it was eventually decided that eight of the policemen would stay outside and Melchior would ask the others to go back into the precinct, and they did. At this

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

point we had additional discussions, and it was thought that the only thing to do would be to try to take this group and turn it into some kind of a peaceful demonstration, which I frankly thought might have been possible at that time. Again it was decided that I would speak and Oliver Lofton would speak and Tim Still would speak.

Q Was the crowd constantly growing larger?

now. Yes. I think that there were more people there

Q Approximately three hundred or so?

A I don't know if it ever got that high. I would say it might have been two hundred fifty or so. But again this Inspector Melchior brought out a bull horn, and he gave it to me to speak first. I got on top of a car right in front of the precinct this time and asked the crowd to assemble together. To the best of my recollection I can tell you exactly what I said.

Q Please tell us.

Newark police have again declared war on the black community and that judging by what had occurred in the last couple of weeks, and especially in view of the incident the previous Saturday night or Saturday morning on Fourteenth Street that we were going to get together and do something about police brutality.

At one point I think I even asked them, and kind of in a questioning way said, "Are you tired of the police beating black people in the city?" And they responded and said yes. And then I said, "Do you want to do something about it?" They said yes. Then I went on to say, "Well, the only thing we have to do here is get together and be together and be united, and that means that everybody out here can't be a leader; that if we decide on what we want to do, we have to do it together. In addition to that, some of you are out here who want to fight, but what have you got to fight with? You can't beat the police. The police have the guns. The

In addition to that I pointed out that there were children and women in the crowd and that if anything violent occurred that it was likely that women and children would be hurt.

police have the weapons and everything, and you can't win."

Following this kind of line we suggested that we would have a march and a demonstration in front of the precinct as long as we chose to. That was the essence of what I said.

Q Was Inspector Meichior outside with you while you were making the speech?

instal Yes. the fire and the same and then the last

Q He concurred in the suggestion of an orderly demonstration?

A I don't know.

from him?

A I don't think so.

Then could you tell me what happened next?

think Oliver Lofton spoke next. He told them that the problem could be dealt with legally and that Legal Services was prepared to make their resources available to the community and so on, and went on in that vein.

Then Tim Still spoke again and said something about having to march. After all of this was over we suddenly then moved to the corner of Seventeenth to try to organize the group into the march. As we were getting a number of people together and some of the people, in fact a large amount of the people did get together on the corner, a fire flared up in the parking lot in one of the cars.

Q Whose car was this, a police car?

A No, it wasn't a police car. In fact, actually it was an old abandoned car that was set on fire. At that point everything just broke up. People started running. The police ran back inside, a number of policemen ran back inside. Then the fire engine came and then the police moved out into the street and moved across toward the projects.

At this point I headed down toward Belmont Avenue and

on, had come over to that area by the cab and I was looking for her and I found her and took her to a corner and sent her home. Then I myself rounded up a number of people who I work with in the community and got them in my car and took them away from the scene.

Q why did you do that?

A Because, first of all I was certainly not interested in seeing people whom I work with in the community standing around or being a part of what was going on.

Q was it at this time that the concept of the peaceful demonstration was gone and the crowd became a mob? Was this the point?

demonstration obviously was only in the minds of a very few people, mainly people who were trying to encourage the crowd that there would be a peaceful demonstration. It was obvious from the way the group responded to the speeches. The people who did not want a peaceful demonstration just sat back and allowed us to go through the motions of making the speeches. After it was over it was obvious, especially among the kinds there, that they were going to do what they obviously wanted to do.

the Q . When you say kids, are you talking about teen-agers?

A These were teen-agers.

6

10

23

MR. GIBBONS (PRESIDING): Were there any ringleaders among them?

THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Just your opinion based in retrospect from the period, say, approximately nine-thirty or ten until this period, which I gather is closer to twelve now, what could have been done to have controlled that situation do you think?

A Well. I think one of the things that could have been done is for the police to have responded at least more sympathetically to the problem that was being posed by the people in the community. He was a man who at least to eyewitnesses had been beaten. He had then not received any medical attention until it was requested of them by a citizen's group that only by their own demands and action got in to see him and in many cases this never occurs. There was no occasion that I saw that the police felt anything was wrong, that anything had happened; that it was just, you know, the community again raising the biased charges of mistreatment and it is like a routine. "We are going to investigate it. You don't know what happened. The patrolmen say they were assaulted," and so on right down the line.

I want to point out something that I think is also very

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25

significant. I am sure all of you have heard about the Martinez case in 1965. When Lester Long was shot by Henry Martinez in the North Ward of Newark in 1965 in front of a group of maybe twenty-five-thirty people with one dead shot, the paper on the next morning reported that Henry Martinez had slipped, tripped, and his pistol went off accidentally shooting Lester Long in the back of the head and killing him. Well, the crowd that saw the shooting, when a tow truck

was sent by the police to carry away Lester Long's car, they attempted to turn over the tow truck.

MR. DRISCOLL: They being the crowd?

THE WITNESS: They being the crowd. Just prior to that there had been some violence in Elizabeth, New Jersey, in 1965. Very early that morning I was called at my home about this case. and I and several members of CORE went over to the area. We talked to people about organizing. doing it peacefully, going down to see the Mayor and the same kind of things that we had presented to the crowd at the Fourth Precinct. But at that point they believed in us. They believed there was a possibility of doing something.

We worked in that area for something like fourteen or fifteen hours straight talking to people in the taverns, telling them, "You have got

to join the group and if we work together, we can do something about it."

people that were telling us at that time, "We are going to do just what they did in Elizabeth." We were able to beat that kind of thought and that situation. But we went through the whole process and nothing happened. We have gone through it with so many cases. Nothing happened. They don't believe that an organization like CORE can do anything for them in a case like that that can really bring justice in a situation like this. We have no evidence that we can.

By Mr. Jaffe:

- What time dd you leave then?
- A Had I left the precinct?
- Q No, did you leave the general area? You said you took some people in your car.

A I took people in my car and we left the area just about the time when the cabs were coming on the scene. I don't know what time that was.

Q Could you describe that?

A Later on a number of cabs began to come along on Belmont Avenue and line up. I don't know how many there were. Maybe twenty, twenty-five, thirty. I knew some of

talking, and I talked about what had happened and told them that I had seen Smith. Mone of them indicated to me that they thought Smith was dead. They didn't. I didn't know what they were going to do. They told me they were going to ride around to the front of the precinct. I had no idea they were going down town or anything like that, which I found out later.

Q What was the state of the crowd? What was its characterization about this time? What was it doing?

and I assumed that most of them were actually in the projects because I imagine a great number of people live in the project area.

At about this time most of the crowd had run off,

Q In other words, the police charge after the fire had fairly effectively dispersed the crowd?

A The crowd was really gone.

Q There was no looting or anything at this point, or any more violence?

A Looting had taken place prior to this point.

There had been one or two stores right on Belmont Avenue that were looted.

Q When was that?

Program A That was immediately after the crowd dispersed.

In fact, it was within three or four minutes that took place.

4

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

25

24

Q Then the crowd had basically dispersed?

From A one Right.

Q Then what happened?

A Well, after that, and I don't know what time it was by then, the people that I had taken in my car, taken home were Joe Price, Jesse Allen, Setty Moss, Mary Lee, and that's all. We went home.

Q Was there any attempt by the Newark Police Force to prevent looting of the liquor stores on Belmont Avenue?

Did you see any?

A I didn't see any. After that had happened police positioned themselves in front of the stores later. You mean the looting or the breaking in?

Q Soth.

A After the stores had been broken into police did position themselves in front of the stores.

Q Then what happened?

A Then after that I told you I went home.

Q Could you tell us what happened the next day?
Were you involved at all?

A You mean the next night?

Q The next night or the next day, whatever your recollections are as to the stage of the riot and how it progressed.

A The next day at about two-thirty or so I attended

10

12

14

16

19

21

23

22

25

24

a meeting at City Hall with Jimmy Hooper, who was also there from CORE who is presently chairman of the chapter. The Hayor was there and Police Director Spina was there. Jimmy Hooper as a representative of CORE spoke first and stated to the Mayor in the course of five or six days we have had two major incidents of allegations of police abuse.

Q What was the other major incident?

The Incident on Fourteenth Street at East Orange-Newark line.

> MR. DRISCOLL: I con't think this has been in the record at all.

MR. JAFFE: No. We have not heard about that.

THE WITNESS: It was stated the organization wanted to know what was going to be done about it. At this point or after this Spina spoke and he said, "Well, I am aware of what happened last night. We are going to have this whole thing investigated. In the meantime, the two officers will be assigned to administrative duty, but you have got to realize my men are being assaulted all over the city," and so on.

At this point I said to the Mayor that every time we come to your office with a complaint relative to police mistreatment and the police director is here, we hear the same thing. We have

been hearing it for five years, and it is almost
like a record, that the police officer will be
assigned to administrative duty; the incident will
be investigated; that my policemen are being
assaulted, and so on right down the line.

Curvin, you know you are not telling the truth."

I said, "Are you calling me a liar, Mr. Spina?

Well, I think you are a liar." He says, "Well now,
you are getting personal and I don't like that."

I said, "I am very sorry, but that is the way I
feel."

After this kind of thing went on for a while the most I left the meeting, and Jimmy Hooper stayed for a while later and came out and spoke to me. There was absolutely no recognition of the nature of the situation that existed in the community. What had happened the previous night was described as an isolated incident, and policemen on the Newark Police Department have told me that even the two police officers who were involved in the incident were never assigned to administrative duty, and that they have been carrying out their duties in the same way in the same assignments ever since the incident occurred.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Was there anything going on in the community
Thursday morning or Thursday afternoon? Was there any kind
of tensions building up, or planned demonstrations?

for a very short period of time. I had been in New Brunswick up until the time of the meeting with the Nayor, and after the meeting with the Mayor I left to go to a meeting in New York City and I didn't return to the city until very late that evening, in fact much later after the demonstration had taken place and the violence had begun over again.

Q Could you tell us your next observations during the riot? Were you involved in it at any other stage of the negotiations, or the withdrawal phase?

I rode all over the city. I rode up and down Springfield
Avenue, and I walked up and down Springfield Avenue observing
what was happening until, I would say, one o'clock or so,
Clinton Avenue and so on. It appeared to me, number one,
the situation was already completely out of control. The
police obviously had no plan of action at all. In fact, on
Springfield Avenue in the area around Tenth Street and
Eighteenth Avenue across Springfield, where it crosses
Springfield I observed many of the stores being broken into
and the police who were down further east, when each store

cars would come speeding by the store and not even stop,
just ride by with their sirens and ride close to the curb
and then take off. It was sort of like an airplane squadron
buzzing ground troops or something like that. There just
seemed to be no effort to do anything that was preventative
in terms of encircling or curtailing the movement of the
crowd up Springfield Avenue. The police just seemed to be
in fact pushing the crowd up Springfield Avenue towards
Irvington.

In riding around areas like Bergen Street and Clinton

Avenue at this point it was very obvious that the word of

the riot was spreading very rapidly and groups of people

were congregating on corners. Again there were no police

in sight to do anything in a preventive way.

Q What were the next things you observed?

A After that on Friday again I was not in the City
Hall at all. In fact, I went to New York and couldn't get
back past the barricades. On Saturday I spent a great deal
of time -- first I went down to the UCC and I met with some
of the people from the Department of Community Affairs who
were making plans to bring in some resources for the community, food and so on. I helped to discuss some of the
plans and the locations where resources could be established.
Later I talked to a number of organizers in the community.

I attended the meeting in City Hall of the so-called Peace Group of Ministers even though I did not consider myself a part of that group. On Saturday evening I was not in the area at all.

Q Were you involved at all with the Governor in the negotiations between the Governor and the community?

taken place with the Governor with the various delegations from the community. Very late Sunday evening -- I imagine it was about eleven o'clock or so -- I received a call where I was staying that the Governor would be willing to meet with me and Tom Hayden, and a meeting was arranged at the United States Attorney's office at the Federal Building in Newark. This meeting took place around twelve-thirty a.m. or maybe a little later.

- Q Is Tom Hayden a member of CORE?
- A No, he isn't.
- Q Does he head an organization in the city?
- wes sains No. in the community at the time. On Saturday, by
- Q Isn't he chairman for the Students --
- A They don't have a structure like that. You have to know the new left.
- Q The old new left or the new new left?
- A He is an organizer in Newark, and he has worked in the black community since 1964, and he has been very much

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

involved, or was very much involved in the organization of a group called the Newark Community Union Project. He is a very good friend of mine.

Attorney's office? You, Tom Hayden, the Governor, and who else?

A Dr. Ylvisaker, Colonel Kelly of the State Police.

There was another gentleman there from the Governor's staff whom I don't recall.

Q Mr. Bilder?

A No. Oh, yes, Bilder was there, and another guy was there, too.

MR. MEYNER: Spinelli?

THE WITNESS: No.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q Stan Van Ness?

A No. Mr. Satz was also there. The Governor was interested in discussing with us our impressions of what was going on in the community at the time. On Saturday, by the way, after the meeting at City Hall I did have some contact in the community. I walked up Springfield Avenue for a while, and I talked to quite a number of people and a number of people from CORE and some of the organizers from the Area Board. We had a meeting later that evening or early Saturday evening to discuss primarily the press reaction to

what was going on in Newark which we felt to be extremely harmful and distorted, particularly the Governor's statement which was completely punitive at that point; that criminal insurrection and people who hate our country are involved, and statements of this kind. We felt that nothing was being said that addressed itself to the fundamental problems of a situation like this.

O Could you tell us what you told the Governor? A When we met with the Governor, he was, number one, interested in knowing something about what was happening in the community at the time. We did get into a discussion of the riot and what was happening. I did make an effort to the best of my ability to explain that I didn't believe that most of the behavior and a great deal of the behavior he was describing as criminal was criminal because I described something that I had seen Thursday night in fact, a young girl, maybe fourteen, and a young boy about the same age going into a store on Springfield Avenue that had all kinds of very attractive and exciting items that you might think teen-agers would be interested in like tape recorders and radios and so on. They went to the back of the store and dragged out a double bed mattress.

I felt, and I still feel, that to me is a comment on poverty and deprivation. I think that this kind of behavior was evident throughout the whole disturbance in the city.

3

1

5

7

8

6

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Was where any discussion at this point with the Governor as to the withdrawal of the State Police and National Guard?

A Yes, there was. This was fairly early morning in fact. The entire city, just about the entire Negro community anyway in the commercial area of the city had the stores broken into. On Saturday evening and Sunday especially there was tremendous evidence of the police rampantly and indiscriminately shooting up the community. In many cases areas where people were just sitting in their homes, windows were being shot into and buildings were being shot at.

munity, and Tom felt the same way, that the rebellion on the part of the community was essentially over and that now we were in a period of retaliation by the police forces that were sent into the city to restore order but were in fact continuing the disorder by their shooting and their attacks on the community. The Governor felt that the people in the community wanted that National Guard to be riding up and down the street in their open trucks with their rifles displayed as a show of confidence that order would be restored.

It was my impression, and I think it was an accurate one from talking to people and observing what was happening, that the black community was scared to death of the National Guard and the State Police and has long been scared to death

of the Newark police, and that the continuance of this show of force was only going to perpetuate the conflict that existed in the community.

Q What was the Governor's reaction?

A Well, it was my impression that the Governor was a bit surprised to hear that. He consulted with Colonel Kelly and Colonel Kelly indicated that he was not prepared to consider troop withdrawal at that point, but Dr. Ylvisaker apparently was supportive of the idea of withdrawing the troops at that point.

I think a very constructive and helpful dialogue was carried out. In fact, the Governor said something to the effect that it was the first conversation that he had with community leaders that were only interested in talking about the problem and what was going on.

As the meeting terminated, the Governor and Colonel Kelly discussed a proposition that I had offered as a way of beginning withdrawal, that withdrawal might be initiated in the South Ward area, which was the least congested and was an area where I and Tom both had the most experience in working with community groups, and we could in fact organize, we felt, an effective clean-up and peace-keeping force to work in the community point of view if withdrawal took place there.

Then we suggested that they see what would happen and

then if we restored order in that area, then it might be extended immediately to other parts of the city. But at least it wasn't decided that it was going to be done, but there seemed to be some indication that they were willing to seriously consider it.

I wonder if just based on your experiences during those days you can estimate for us the number of people who participated in the riot in one form or another as looters or any other phase of it. Just a rough guess.

A I would say maybe twenty to twenty-five thousand people. Many middle class, too, by the way, which I think is very significant.

Q What would you say the number of middle class was percentagewise?

A Maybe fifteen to twenty per cent. That is just a very unscientific observation. A social scientist would ride me out of the profession for doing something like that.

HR. LEUCHTER: Would you pinpoint that again
in terms of participation in various aspects of
it? You said twenty to twenty-five thousand.
Would most of those have been involved in locting?

THE WITNESS: I would say most of them would have been involved -- by participation I would say throwing a rock, which might be considered the least significant in one respect, to the other

would say the middle class certainly stayed on the safe side of that range in most cases, but I think they certainly were involved in it.

that an extremely large percentage of the community

felt in sympathy with the riot, with the acts of

violence that were occurring in the city.

MR. DRISCOLL: Would you mind defining the

THE WITNESS: I would say maybe employed

In some cases home owners who are at least employed and able to meet mortgage payments. I don't think you are looking for a very sociological definition, but the terms of income we have described a family of four that has an income of less than \$3,000 as being poor. In an urban community I think a family having an income of maybe less than \$5,000 is a poor family.

By Mr. Jaffe:

Q You testified quite extensively before about your background in the City of Newark and your work in the community with Rutgers and with CORE and your work with the Welfare Board in Newark and you have been a lifelong resident

.....

A I haven't been a lifelong resident.

wonder if you would give us your views as to what you think were the causes of the riot in July.

A I have a very short statement that I want to read to you.

Q If you like, you can make it part of the record.

impression that the situation in Newark can be very easily described as the situation around the country in urban communities except that in many areas poverty is more grievous than Newark, and we have some particular problems in the area of housing and health but in my mind in trying to understand what happened in immediate terms, the problems of police community relations are the most significant factor in that the Police Department in the City of Newark is seen by a large percentage of the community as the most oppressive force in the community.

I wanted to read a statement that I in fact put together some time ago, but I think it is significant and puts the questions of police in a more proper perspective.

The Newark Police Department has a long history of chaotic administration, low morale, political interference in promotion and assignments and inefficient police services

at high cost. Some of these conditions may have improved over the last few years, but Police Departments have been known to persist in these patterns for long periods of time. It is in this overall context that police community relations should be considered. Policemen are usually of lower middle class origin, often highly prejudiced towards the poor and minority groups. Moreover, because of the nature of police work and their irregular hours, they associate primarily with other policemen. This makes them both occupationally and as a social group one that feels increasingly persecuted, harassed and despised both by lawbreakers and almost everyone who drives a car who to some extent is a lawbreaker, and do-gooders, and recently they even feel a sense of persecution from the courts.

Policemen often have an almost pathological urge to make people respect them, and one study showed that in the minds of policemen themselves inducing respect for the police was the single most important reason for the use of force.

Obviously attempting to induce respect in this way can only do the opposite -- a mutual fear and distrust between police and community festers.

If a policeman's normal inclinations run counter to good community relations, often good discipline can offset this; but the discipline of Newark's force has historically not been good. The Bureau of Municipal Research's Police

Curvin

50

Problems in Newark published in 1943 found a police department in Newark which can be described for the most part only in uncomplimentary superlatives and it concluded that "the overall picture presented is a dismal one."

The Department was a political football with lax administration and poor personnel policies. The situation in the mid-nineteen fifties was no better. Don McNamara's study and survey of municipal police departments of the State of New Jersey published in 1958 emphasizes the poor state of discipline in municipal departments throughout New Jersey. "There is a general feeling among the men that outside political contacts will protect them from disciplinary measures and insure them for promotions and desirable assignments, a confidence which an analysis of assignments and promotions histories in many departments would seem to amply support. In far too many instances policemen guilty of serious breaches of discipline were punished lightly or not at all. The result was rampant corruption."

This was the situation in Newark when Mayor Carlin brought in Joseph Welden, a former chief assistant inspector in the New York Police Department with twenty-eight years police service as police director. Welden's appointment was bitterly opposed by the Newark Patrolmen's Benevolent Association and by high-ranking officers in the Newark

1 2 5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Curvin

Department. In November, 1959, Welden established an Inspection Division to deal with charges of corruption and brutality on the part of police officers. Apparently such a unit had not existed before.

In 1962 Mayor Addonizio replaced Welden with Inspector Dominick Spina. During the campaign Addonizio had publicly promised Newark Policemen that he would replace Welden with a career member of the Newark Police Department.

In the first five and a half years of the Inspection
Division's existence or down to the Spring of 1965 only
twenty-four formal complaints of police brutality were
received and investigated. In just one year there were
reported 102 attacks by civilians by Newark policemen. That
may mean there is little brutality, which is doubtful, or
more likely that citizens are either afraid to make complaints
or recognize how useless it is when it is only their word
against that of a policeman.

Mayor Addonizio rejected demands for a civilian review board in 1963, but the death of Benjamin Bryant, reported by the police to have fainted and hit his Head against a steel filing cabinet while in custody, and the death of Lester Long, Jr., shot by Patrolman Henry Martinez renewed demand for a civilian review board. After four hearings and 564 pages of testimony from people both within and without the city, the Newark Human Relations Commission

voted 6-6 on the issue of a board.

The civilian review board in and of itself alone is not the answer. There is no substitute for a trained, professionally competent department with adequate on-the-street supervision and firm and thorough discipline by responsible administrators. Police brutality does not occur in a vacuum. It is much more likely to be found in a politics-ridden, corrupt department. Creating a clean, competent department is a first-order priority in Newark.

One suggestion is to abolish the city Police Department in favor of a county-wide unit. Police administrators, specialists, believe that municipal forces should be replaced by metropolitan departments. This would make for more efficient police service and perhaps make it more difficult for local politicians to interfere in police matters and lessen the political activities of the departmental bureaucracy.

A second recommendation is for a full-scale inquiry into the Newark Police Department by a competent outside agency. This inquiry could deal with recruitment and professional policies, police training, the effectiveness of organization and administration, the maintenance of discipline and police community relations.

A third recommendation would be to end the emphasis on promotion from within. Promotion from within preserves the

.4

1

6 7 8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

24

part the patterns of the past will be continued in the future, and in Newark's case this is not desirable. There is no panacea whether a civilian review board or a departmental shake-up or an investigation is done. There is no substitute for top quality police leadership whether home-grown or imported fully supported by responsible political officials and the community as a whole for the attainment of efficient, impartial police service. Continuous public pressure on and support for effective police command is an index of such community support.

I particularly want to read this statement because I think that most people, especially detractors, people in the Civil Rights movement who are detractors have tried to give the impression that we see police brutality as a result of racism. I am not trying to deny there is racism, and I think there is a great deal of racism on the Newark Police Department, but I think that is a much broader problem than just racism. I think it is very possible in fact for a bigoted, a deeply prejudiced police officer to carry out his job and his assignment in an effective way without abusing the rights of any citizen. If he knows he is subject to discipline if he does not behave in the proper way, his bigotry and his prejudice would not be a part of his police work.

I think that this is really the fundamental problem of the City of Newark as I see it: that for too long police officers have been allowed to disregard basic rights of people. They have been supported in cases where they have obviously abused the rights of people in the city. It is not only a case primarily of physical brutality against people and against citizens, but it is just a very persuasive attitude of disrespect and rudeness that particularly the minority community has to be frequently faced with in the City of Newark.

Q Do you think that the minority community feels this way toward the Police Department as you have described?

A That it is racist or a broad problem?

Q That it is not being dealt with fairly by the Police Department?

A Oh, unquestionably so, unquestionably so. If
there were confidence that a police act of malfeasance or
a police act of brutality was going to be objectively dealt
with by the police administration, the police community
relations in Newark would be improved one hundred per cent.

MR. LEUCHTER: Mr. Curvin, we have had a whole raft of analogies and metaphors and similes in the last three months having to do with tinder and fire and matches and the general concept being that something was ready to blow up and almost

1

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

everything would have caused it to blow up. Is it your feeling that a riot would have taken place in Newark this summer had the Smith incident and/or the one on the Saturday preceding it not taken place? Would there have been a riot anyway, or do you feel despite all the wretched conditions possibly in housing and job opportunities, but had the specific cases of police brutality not taken place might not Newark have gotten through the summer without violence?

THE WITNESS: My feeling is that if it had not been John Smith, it might have been another case of police brutality. I think maybe the answer you are looking for is do I feel that the tensions were unusually high in the City of Newark this summer in relationship to previous years, and I would say yes. I think obviously the Medical School controversy, the Board of Education controversy relative to the appointment of a secretary to the Board of Education greatly contributed to these tensions.

MR. LEUCHTER: Despite the tensions, without brutality do you feel that you and Ollie and Tim Still might have been successful in any group action to calm people's emotions?

Curvin 56

Instance, we had more people than were present at the precinct demonstrating and in a very tense situation. The difference is in a situation like that where you have controlled leadership and you have a program of action, it's very easy to control a situation like that. The people that are there are really your constituency. They are interested in the issue. They have discipline, organizational discipline.

But my feeling is a riot in the City of Newark
would have had to occur in an uncontrolled
situation, and it did. To me it was inevitable
that it would have been a matter of the police.

MR. LEUCHTER: In your opinion is there anything realistically that Mayor Addonizio or Police Director Spina could have done on Thursday morning that you could have made known to the community which would have calmed the community and averted the violence of Thursday night?

After everything had taken place Wednesday night, what could they have done Thursday morning which could have been effective?

THE WITNESS: To recognize the problem and situation as it was. To say that it was an

1 2

isolated incident I think was the most tragic 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

mistake that was made following Wednesday night. In fact, one of the reasons that I felt just so terribly frustrated on Thursday afternoon when I went to that meeting was to hear the Mayor speak as though it was all over and that just by reading the newspaper as to what has been since 1964 in Harlem one knows there has not been violence in any city that has not repeated itself on the second night. It just hasn't happened that way. The pattern is well known and well established throughout the country.

It seems to me that there would have been an effort of the Mayor and Spina to go out into that community, to go to those homes and talk to people there, to meet with them. It was known that the kids were the ones that threw the bottles. Find the kids, talk to them.

I don't have any canned answer as to what can prevent a situation like this, but I do know that much more can be done or much more could have been done. I don't feel anything was done; that there was just a turning of the head from the reality that existed.

MR. LEUCHTER: Had Addonizio and Spina Thursday

17

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

18

20 21

22

23

24

Curvin 58

afternoon been shaken by Wednesday night and viewed it in a different situation than they did and had said to you at this meeting on Thursday afternoon, "Yes, we will suspend these two men and we will come into the community and see what the grievances are," would that have headed off anything on Thursday night, or might it have happened anyway?

THE WITHESS: Saying something like that would have had no consequence in terms of my own feeling. I probably again would have been very skeptical as to whether or not they would do it because I have heard Spina say things like that very often. I think if they would have demonstrated by actually doing something -- when you met them, it was pretty nearly three o'clock.

MR. DRISCOLL: Three o'clock?

THE WITNESS: On Thursday afternoon. The Mayor had not been out of his office all day. The people that were really involved, the people around the Hayes Project, they were really out of it. There was no dialogue with them. In fact, I understand from Mr. Black, and it was reported in the newspapers, that Spina was present at the precinct later that evening when people were being

Megro police officer in civilian clothes was assaulted by a White police officer in the precinct. Spina was there at that time.

MR. LEUCHTER: We are examining the long-range causes or root causes of violence and attitudes and also the short-run handling of it. I am almost inferring from what you say that in the light of the long history of bad police community relationships by Thursday afternoon it was too late and nothing that Addonizio or Spina would have done would have had much effect because nobody would have believed them. Is that right?

THE WITNESS: I don't think you would have had a completely peaceful situation. I think something would have happened, but I don't think that the kind of almost complete destruction of the commercial areas of the city that took place would have occurred.

I also don't believe that the response of the community would have been as bitter and as violent as it was if there was another reaction, had been a different reaction from the city. Despite the deep frustrations in the City of Newark, Newark has been an unusually peaceful city. Even at

and Elizabeth and in other places all over the country and people were saying Newark is going to blow up tomorrow, for many reasons which I think are historical, too, Newark did not react that way. But I think once it was set off -- what I am trying to say is I think it could have been minimized and it wasn't.

MR. GIBBONS: I think our reporter would like a break.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

MR. GIBBONS: From your experience with the
Congress of Racial Equality you have had experience
in organizing demonstrations and in maintaining
the discipline of the people in those demonstrations. Can you comment on the difference
between the crowd that you would have at a prearranged, organized Civil Rights demonstration and
the kind of crowd that was outside the Fourth
Precinct on both the Wednesday and the Thursday
night?

THE WITNESS: First of all, as I indicated before, if you are going to have a demonstration and it is communicated to your organization, the people that are going to be dominant in the

1 2

13 14 15

16 17

19 20

18

21

22

23

24

25

demonstration are your constituency, people that know you, that are involved in the issue, and by the nature of their connection to the organization they are committed to the discipline and the philosophy of the organization.

So if CORE has a demonstration, for instance, we have no problems about whether or not it is going to be a violent or non-violent demonstration. We know it is going to be a non-violent demonstration because these are the kind of people that we bring to it.

But in comparison to what occurred at the precinct, this was not a demonstration, at least on Wednesday night. Again on Thursday night, from what I have been able to learn from what occurred. the demonstrators might have in some way been responsible for attracting other people who were not committed to non-violent demonstrations or peaceful demonstrations, but they themselves were in no way the ones who threw the rocks and the bottles. The ones who were carrying the placard signs were not the ones who were the rioters on Thursday night.

So you have a distinction between people who are demonstrating and people who are rioting, and it is not the same thing.

MR. 61980NS: Doesn't this point perhaps to some lessons for the police with respect to crowd control where you have an organized, disciplined demonstration put on by some responsible organization and you treat it one way, but where you have a large crowd of undisciplined people you go back to the conventional crowd control method of breaking them up and dispersing them?

THE WITHESS: I would say certainly so, but I would also add that the record of the Newark Police Department even in dealing with peaceful demonstrations is not a very admirable one. In fact, on April 1st I was arrested merely walking on a picket line. Mineteen people were arrested in front of a supermarket on Clinton Avenue for just demonstrating.

I would also point out that on several occasions the police have resorted to name calling at demonstrations and have in fact provoked demonstrators who are demonstrating peacefully to engage in verbal encounters with them. In fact, I was on a demonstration once where a policeman actually positioned himself right in front of the line and made the line walk around him just in my

1 2

Curvin

mind as a pure effort to aggravate and antagonize the people that were peacefully demonstrating.

I say this because again this is all part of the terrible relations that exist between the police and the community, which I think has been significant in creating the situation that we are in now.

MR. GIBBONS: Going back to Wednesday night
again, might it not have been a mistake in judgment
on inspector Melchior's part to encourage or even
to permit the three of you to speak to the crowd
and retain them in the area instead of following
the conventional and handbook doctrine of dispersing?

THE WITNESS: I think only hindsight could make that judgment. At that point after the first Molitov cocktails were thrown when we spoke to the crowd the first time it didn't appear to me that they would have been very successful in dispersing them. I think the mood of the crowd was challenging them to the police, was more unified in their hostility and more ready to engage in violence against them.

MR. GIBBONS: Once the mob psychology gets to that point and it ceases to be discipline at all, is there anything else for the police to do except

1 2

disperse them? Shouldn't they have followed conventional police tactics earlier?

think it possibly could have prevented the whole thing, very early in the evening.

MR. GIBBONS: This has nothing to do with the police community relations or anything else, just how to do with this kind of contagion.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. LEUCHTER: Mr. Curvin, what is your assessment of the role of the Negro on the Newark Police Department?

THE WITNESS: The role of the Negro?

MR. LEUCHTER: The part he plays, good or bad, attitudes toward him.

THE WITNESS: Let me take it in parts. First of all, the Negro is nowhere near adequately represented in the Police Department.

MR. LEUCHTER: There were how many?

THE WITNESS: Somewhere in the neighborhood of two hundred Negro policemen on the force. I have a complete breakdown of the employment from 1963, and it hasn't changed that much. There is only one Negro police captain on the entire force. At the present time I think there are two

lieutenants on the force. In a city that has a majority of non-white population that alone is a significant issue.

MR. GIBBONS: Isn't that in part a reflection of the seniority and tenure system that the Civil Service laws impose?

THE WITNESS: In part it might be, but in part it is also a reflection of discrimination and the denial of opportunity to Negro police officers in the department.

MR. GIBBONS: Does CORE have any figures on the age breakdown?

THE WITHESS: No.

MR. GIBBONS: For instance, if it turns out that there is a vast majority of White police officers over the age of fifty, I wouldn't be a bit surprised. But I would be quite shocked if it turned out that there was a vast majority of White men under the age of thirty-five.

THE WITNESS: I know what your argument is, and it is the same argument I often hear from employers.

MR. MEYNER: Was it an argument or was it an objective dissertation?

THE WITNESS: I would call it a subjective

dissertation.

here realize that generally I agree with your point of view. But how do you cope with this problem of the Civil Service system?

THE WITNESS: I think of a lot of things that have been accepted as just being traditional and right are going to have to be changed if you are going to deal with the problem.

MR. LEUCHTER: That is a different story.

THE WITNESS: But I don't think you should hang your hat on that particular point because that is not the major reason why Negroes are not represented in superior officers of the Police Department.

MR. GIBBONS: I don't doubt that for a minute because I have a pretty good idea how they are selected.

MR. LEUCHTER: Can we get back to these other points? Are they on the take, or are they just as bad as the Whites?

THE WITNESS: It has been my experience that
the Negro police officers are not as apt to view
citizens as White police officers do. There are
several Negro police officers who have reputations

in fact of being more brutal in some cases than White police officers, but by general observations that they are not generally as brutal. They certainly don't resort to the name-calling, which is frequent on the part of the White police officers in the police department. I think their status within the department is also very significant. There ought to be some consideration of the roles that the various organizations play within the police department in the City of Newark. Naturally the PBA is the most powerful, has the largest membership. Some Negroes do belong to the PBA, but there is a Negro police organization within the Police department. It is called the Bronze Shields.

Just to give you an indication of the relative difference in status of these organizations, the Bronze Shields voted in 1965 at a meeting to publicly endorse the idea of having a police review board in the City of Newark. Before it was announced the word got back to the Director, and he reportedly called in the president of the organization and ordered that he had better not make such a decision public on the part of that organization and in very direct and strong language was told that he had better not ever come back for a favor

1 2

3

5

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

12

3

5

Curvin

from him, from the Director. It was never announced that the Bronze Shields had voted to support the idea of a police review board.

Right now the PBA is very actively involved in the K-9 Corps issue and Connolly has spoken at council meetings and has voiced very strong support for the K-9 Corps. In talking to several Negro police officers last week, they are extremely irritated that the organization has not even discussed the K-9 Corps issue and the sentiments which are contrary to a K-9 Corps on the part of Negro policemen have not even been considered.

It is also very significant, too, that last year when the auto squad members were indicted for their involvement in the auto theft ring, the only member of the auto squad who was not indicted was a Negro. He didn't know about it. He was not involved.

MR. GIBBONS: He wasn't cut in you mean.

THE WITNESS: That's right.

MR. DRISCOLL: Does that outrage you, that he wasn't cut in?

THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I don't think graft and corruption has any racial inclinations. It has inclinations toward power, but that is the

4 5

7 8

significance. It has inclinations toward power.

MR. GIBBONS: We have other witnesses waiting.

MR. MEYNER: I have a couple of quickies.

How much of the riot do you think might have been caused by the presence of the radio and TV people on scene promptly? What effect do you think they had?

THE WITNESS: I think it has a real effect in every situation. This is something that is being very much debated now among responsible people in the press. They certainly & make it look a hell of a lot worse than it is, too, in the media.

I think it has a definite impact and a rather deleterious impact.

MR. MEYNER: Do you have any suggestions as to how you could curb it or keep it down other than general ones that we all probably have?

THE WITNESS: I don't know. I guess the industry, like the tobacco industry, has to come to grips with these problems.

MR. MEYNER: You don't want to mention the pharmaceutical industry?

THE WITNESS: I will get to that later.

MR. MEYNER: We hear a lot about the necessity for respect for law end order. Do you believe that

this respect for law and order is hampered by the gambling activities that are permitted throughout the city in whatever area it happens to be?

THE WITNESS: Definitely so. There is no question about it. Respect for the police can in my mind only be achieved when there is respect for their integrity and their work and citizens have confidence in them that they are primarily interested in doing police work and doing a job.

The obvious and blatant conduct of illegal activity in the city in my mind makes that kind of respect that is necessary almost impossible.

MR. MEYNER: Would you say there is any difficulty at all in playing numbers of placing a horse bet?

THE WITNESS: I will put one on for you right now.

MR. LEUCHTER: In this building?

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know this building, but I know several governmental buildings where there is certainly no problem in placing a bet.

MR. MEYNER: I would assume it is natural for poorer youngsters to see how people do well in this kind of enterprise, and this encourages disrespect.

Is this the kind of thinking you have?

2

4

6

7

5

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

19

10

2

11

3

5

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. MEYNER: And it can only exist with police connivance perhaps?

THE WITNESS: That's right.

MR. DRISCOLL: You made a statement that we couldn't always follow the doctrine of the status quo. Do you advocate the abolition or the modification of Civil Service to help increase the numbers of black policemen?

THE WITNESS: That would certainly be one thing -- I don't say Civil Service should be abolished. I think there are some real problems with Civil Service in terms of it being equal in terms of Negroes. It is not only the fact that the Civil Service System exists; it exists in an unequal fashion, too, in many respects. But I do think that one of the things that definitely ought to be considered is the possibility of bringing officers into a police force from without. The Army, for instance, could never rely on the development of a strong officer corps by just promoting people through the ranks. The very special and long-term training that is necessary, in fact the most used, the most available means for becoming an officer in the Army should be the same kind of Curvin 72

experience that should be available for becoming a police officer.

A police officer should have the intelligence, the training, the discipline and the growth of urbanization means that he has to be even more skillful in areas of human relations and all kind of technology. I would certainly advocate at least changing that as a first step.

your mind an OCS equivalent in a state?

THE WITHESS: Sure, certainly.

MR. DRISCOLL: Do you think you would have any particular problem in getting an adequate number of trained Negroes for various positions in the police force and in the officer level?

THE WITNESS: I think that with some very concentrated efforts that many Negro policemen can be brought into the department. Even Civil Service regulations would not be prohibitive to this. In fact, everybody knows that there are exceptions made to Civil Service even right on the Newark police force. Ask someone how the Mayor's chauffeur finally got on the Police Department, and they will tell you an exception was made for him.

It has often been the case that Negroes have

4 5

when they applied for police work; whereas, in the case of whites they have been allowed to become members of the Police Department with similar kinds of records.

of the difficulties and the probabilities of juveniles encountering trouble in the ghettos that are now being used to keep Negroes from getting on the force. There was a Negro man who wanted to be a policemen very much, and many people thought he would be an excellent policeman but he was the father of a child out of wedlock. He was refused membership on the Police Department because of this.

I frankly believe that is no indication that a man cannot do police work. These are the kinds of things, and with some consideration many more things could be done to bring more Negro policemen on the force.

MR. DRISCOLL: Do you regard the Hayes Housing complex as adequate housing for the people who are housed within the complex?

THE WITNESS: I don't think the type of housing projects that we have built is really adequate.

They are not adequate for many reasons. They don't

necessarily change the problems that the people had prior to their moving in. There are many deficiencies in the structures. There are no toilets on the ground floor and kids that play out in the street urinate on the elevator. People wonder why they urinate. They say they are dirty. Did you ever try to get a four-year old kid to go to the bathroom as soon as he needs to go? He doesn't. He waits until the last minute, and he runs on the elevator and he doesn't make it, and he urinates on the elevator.

A simple thing like that is not a matter of being a genius; that there ought to be bathrooms on the ground floor or in the yards at least.

As far as a police problem with this kind of housing, they might be almost impossible to really police in terms that we see police work, patrol, supervision and so on. How do you patrol people that are living -- not patrol the people, but patrol their community when the community is ten stories up in the air? It really has to be seen as a community that is a vertical community. I think a lot of new thinking has to go into what we have done.

Another very important issue about the Hayes

2 3 4

!1

Project is that it is right within perhaps a onemile or one and a half-mile radius of not only the
Stella Wright Project, which has another five
thousand or so people in it, but also the Scudder
Homes, which has over six thousand people in it;
then the felix fuld Apartments, which have more
people. The congestion of that area I would match
with the congestion of any area of the country. It
is absolutely a pathologically congested area in
my mind.

That many people, especially that many poor people needing the kind of services they need, having the kind of problems they have, should in no way ever be congested in an area like that.

MR. DRISCOLL: That's very helpful.

THE WITNESS: Just in the projects I computed the figure last night. There are over 18,000 people in that one and a half-mile radius, mostly children, something like fifty-five per cent of them children.

MR. DRISCOLL: And most of the adults having the same problems and the same fears and aspirations?

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. DRISCOLL: Does this concentration in your opinion lend itself to a quick gathering of crowds and the possibility of what took place on the night

that Mr. Smith was arrested?

THE WITNESS: Definitely. You can get a crowd in an area -- even I have been in that area several times when there have been automobile accidents, and I have seen a crowd develop just like that in the course of a few minutes because there are so many people there. It doesn't seem to me difficult to understand that people were able to congregate that fast. They are there in that area. I am talking about 18,000 people, not even considering the people that live in the wood frame dwellings in that area and the tenement houses.

MR. DRISCOLL: Apart from the poverty aspects of the young boy and the young girl whom you described as dragging a mattress out of a store, it was still a crime, wasn't it?

THE WITNESS: To me?

MR. GRISCOLL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: No. In fact, I would not even care to define that kind of behavior in the context of law because if you ask me to define it in the context of law, I would have to take a completely different analysis of what happened in the city.

We have seen at work a social phenomenon that is a repeat of the same kind of phenomena that

1 2

3 4 5

7

9

8

11

13 14

15

16

18

19

10

2

4

.8

occurred in other periods of our history. There have been riots by many other ethnic groups in this country.

MR. DRISCOLL: I am not trying to pin it down to any ethnic group.

THE WITNESS: I know that, and I don't consider that behavior to be criminal, quite frankly. I think people who are deprived and desperate will seek ways of getting what they need and what they want. In the context of law it might be a crime, but I frankly believe that in a situation like that where a community is in the kind of disarray and chaos that it was that I could in no way consider those young people to be criminals.

MR. DRISCOLL: Are you familiar with a Ford Foundation grant of \$1,000,000 to Rutgers which was used in part by the UCC?

THE WITNESS: Used in part by the UCC?

MR. DRISCOLL: United Community Corporation.

THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with it, and I don't believe that such a grant was made.

MR. DRISCOLL: Mr. Still testified.

THE WITNESS: Maybe he talked about their efforts to get a grant from Ford.

MR. DRISCOLL: I thought he testified they

received one.

with respect to the Smith incident, did you personally witness any violence, other than the stones that almost hit you and the Molitov cocktails? You didn't see Mr. Smith beat up or anything like that?

THE WITNESS: No. In fact, that was the first time I had ever seen him. Last Saturday night I saw Mr. Smith for the first time since that night. He didn't even remember what I looked like. He remembered talking to me, but I never knew him until Saturday night.

MR. DRISCOLL: In your testimony as I remember it you referred to a pattern of violence. Would you like to amplify on this pattern of violence?

THE WITNESS: That I talked about across the country?

MR. DRISCOLL: I thought you were referring to Newark with a long pattern of violence.

I am talking about a pattern of violence against the community by police. I think I said at one point that Newark has been an unusually peaceful city and its pattern of response to many problems has in my mind been a very conservative and moderate response

to problems, and that police mistreatment throughout the City of Newark has been established in my mind as a pattern. Perhaps that is what you are speaking of.

pattern of violence on the part of the police force,
this is one of the root causes in your opinion of
the unrest, and this is a pattern that we must
strive to change?

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. DRISCOLL: I don't think I will ask any more questions.

I wonder if I might get one question on the record.

I wonder if you would care to comment on the role of the black revolutionary movement in the riots, whether or not it had any effect upon it, whether they were in a sense feeding it, or what.

A from my observations, they had no role at all on Wednesday evening, and if they had a role at a later point, I am completely ignorant.

As far as the Black Liberation Army is concerned, I don't think their people in any way, in any significant way were responsible for what happened in Newark. I think it is again one of the things that has been terribly distorted and inflated.

Their strength -- I don't think they ever had more than three or four people. Their relationships to other groups were not the kind of relationships that people have alleged there were. In fact, to me a number of federal investigators asked me about my relationships with Col. Hassan and whether or not he influenced our organization and so on. He certainly did not.

If their presence had any real bearing on the city, it was to create a great deal more attention and unification on the Medical School issue, but beyond that they had no direct influence on the behavior of organizations or people in the community.

Q Do you think the sniping was organized to any extent?

A I have very strong beliefs that it wasn't.

MR. MEYNER: A person who some people might describe as a responsible Negro leader the other day said to me a group in Newark to his belief were well organized and had a cache of arms and that the whole thing could erupt again. Do you place any credence in such a statement?

THE WITNESS: I think there is a lot of arming going on in the community right now. In fact, I am very much aware that it is going on from just talking to people. I think we are in a very

dangerous and critical period and that tensions are extremely high.

I also know from talking to other people that there is a great deal of arming going on in the white community and that there are caches of arms being stored in the white community. I think that there is certainly a possibility that there will be a violent confrontation between the two groups.

There was a fight in the streets after the last council meeting. The behavior of the proponents of the K-9 Corps in the city in my mind, and very much supported by several policemen there in civilian clothes, was absolutely horrendous.

The militants by the way were not at that meeting at the council. If the militants had been at that council, and I am talking about the people who everybody seems to be concerned about, it is very likely we could have had a battle right there.

MR. MEYNER: But we didn't go to the dogs?

THE WITNESS: No, but unfortunately two councilmen who chose to exploit these tensions are threatening to raise the issue time and time again. This is merely to bring out their constituency to these meetings.

MR. GIBBONS: Gentlemen, I think in consideration

5

for the other witnesses we ought to thank this witness for appearing.

MR. DRISCOLL: I think you have been a very helpful witness. Thank you very much.

area bearday Areas (witness excused.)

Whereupon,

MARION KIDD

called as a witness, sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

By Mr. Fortunato:

- Q Your address?
- A 258 Fairmont Avenue, Newark.
- Q How long have you been a resident of Newark?
- A All my life. This is my home.
 - Q How old are you?
- A Thirty-nine.
- Q Your occupation?
 - A I am a housewife.
 - Q Are you affiliated with any formal groups?
 - A Yes. I am with the Welfare Committee and -- well,
- I am on many different programs in Newark. I am on the
- Tri-Party Board. I am one of the executives on the Tri-Party Board.
- Q What is the Tri-Party Board?